

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

W.P.(C) No. 680 of 2019

Munna Kumar Singh

.....Petitioner/s

- Versus –
Union of India

.... Respondent/s

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

15.06.2022

Heard Mr. Anjan Prasad Sahu, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, Mr. Kh. Samarjit, learned ASG appearing on behalf of the respondents No. 1, 2 & 3 and Mr. Amarjit Naorem, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No. 4. It is submitted by Mr. Amarjit Naorem that he can represent the respondent No. 4, i.e., the Union Public Service Commission (UPSC).

Mr. Amarjit Naorem further submitted that as he can represent the UPSC in the present case, the name of the UPSC be deleted from the cause-list.

On the other hand, Mr. Anjan Prasad Sahu submitted that the petitioner took steps for service of notice upon the respondent No. 4 and that he may be given 1 (one) week's time to verify as to whether notice has been duly served upon the said respondent No. 4 or not and to file an affidavit in that regard.

Although office note dated 25.04.2022 indicated that the Registry is not in a position to verify as to whether notice has been served upon the respondent No. 4 or not as the website of the Post shows that “Consignment details not found”, this Court is of the considered view that a chance should be given to the counsel for the petitioner to verify as to whether notice has been served upon the respondent No. 4 or not.

As prayed for, list this case again on 12.07.2022.

JUDGE

Lhaineichong