

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

WA No. 53 of 2025

Yumlembam Sanathoi

Appellant

Vs.

State of Manipur and another

Respondents

Clubbed with MC(WA) No. 111 of 2025

With WA No. 54 of 2025

With MC(WA) No. 112 of 2025

With WA No. 55 of 2025

With MC(WA) No. 113 of 2025

With WA No. 56 of 2025

With MC(WA) No. 114 of 2025

With WA No. 51 of 2025

With MC(WA) No. 108 of 2025

With MC(WA) No. 109 of 2025

BEFORE

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. M. SUNDAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. GUNESHWAR SHARMA**

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M. Sundar, CJ)

31.10.2025

[1] Read this in conjunction with and in continuation of earlier proceedings made in the previous listings.

[2] In the course of the hearing today, it became necessary to look at some facts and a illustrative list (not exhaustive) of the facts which need to be looked into are as follows :

- i) The number of candidates who sat for the preliminary examination held on 30.04.2023;
- ii) The number of candidates who got the qualifying marks of 33% in G.S.-II;
- iii) How many of the appellants before us have got qualifying marks in G.S.-II?
- iv) The number of candidates who have been shortlisted/held to be qualified to write the final examination. To be noted, as per the list published by MPSC, it appears to be 1318 but this needs to be confirmed by the MPSC;
- v) Of the number of candidates who have qualified to write the final examinations, be it 1318 or any other number, the highest marks secured by a candidate and the lowest marks secured by the last candidate;
- vi) When the last examinations for recruitment to these posts were held?
- vii) The instructions says 12-13 times the number of vacancies, the number of vacancies is shown as 100. Therefore, the basis on which 1318 or any other number was arrived at.

viii) Marks scored by the 9 (nine) appellants before this Court in G.S.-I and G.S.-II (for the present we permit MPSC to produce it in a sealed envelope to the court, if so desired and if so advised).

[3] Faced with the difficulty of want of instructions, Dr. RK Deepak, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. L. Disney Meetei, counsel on record for R2 MPSC, made a request to have the matter kept on Monday so that Controller of Examinations of MPSC or any other person conversant with the facts can be present in court with all the relevant files to aid, assist and instruct MPSC counsel.

[4] List on Monday (03.11.2025) at 2:30 p.m. under the sub-caption 'Part Heard' within parenthesis.

JUDGE

Sushil

CHIEF JUSTICE

P.S. : Registry is directed to furnish a copy of this order today itself to all the counsel concerned.