

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

AB No. 36 of 2023

R.A. Ransing

... Petitioner

Vs.

Superintendent of Police,
CID (CB) Manipur/O.C. CB & anr.

... Respondents

With

Crl. MC No. 22 of 2023

B E F O R E

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

12-10-2023

Heard Mr. N. Ibotombi, learned senior counsel assisted by Ms. Babina, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr. M. Rarry, learned counsel assisted by Ms. Thanyomi Keishing, learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

Issue notice, returnable by 31-10-2023.

I have heard at length the rival submissions advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the parties with regard to the prayer for passing interim order.

Mr. M. Rarry, learned counsel appearing for the respondents fairly submitted that this court had already considered the submission advanced on behalf of the petitioner as well as the respondents and passed a detailed order on 09-10-2023 in AB No. 29 of 2023 and other analogous cases. The learned counsel, accordingly, seeks two weeks' time for filing a short objection to satisfy this court with regard to the need for custodial interrogation of the accused person.

As prayed for by the learned counsel appearing for the respondents, list these cases again on 31-10-2023 for consideration of the interim prayer.

Mr. N. Ibotombi, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the issues raised in the present Bail Application is the same as those which has been already considered by this court in AB

No.29 of 2023 and that similar interim order may be passed for protecting the rights and interests of the petitioner.

After hearing the submissions advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the parties, it is hereby ordered that if the petitioner is arrested by the police in connection with FIR No. 4(09)2023 CB-PS U/S 7(b)/13 PC Act & 120-B/34 IPC before the next date of hearing, he shall be released on bail on his furnishing a bail bond of Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees fifty thousand) with one surety (Government employee) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Authority. It is also made clear that the petitioner should co-operate with the investigation and he should make himself available for interrogation by the IO of the case in connection with the said FIR as and when required. The petitioner should not leave the State of Manipur without prior permission of the Arresting Authority. It is further made clear that if the petitioner violates any of the conditions given hereinabove, the respondents are at liberty to approach this court for modification or cancellation of this interim order.

JUDGE

Devananda