

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

WA No. 29 of 2025

Mutum Anilkumar Singh

Appellant

Vs.

State of Manipur and 3 Others

Respondents

Clubbed with MC(WA) No. 63 of 2025

BEFORE

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. KEMPAIAH SOMASHEKAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH**

ORDER

(K. Somashekar, C.J.)

22.07.2025

These matters are listed for further arguments. The learned counsel Mrs. G. Pushpa for the appellant in WA No. 29 of 2025 has advanced their arguments in this matter and termed as marathon argument. Apart from that argument, the learned counsel for the appellant in this matter has submitted a written argument in detail by referring an observation made by the learned Single Judge on the writ side in WP(C) No. 218 of 2025. However, the aforesaid writ petition has been clubbed with the proceeding in WP(C) No. 295 of 2025 but the clubbing order has been rendered on 11.06.2025.

Whereas, under this appeal challenging the order rendered by the learned Single Judge even though it was clubbing order on 11.06.2025 but this appeal is related to WP(C) No. 218 of 2025. Whereas, the learned counsel Mrs. G. Pushpa who has marathonly addressed the argument by referring almost all the materials including the observation made by the

learned Single Judge on the writ side and so also the Notifications, Rules and Regulations and guidelines inclusive of undertakings twice submitted by the appellant herein was in the rank of respondent No. 4, accordingly the argument has been completed by the learned counsel Mrs. G. Pushpa and also submitted written arguments in detail and the same is taken on record.

On the part of the learned AG, he has submitted arguments and also countered to the arguments advanced by the learned counsel Mrs. G. Pushpa and inclusive of the arguments advanced by the learned senior counsel Mr. N. Ibotombi for the petitioner in WP(C) No. 218 of 2025. That very senior counsel was referring to the observation made by the learned Single Judge on the writ side in WP(C) No. 218 of 2025 inclusive of the observation made in the connected proceeding in WP(C) No. 295 of 2025 was in relating to the vires to the Notification issued by the concerned department.

Whereas, keeping in view the arguments advanced by the counsel Mrs. G. Pushpa for the appellant inclusive of the learned AG/State of Manipur and inclusive of the learned senior counsel Mr. N. Ibotombi, it is deemed appropriate to state that the arguments have been heard in toto.

However, the learned senior counsel Mr. N. Ibotombi in this matter, during the course of the submission submits that he is adopting the submission made by the learned AG and this submission is taken on record.

However, these matters would be reserved for judgment.

JUDGE

Sushil

CHIEF JUSTICE