

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR  
AT IMPHAL**

**EL.PET. No. 25 of 2022**

With

EL.PET. No. 14 of 2022

Lourembam Sanjit Singh

***Petitioner/s***

**Vrs.**

Thounaojam Shyamkumar & 3 ors.

***Respondent/s***

BEFORE

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. M. SUNDAR  
(ORDER)**

**13.04.2026**

Ms. Geetarani Waikhom, learned counsel representing Mr. S. Gunabanta, learned counsel on record for election petitioner and Ms. L. Sillori, learned counsel representing Mr. M. Gunedhor, learned counsel on record for returned candidate are before this Court (physical Court).

Afore-referred learned counsel on both sides request for adjournment citing personal inconvenience for arguing counsel on both sides.

Inter-alia owing to sub Section (6) of Section 86 of the 'Representation of the People Act , 1951' ('RP Act' for the sake of brevity), election petitions should go on a today-to-day basis until conclusion.

However, in the case on hand, adjournment is sought on the ground of personal inconvenience for arguing counsel on both sides i.e., election petitioner and returned candidate.

To be noted, adjournment beyond the following working day has become necessary owing to personal inconvenience for the counsel and the request made in this regard.

In the light of the narrative thus far, let the captioned matter stand over to 28.04.2026.

List on 28.04.2026.

**CHIEF JUSTICE**

*Ab. Surjit*