

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

W.A. No. 29 of 2025

Mutum Anilkumar Singh

... Appellant

- Versus -

State of Manipur & 3 Ors.

... Respondents

B E F O R E

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. K. SOMASHEKAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH**

O R D E R

[K. Somashekar, CJ]

18.07.2025

Learned counsel for the appellant, Mrs. G. Pushpa in the appeal proceeding i.e. W.A. No. 29 of 2025 has addressed argument extensively referring to the order rendered by the Ld. Single Judge on writ side in W.P.(C) No. 218 of 2025 dated 11.06.2025. However, the writ petition has been clubbed with the proceeding of W.P.(C) No. 295 of 2025. Clubbing of both writ petitions and rendering the order dated 11.06.2025 and the same has been challenged in this appeal. However, it is clarified that clubbing order in the aforesaid writ petitions i.e. W.P.(C) No. 218 of 2025 and W.P.(C) No. 295 of 2025 dated 11.06.2025 is relating to the order specifically in W.P.(C) No. 218 of 2025 dated 11.06.2025.

But, the learned counsel for the appellant, Mrs. G. Pushpa submits that there is ground in this appeal relating to the observation made in W.P.(C) No. 295 of 2025. However, in this appeal, contentious contentions have been taken by the learned counsel for the appellant relating to the observation made in W.P.(C) No. 218 of 2025 dated 11.06.2025. However, the clubbing has been rendered by the Ld. Single Judge on the writ side.

Whereas, the learned counsel for the appellant in this aforesaid writ appeal has addressed arguments extensively by referring so many paragraphs in the writ order rendered by the Ld. Single Judge on the

writ side dated 11.06.2025 and also referring certain rules and inclusive of notification issued by the competent authority. But the extensive argument made by the learned counsel for the appellant and more so, it has been heard in part. Apart from that, the counsel be permitted to submit the argument in terms of noting with bulletin point of the issues. It is required to be addressed in this matter and the same shall be furnished to the learned Advocate General for the State and inclusive of the learned senior counsel representing the respondent No. 4, Mr. N. Ibotombi who is petitioner in W.P.(C) No. 218 of 2025.

Accordingly, made an observation.

It is deemed appropriate to state that the learned counsel for the appellant may be facilitating the citations relied upon this issue to be addressed in this appeal.

Hence, this matter would be taken as a part heard. Consequently, list these matters on 22.07.2025.

In the meanwhile, the deferment of this matter shall also be listed on the aforesaid date and whereby, the learned counsel for the appellant, Mrs. G. Pushpa is submitting that interim order rendered in this matter may be extended.

Keeping in view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the appellant, it is deemed appropriate that if any interim order is granted earlier, the same shall be extended till the next date of hearing.

In the meanwhile, it is deemed appropriate and also keeping in view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the appellant inclusive of the learned Advocate General, secure any report from the competent authority to persuade the matter in accordance with law apart from the argument made by the learned Advocate General inclusive of learned senior counsel representing respondent No. 4 in W.P.(C) No. 218 of 2025.

JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE

Bipin