



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**  
**CRLMP No. 61 of 2026**

*Sarojini Das*

.....

*Petitioner*

Mr. Jyotirmaya Sahoo, Advocate

*-versus-*

*1. State of Odisha*

.....

*Opposite Parties*

*2. Superintendent of Police, Khurda*

Mr. Amitabh Pradhan,

*3. IIC, Tangi Police Station, Khurda*

Additional Standing Counsel

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO**

**ORDER**

**26.03.2026**

**Order No.**

05.

(Through hybrid Mode)

1. Mr. Amitabh Pradhan, learned Additional Standing Counsel produces instructions and the case dairy in Tangi PS Case No. 632 of 2023.

2. Mr. Sahoo, learned counsel for the petitioner submits without conducting proper investigation or examining witnesses, the IO/IIC wantsto conduct polygraph test of the accused. It is stated that witnesses have been examined, from which it came to light during investigation that the deceased along with 10 to 12 persons were playing gambling near the bank of the pond and about 9 p.m to 9.30 p.m. and all the persons ran away from the spot, but no independent witnesses have been examined.

3. Hence, Mr. A. Pradhan, learned Additional Standing Counsel is directed to verify from the case diary and if necessary obtain instructions from the IO, as to who are the witnesses other than the persons named in the FIR who have stated that the deceased and



others were indulging in gambling near the Godijhara pond and all of them fled away on hullabaloo being created that police was coming.

4. List this matter on 31.03.2026 as prayed for by Mr. A. Pradhan, learned Additional Standing Counsel.

**(Savitri Ratho)**  
**Judge**

*Subhalaxmi*