

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

**WP(C) No. 714 of 2024
With
MC(WP(C)) No. 607 of 2024**

Kangujam Yugindro Singh

Petitioner/s

Vs.

State of Manipur & 3 Ors

Respondent/s

**BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH**

10.09.2025

When this matter is taken up, Mrs. L. Monomala, learned GA appearing for the State respondents submitted that as she received rejoinder affidavit file on behalf of the petitioner she need some time to get instruction with regard to the rejoinder affidavit.

In response thereto, Mr. BP Sahu, learned Sr. counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that State Government has nothing to do with the issue involved in this writ petition and that the Manipur University is the main contesting respondent. Mr. BP Sahu, learned Sr. counsel prays for fixing an early date for final hearing in this case.

It has been further submitted by the learned Sr. counsel that even though notice has been served upon respondent no. 4 through speed post service, in order to avoid any confusion regarding service of notice upon respondent no. 4, the learned Sr. counsel seeks leave to allow the petitioner to take fresh steps for service of notice upon the said respondent no. 4 by way of dasti service as an abundant caution.

Prayer made by the learned Sr. counsel is allowed.

Petitioner is directed to take steps for fresh service of notice upon the respondent no. 4 by way of dasti service. Steps should be taken within one week and petitioner is to file affidavit showing proof of service of such notice.

As prayed for, list these cases again on 25.09.2025.

JUDGE

Lucy