

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

W.A. No. 66 of 2023

State of Manipur & Anr.

... Appellants

- Versus -

Khaidem Narendrajit Singh & Anr.

... Respondents

B E F O R E

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. K. SOMASHEKAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. GUNESHWAR SHARMA**

O R D E R

[K. Somashekar, CJ]

28.07.2025

Learned Government Advocate for the appellant, Mr. Sh. Yangya is present before this Court physically.

Whereas, learned senior counsel for the respondents, Mr. HS Paonam is also present before this Court physically and refers to the order of this Court rendered on 28.01.2025 whereby, it is indicated that -

"The learned counsel appearing for the appellants is directed to file a detailed affidavit before this Court for explaining the reasons for discrimination among the similar category of the employees served in the department. Secondly, the learned counsel appearing for the appellants is directed to file a report of the Special Investigation Team (SIT) that there is a malpractice in the selection process. All these facts are to be incorporated in the report on the next date of hearing."

This observation is rendered by the Co-ordinate Bench on 28.01.2025 and it is emphatically submitted by the learned senior counsel for the respondents.

The learned Government Advocate, despite submission of the report of Special Investigation Team (SIT), is submitting written argument. The submission of written argument is altogether different from the order rendered on 28.01.2025.

However, it is directed to the learned Government Advocate to submit the report of Special Investigation Team (SIT) for reference in this matter apart from the submission of written argument.

Hence, this matter would be listed on 11.08.2025.

JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE

Bipin