



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
RSA No.424 of 2015

Ganesh Narayan Kedia

.....

Appellant

Represented By Adv. –
S.S. Bhuyan, Adv.

-Versus-

***Harsha Vardhana Tibrewal &
Another***

.....

Respondents

Represented By Adv. -
M/S.Sanjeeb Udgata,
Adv.

Mr. M. Mohanty, Adv

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE ANANDA CHANDRA BEHERA

ORDER
25.03.2026
I.A. No.939 of 2018

Order No.

08. 1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. This I.A. has been filed by the LR's of the sole deceased appellant praying to be substituted them in place of the sole deceased appellant.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent No.1 in the 2nd Appeal has filed objection in this I.A. supported through an affidavit stating that, the petitioner has left out 5 other LR's of the sole deceased appellant, to which, the learned counsel for the appellants denied.

4. Therefore, the learned counsel for the appellants is directed to clarify whether he has left out any other LR's of the sole deceased appellant or he has included all the LR's of the sole



deceased appellant on or before the next date in reference to the affidavit submitted on behalf of the respondent No.1.

5. The learned counsel for the appellant is directed to serve the copy of this I.A. on the learned counsel for the respondent No.2.

6. List this I.A. after one week.

(ANANDA CHANDRA BEHERA)
Judge

M.C. No.987/15

Order No.

09. 1. The learned counsel for the appellants is directed to serve the copy of this I.A. on the learned counsels of both the sides.

2. List this Misc. Case after one week.

(ANANDA CHANDRA BEHERA)
Judge

Rati Ranjan