

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

WP(C) No. 899 of 2025

M/S Associate Action for Progressive Development Society
(AAPD).

...Petitioner

- Versus -

State of Manipur & 2 Ors.

...Respondents

B E F O R E

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. GUNESHWAR SHARMA

ORDER

27-11-2025

[1] Present Mr. B.R. Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner; Mr. L. Gunindro, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 & 3 through video conferencing; and Mr. Niranjana Sanasam, learned Government Advocate for State respondent No. 1 through video conferencing.

[2] By the present petition, the petitioner prays for setting aside the letter dated 15-09-2025 sent by respondent Nos. 2 & 3 rejecting the offer made by the petitioner herein. On 20-11-2025, Mr. L. Gunindro, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 & 3, submitted that the proposal submitted by the petitioner was too low and prayed for one week's time for taking instructions. Today, he submits an extract of the e-mail sent by ARMB, Guwahati to the learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos. 2 & 3, stating that the total outstanding dues is Rs. 8,03,00,674.84/- as on 30-09-2025 and the offer is only Rs. 1,89,93,600/-. It is stated that the offer was rejected on account of the very low amount offered by the petitioner.

[3] Mr. B.R. Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is filing a fresh proposal keeping in terms of the applicable rules and the same may be filed within one week and on submission of the fresh proposal, the respondents-bank and the petitioner can discuss the matter to find an amicable settlement.

[4] List this case on 12-01-2026 for submission of report.

[5] A copy of this order be furnished to the learned counsel appearing for the parties during the course of the day.

JUDGE

Victoria