



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMP No.154 of 2026

CNR No. ODHC010006792026

Rajesh Panda

....

Petitioner

Mr. B.K.Routray, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha & others

.... **Opposite Parties**

Mr. S. B. Panda, ASC

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAİK

ORDER

24.08.2026

Order No.

04.

1. Heard Mr. Routray, learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. The investigation is under question by the petitioner since there has been no real progress with results therein.
3. Mr. Panda, learned ASC for the State refers to the affidavit of opposite party No.5 to submit that no one could be arrested due to absence of finger prints at the spot and also physical clue. This Court finds that the statements of the witnesses have been recorded under Section 180 BNSS. It is submitted to the Court by Mr. Panda, learned ASC that there has been an attempt to find clue with the inspection of the spot by the scientific team. Mr. Routray, learned counsel submits that the prime suspect is named in the rejoinder affidavit and she has not been examined at all. Considering the affidavit at flag-X but in view of the claim that the suspect to the alleged incident is left out and has not been questioned or interrogated



during investigation, State is directed to take instructions and to respond on the next date fixed.

4. List on 21st September, 2026 for final order upon receiving the instructions from the State, the date on which, the CDR details are to be submitted to this Court along with a status report and to appraise as to whether the named suspect has ever been questioned by the I.O. concerned.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

kabita