



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.812 of 2026

Tanuj Kumar Mishra

....

Petitioner

Mr. Akhand, Advocate

-Versus-

**Odisha Information Commission,
Bhubaneswar & others**

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. B.K. Dash, Advocate

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAİK

ORDER

09.03.2026

Order No.

02.

1. Heard learned counsel for the respective parties.
2. Instant writ petition is filed by the petitioner challenging the impugned decision as per Annexure-1 in S.A. No.3327 of 2022 on the grounds stated therein.
3. In course of hearing, Mr. Akhand, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that complete information has not been provided to the petitioner, hence, a direction is necessary in that regard to opposite party No.2. Recorded the submission of Mr. Dash, learned counsel for the State Information Commission, namely, opposite party No.1. Since the challenge to the impugned order as at Annexure-1 is abandoned with the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner for a direction to opposite party No.2 to provide full and complete information, this Court, recording the submission of Mr. Dash,



learned counsel for opposite party No.1, is of the view that the same should be considered by the following order.

4. Accordingly, it is directed.

5. In the result, the writ petition stands disposed of with a direction to opposite party No.2 to furnish complete information to the petitioner after collecting necessary information from the school concerned notwithstanding the order in S.A. No.3327 of 2022 and to accomplish it as soon as possible preferably within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

Alok