

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

WP(C) No. 906 of 2025

M/S Kim and Sons Constructions and Equipments.

...Petitioner

- Versus -

State of Manipur & 5 Ors.

...Respondents

**With
MC(WP(C)) No. 835 of 2025**

**B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. GUNESHWAR SHARMA**

ORDER

18-11-2025

These matters are taken up as supplementary items on mentioning due to urgency involved in the matters.

Heard Mr. Ajoy Pebam, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Issue notice to the respondents.

Mr. I. Amri, learned junior Government counsel, assisting Mr. Lenin Hijam, learned Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2, 3 & 4 and the petitioner is directed to take steps to respondent Nos. 5 & 6 by speed post and file an affidavit of proof of service.

Mr. Ajoy Pebam, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that his technical bid has been wrongly rejected on a ground not specified in the NIT. However, Mr. Lenin Hijam, learned Advocate General for the State respondents, has strongly refuted that condition Nos. 7, 8 & 9 are already in eligibility criteria 1(e) of the NIT and the same has been clarified by issuing a subsequent order dated 06-11-2025 for requirement of submitting these

three documents and since the petitioner failed to submit the requisite documents in terms of the NIT and as notified under order dated 06-11-2025, the technical bid of the petitioner was rightly rejected by the authority.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the official respondents be directed to consider his financial bid and till then, the work order may not be issued.

This Court is of the opinion that since the financial bid has already been ordered, this Court is not inclined to pass any interim order. However, the award of contract will be subject to the outcome of this writ petition.

List these cases on 10-12-2025.

JUDGE

Victoria

NINGOMBAM
VICTORIA

Digitally signed
by NINGOMBAM
VICTORIA
Date: 2025.11.18
17:36:09 +05'30'