

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR  
AT IMPHAL**

**MC(WA)No.33 of 2026**

Ref: W.A. No.6 of 2018

The State of Manipur & 2 ors.

***Applicants***

**Vs.**

Shri Laishram Amusana Singh, aged about 68 years, S/o  
(L) L. Khoidum Singh, a resident of Bamon Leikai  
Thangjam Leirak, Imphal East District, Manipur & 8 Ors.

***Respondents***

BEFORE

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. M. SUNDAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH  
(ORDER)**

***(Order of the Court was made by Mr. M. Sundar, CJ)***

**04.06.2026**

[1] The following abbreviations/short forms have been used in  
this order:

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Abbreviation/Short Form/other references for the sake of brevity/convenience and/or clarity</b>	<b>Full Form/Expansion</b>
1.	MC	Miscellaneous Case (to be noted, MCs in plural and MC in Singular)
2	WA	Writ appeal
3.	WP	Writ Petition
4.	R1	Respondent No. 1 and similar abbreviations and short forms are used for the other respondents also


[2] Mr. Phungyo Zingkhai, learned State counsel for MC applicants is before this Court (physical Court).

[3] Captioned MC has been taken out by writ appellants in the main WA i.e., WA No.6 of 2018.

[4] Captioned MC has been taken out with a prayer to add two more respondents in the main WA. To be noted, there are already 9(nine) respondents in the main WA, this means that the two respondents sought to be added have to be added as R10 & R11. However, in the captioned MC, R1 to R9 in the main WA have not been arrayed as respondents, only the two respondents who are sought to be impleaded have been arrayed as respondents. This is plainly incorrect. The Registry ought not to have cleared the captioned MC and should have raised an objection in this regard. Registry is directed to be careful in scrutiny in days to come.

[5] Faced with the above situation, Mr. Phungyo Zingkhai, learned State counsel for MC applicants sought leave of this Court to withdraw the captioned MC but made a prayer to preserve all the rights and contentions of MC applicants to come up with another MC with the same prayer but after making necessary course corrections. If such a course is adopted, this order shall be filed along with the new MC and Registry shall not entertain the new MC if the same is not filed along with this order.

[6] A scanned reproduction of endorsement made by learned State counsel for MC applicants in the case file as regards withdrawal is as follows:



Allow to  
withdraw  
the M (W A) No. 33/2026 -  
with liberty  
Phungyo Zingkhai  
04/08/2026

[7] In the light of the narrative thus far, captioned MC is disposed of as withdrawn/closed albeit with preservation of rights and contentions of MC applicants and observations of this Court as above. There shall be no order as to costs.

**JUDGE**

**CHIEF JUSTICE**

*John Kom*