

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR  
AT IMPHAL**

**WP(C) No. 820 of 2025**

DMR Builders Pvt. Ltd.

***...Petitioner***

**- Versus -**

State of Manipur & 3 Ors.

***...Respondents***

**With  
MC(WP(C)) No. 751 of 2025**

**B E F O R E  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. GUNESHWAR SHARMA**

**ORDER**

**13-10-2025**

[1] Present Mrs. Ayangleima, learned counsel for the petitioner/ applicant.

[2] Issue notice to the respondents.

[3] Mr. Niranjana Sanasam, learned Government Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Md. Abdul Baqee Khan, learned counsel assisting Mr. N. Jotendro, learned senior counsel, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 3, M/s Bhartia Infra Project Ltd.

[4] Petitioner is directed to take steps to respondent No. 4 by speed post within one week and file an affidavit of proof of service.

[5] The petitioner, respondent No. 3, respondent No. 4 and five other bidders have submitted bids in terms of the NIT dated 24-06-2025 for "Upgradation of road from Mantripantha to Sapok (Charlong Village), (Length – 17.023 km)" issued by the Public Works Department, Manipur. The bid of the petitioner was declared technically non-responsive. However,

on the submission of the representation, it was later on declared as responsive. Due to the complaint lodged by respondent No. 3, the technical bid of the petitioner was treated as non-responsive. It is submitted that being aggrieved by the same, without affording any opportunity, the petitioner approached this Court for quashing the proceedings of Technical Evaluation Committee held on 29-09-2025, treating the petitioner's bid as technically responsive and to consider for financial bid. It is prayed that the petitioner has filed a similar writ petition being WP(C) No. 789 of 2025 whereby status quo as on that date was to be maintained till the next date. It is submitted that the present writ petition is also factually similar to the pending case except for the portion of the work to be executed.

[6] Mr. Niranjana Sanasam, learned Government Advocate for the State respondents, has pointed out that the financial bid has been opened today at 2.00 p.m. and by now, the financial bid might have been finalized.

[7] In the circumstances, status quo as on date shall be maintained and if financial bid is already finalized by now, the same shall not be acted upon till the next date.

[8] List these cases along with WP(C) No. 789 of 2025 on 14-11-2025.

**JUDGE**

*Victoria*