

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

CRL. A. No. 17 of 2021

Ashin @ Kashin @ Kasinba

... Appellant

- Versus -

State of Manipur

... Respondent

B E F O R E

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. K. SOMASHEKAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH**

O R D E R

[K. Somashekar, CJ]

24.07.2025

Learned counsel for the appellant, Mr. Th. Jugindro is present before this Court physically, wherein stated that even though preparing paper book has been prepared, 161 statement is not placed on record. But, in the meanwhile, the learned counsel submits that he wants to rely upon the statements made by the investigating agency in respect of victims.

This statement made by the learned counsel for the appellant is taken on record and the counsel be directed to submit a memo where the statements in this matter are required to be prepared and also submitting along with the paper book.

Whereas, learned PP for the respondent/State, Mr. S. Nepolean is present before this Court physically. However, the judgment of conviction order rendered by the Ld. Trial Court in Spl. T. (POCSO) Case No. 1 of 2017 was challenged and wherein the Ld. Trial Court was arrived at for conviction of the offences under Section 326 of IPC, 1860.

In the meanwhile, recording the same statement submitted by the learned counsel for the appellant in this matter whereby, the learned PP submits that there was an injury on the back side of head of the victim and the same was caused by stone.

This submission made by the learned PP is taken on record.

Keeping in view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the appellant in this matter is concerned, it is deemed appropriate that soon after filing of the memo for requirement of statements of the victims, Registry is directed to furnish the statements of the witnesses along with paper book. However, paper book has already been prepared and furnishing to the aforesaid counsel.

Hence, this matter would be listed on 08.08.2025.

JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE

Bipin