

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

WP(C) No. 410 of 2026

Parhai Kothil.

...Petitioner

- Versus -

State of Manipur & 7 Ors.

...Respondents

**With
MC(WP(C)) No. 426 of 2026**

**B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. GUNESHWAR SHARMA**

ORDER

03-06-2026

[1] Heard Mr. H.S. Paonam, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. S. Gunabanta, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

[2] The petitioner is one of the candidates who appeared in the Manipur Civil Services Combined Competitive Examinations, 2022 and in the final merit list of candidates published vide Notification dated 22-04-2026 issued by the Manipur Public Service Commission (MPSC), the petitioner's name is shown at Serial No. 203 and he belongs to the category of ST/Hearing Impaired and respondent No. 8 is at Serial No. 195 and he is also in the same category of ST/Hearing Impaired. In the advertisement No. 01/2022 dated 07-12-2022 issued by the MPSC, 100 posts were advertised, out of which 1 post is reserved for Hearing Impaired under MCS Grade-II, one post for Visually Impaired under Sub Deputy Collector/Jr. MCS and one post for Loco Disability under Manipur Secretariat Service Category-VI. Respondent No. 8 has been recommended for being appointed under one

post reserved for MCS Grade-II under Hearing Impaired category with Persons with Disabilities of at least 40% as mentioned in the advertisement and in the notification dated 29-05-2026 issued by the Department of Personnel, Government of Manipur, he has been recommended at Serial No. 17 in MCS Grade-II under the category of Hearing Impaired. By the present petition, the petitioner challenges the Notification dated 22-04-2026 issued by the MPSC and the Notification dated 29-05-2026 issued by the Department of Personnel, Government of Manipur on the ground that Hon'ble Delhi High Court held in the order dated 06-04-2026 in WP(C) No. 9074 of 2025 filed by respondent No. 8 herein that his benchmark disability certificate was below 40%. It is also submitted that the same certificate has been annexed for appearing in the said examination conducted by MPSC.

[3] Issue notice to the respondents.

[4] Mr. Niranjana Sanasam, learned Government Advocate appearing through video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4; Mrs. I. Bimola, learned counsel, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 3/MPSC; Mr. N. Amarjit, learned counsel, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 5 & 6; and Mr. Amarjit Naorem, learned counsel, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 7/UPSC.

[5] Petitioner is directed to take steps to respondent No. 8 by speed post and dasti in addition within one week and file an affidavit of proof of service.

[6] Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 to 7 pray for some time for filing counter affidavit.

[7] Mr. H.S. Paonam, learned senior counsel for the petitioner,

submits that due to inadvertence, wrong synopsis has been included in the paper book and he may be permitted to file the correct synopsis.

[8] Prayer is allowed and the same may be filed before the next date of hearing.

[9] List these cases on 15-06-2026 for consideration of interim relief on the appearance of respondent No. 8.

JUDGE

Victoria