



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**R.S.A. No.401 of 2004**

***Sakuntala Lath(since dead) .....***  
***through her LRs.***

***Appellant***

*Mr. a. Mukherji, Advocate*

*-versus-*

***Chandrama Routray and others***

***Respondents***

*Mr. P.K. Rath, Sr. Advocate*

**CORAM:**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANDA CHANDRA BEHERA**

**ORDER**

**20.08.2025**

**Order No.**

16.      1.      This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2.      This 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal be listed separately not with S.A. No.200 of 2001.
3.      This 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal be listed on 25.09.2025.

**( A.C. Behera )**  
**Judge**

**I.A. No.298 of 2012**

**(Arising out of RSA No.401 of 2004)**

17.      1.      This I.A. has been filed by the appellants under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act, 1963 praying for condonation of delay in preferring this 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal vide RSA No.401 of 2004.
2.      As per noting of the Registry, notices against the Respondent Nos.6(b) to 6(e) have not been made sufficient.
3.      Therefore, the appellants are directed to file fresh requisites for issuance of Respondent Nos.6(b) to 6(e) through Registered Post with A.D. within seven days in their correct present addresses.



5. As per noting of the Registry notices issued against the Respondent No.6(a) has made sufficient, but, none has appeared on his behalf.
6. The petitioners/appellants have not filed requisites as yet for issuance of notices against the Respondent Nos.1 to 5.
7. For which, due to non-taking steps for issuance of notices against the Respondent Nos.1 to 5, this I.A. is dismissed against the Respondent Nos.1 to 5.
8. This I.A. be listed on 25.09.2025.

**( A.C. Behera )**  
**Judge**

Jagabandhu