



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLA No.548 of 2017

Ugrasen Mallik @ Bilua

Appellant

Mr. S.K. Zafarulla, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

Respondent

Mr. A.K. Pati, ASC

CORAM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

24.10.2025

Order No.

I.A. No.2028 of 2025

16.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. This application has been filed seeking grant of bail by keeping the sentence under suspension.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the Appellant contended that out of the substantive sentence imposed for the offence under Section-376(D) for 20 years and under Section-395 of IPC for a period of 10 years, appellant has already undergone for more than 9 years of sentence.

4.1. It is also contended that victim-P.W 15 has not implicated the present appellant having committed the



offence under Section 376(D) of the IPC and nothing has also been seized from his possession. It is also contended that during the trial, appellant was on bail.

5. Learned Addl. Standing Counsel on the other hand contended that, taking into account the statement of the victim-P.W. 15 and the I.O., appellant is not eligible and entitled to get the benefit of bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after going through the statement of P.W. 15 and the fact that appellant was on bail during trial, this Court is inclined to direct for release of the appellant on bail by keeping the sentence under suspension on such terms and conditions as deem fit and proper by the learned Court in seisin.

7. I.A. stands disposed of accordingly.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Basudev