

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

W.A. No. 46 of 2025

Thingbaijam Naresh Kumar

Appellant

Vs.

State of Manipur; & Ors.

Respondents

***Clubbed with
MC (W.A.) No. 90 of 2025***

BEFORE

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. KEMPAIAH SOMASHEKAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. GUNESHWAR SHARMA**

(ORDER)

(K. SOMASHEKAR, C.J.)

11.09.2025

Learned senior counsel for the appellant, Mr. N. Kumarjit is present before the Court physically.

However, in these matters, it is required for issuance of process against the respondents.

Accordingly issue process against the respondents to participate in these matters.

Learned Government Advocate, Mr. S. Nepolean accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1 & 2; learned senior counsel, Mr. H.S. Paonam accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 3, 4 & 5; and learned senior counsel, Mr. S. Rupachandra accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 7.

The appellant is directed to furnish requisite fee for issuance of notice against respondent No. 6 and thereafter the Registry shall issue notice against respondent No. 6.

Whereas, learned senior counsel for the appellant/applicant, Mr. N. Kumarjit submits that the proceeding in MC (W.A.) No. 90 of 2025 has been initiated seeking stay of the order dated 01.09.2025 rendered by the learned Single Judge on the writ side in W.P. (C) No. 694 of 2024. Similar relief has been sought in para 11 of the writ appeal, wherein para 11(i) indicates that if the impugned judgment and order is not stayed, the State respondents may process for issuing the final seniority list at any time in compliance of the impugned judgment and order rendered by the learned Single Judge on the writ side.

The aforesaid learned senior counsel has taken us through the reasons stated in para 11 (ii) that the State respondents at any time may process for giving promotion to the next higher post of Executive Engineer in Education Engineering Wing, Education (S) Department on the basis of final seniority list to be prepared on the basis of the impugned judgement and order dated 01.09.2025.

However the appeal is nothing but continuity of the proceeding and more so, revisiting the impugned judgment and order rendered by the learned Single Judge on the writ side, but in this matter, the learned Government Advocate, Mr. S. Nepolean and learned senior counsel, Mr. HS

Paonam who are in the rank of respondents, stoutly objected in granting interim stay as being sought by the appellant in this matter.

However, keeping in view the reasons stated in these matters, it is deemed appropriate that the impugned judgment and order dated 01.09.2025 rendered by the learned Single Judge on the writ side in W.P. (C) No. 694 of 2024 is hereby stayed till the next date of hearing.

Consequently, these matters would be listed on 23.09.2025.

In the meanwhile, learned counsel for the respondents are permitted to file their response, if any, or file an application to proceed further in the matter in accordance with law.

JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE

Sandeep