

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

**W.P. (C) No. 436 of 2025 with
MC(W.P. (C)) No. 424 of 2025**

Huidrom Sarojubala Devi

... *Petitioner*

- *Versus* -

State of Manipur and 5 Ors.

... *Respondents*

**B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. GUNESHWAR SHARMA**

ORDER

30.05.2025

Heard Mr. L. Shyam, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Issue notice.

Mr. A. Bheigya Meitei, learned jr. GA assisting Mr. H. Devendra, learned Dy. AG accepts notice on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 to 6. Hence, service of notice is completed on the respondents.

The learned Dy. AG for the respondents prays for 2(two) weeks' time for filing counter affidavit.

The learned counsel for the petitioner prays for the possession of the petitioner shall not be disturbed during the pendency of the present writ petition.

However, the learned Dy. AG for the respondents opposes the prayer for interim at this stage as the petitioner has challenged only the notice for eviction.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the application for allotment is pending before the competent authority.

The prayer for interim will be considered after filing of the counter affidavit by the respondents.

It is made clear that pendency of the present writ petition is not an impediment on the respondents to consider the application for allotment submitted by the petitioner, as per rule.

List these cases on 11.07.2025.

JUDGE

Thoiba