



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CVRVW No.150 of 1995

Chiranjibi Beriha

....

Petitioner

Mr. H.S. Mishra, Advocate

-versus-

Balaram Panda & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties

CORAM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

09.01.2026

Order No.

45. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.

2. Perused the office note. Since notice has been duly served on PLRs of Opposite Party Nos. 2(a) to 2(c) and Mr. S.K. Samal, learned counsel and his associates have already entered their appearance, notice against the said Opposite Parties is treated as sufficient.

3. After going through the tracking report so available in the case record, it is found that notice has been duly served on PLRs of Opposite Party Nos.4(a)(b),(d),(g). Since notice has been duly served on PLRs of Opposite Party Nos.4(a)(b),(d),(g), notice against the said Opposite Parties is treated as sufficient.

4. It is also found that AD has come back from PLRs of Opposite Party No.4(c). Therefore, notice against the Opposite Party Nos.4(c) is also treated as sufficient.



4.1. Since notice has not yet come back from PLRs of Opposite Party Nos.4(e) and (f), Registry is directed to provide the tracking report with regard to service of notice on PLRs of Opposite Party Nos.4(e) & (f).

4.2. Learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner also contended that in the meantime I.A Nos.1, 2 and 3 of 2025 have been filed by the Petitioner seeking substitution of the deceased Opposite Party No.1.

5. Office is directed to place I.A Nos. 1, 2 and 3 of 2025 and the tracking report with regard to PLRs of Opposite Party No.4(e)(f) by 16th January, 2026.

6. List this matter on 16th January, 2026.

7. Interim order passed earlier shall continue till the next date.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat