



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

RVWPET No.66 of 2026

Dr. Sheetal Vivek Agarwal

Petitioner

In person
Mr. Jaydeep Pal, Adv.
(Amicus Curiae)

-versus-

***Registrar, Family Court,
Bargarh & another***

.... ***Opposite Parties***

CORAM: JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MISHRA

ORDER

19.03.2026

I.A. No.115 of 2026

Order No.

- 01.** This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
- 2.** Dr. Sheetal Vivek Agarwal, the Review Petitioner, is present in person.
- 3.** On being asked, the Review Petitioner submits, she has lost faith on her Counsel, who was representing her in the writ petition because of his incorrect submission made before this Court that she is ready and willing for a mutual divorce, which can be resolved through mediation. That apart, the learned Counsel also failed to bring to the notice of this Court that, at her instance, MAT Case No.30 of 2024, preferred under Section-9 of the Hindu Marriage Act,1955 is also pending before the self-same Court and both the matters were pending as on the date of disposal of the writ petition for conciliation. Accordingly, she prays for permitting her to move this review application in person, which is allowed.



4. This I.A. has been filed for condonation of delay of 50 days, as pointed out by the Stamp Reporter.
5. The Review Petitioner submits that review application was presented well within the period of limitation, i.e., on 12th January, 2026, against the order dated 18.12.2025 passed by this Court in WP(C) No.19175 of 2025. However, due to lack of knowledge regarding Court's practice and procedure, on being pointed out by the Office, she filed the certified copy of the impugned order under review on 09.03.2026. Hence, the office has pointed out that there is a delay of 50 days in presenting the review petition.
6. On verification of the record, it is found that the submission made by the Review Petitioner is correct. Therefore, the review petition being filed within the limitation period, the delay pointed out by the Office is condoned.
7. Accordingly, the I.A. stands disposed of.

(S. K. MISHRA)
JUDGE

RVWPET No.66 of 2026

- 02.**
1. The Review Petitioner undertakes to serve a copy of the Review Petition on Mr. A. Agarwal, learned Counsel, who was representing Opposite Party No.2, the Petitioner in WP(C) No.19175 of 2025, by Monday (23.03.2026).
 2. The matter be listed on 9th April, 2026, as prayed for.
 3. At this stage, the Review Petitioner submits, both MAT Case No.689-19 of 2017-20 preferred by the Opposite



Party-husband for divorce under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, so also MAT Case No.30 of 2024, preferred by her under Section 9 of the said Act for restitution of conjugal rights stood posted to 13.01.2026 for further conciliation. However, the learned Court below, misreading the order dated 18.12.2025 passed in WP(C) No.19175 of 2025, instead of taking up both the matters for further conciliation, has posted the aforesaid cases to 25th March, 2026 for hearing.

4. The Review Petitioner further submits, she is ready and willing to resolve the matter amicably for restitution of conjugal rights, as has been prayed by her in MAT Case No.30 of 2024. Hence, she was being compelled to move this review petition in person, instead of moving such application through her lawyer, on whom she has lost faith.

5. In view of such submission made by the Review Petitioner, though no application has been moved for stay of further proceedings in MAT Case No.689-19 of 2017-20, so also MAT Case No.30 of 2024, as the Review Petition stands adjourned to 9th April, 2026, it is made clear that the learned Court below, instead of taking up the hearing of the aforesaid cases on 25th March, 2026, shall do well to adjourn both the said cases to any date beyond 9th April, 2026.

6. Mr. Jaydeep Pal, learned Counsel, who is present in the Court, is appointed as *amicus curiae* to assist the Review Petitioner so also this Court. Office is directed to indicate his name in the cause list accordingly.



7. The Review Petitioner be granted an urgent certified copy of this order. Apart from that, she is permitted to utilize digitally signed copy of this order, available on the website of this Court, for the purpose of communicating the same to the learned court below for information and necessary action, as observed above.

(S. K. MISHRA)
JUDGE