

Oinam Nabakishore Singh ... Petitioner

Konthoujam Govindar Singh &
Anr ... Respondents

Present Mr.N.Ibotombi, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr.A.Rommel, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr.Kh.Lupenjit, learned counsel for the respondent No.1. Respondent No.2 did not appear after filing of written statement and did not participate in the election proceeding.

Mr.N.Ibotombi, learned senior counsel for the election petitioner submits that vide order dated 11.1.2023, this Court framed 23 issues for adjudication of the present election petition. However, it is pointed out that in issue Nos.7 and 8, the measurement of piece of agricultural land is wrongly written as .09 acres in place of .095. Learned senior counsel refers to para 14 of the election petition where correct measurement of agricultural land mentioned in issue Nos.7 and 8 is written as .095 acres. It is submitted that for proper adjudication of the election petition the typographical mistake in issue No.7 may be rectified. Likewise, with respect to issue No.7, the said agricultural land is also given as .09

acres in place of correct measurement is .095 acres and in Issue No.8 with respect to measurement of agricultural land be corrected as .095 acres and by doing so there will be no change in the nature of the case. Likewise in issue No.9, learned senior counsel for the petitioner states that measurement of land is wrongly written as .732 acres in place of correct measurement of .7325 acres and same can be verified vide para 15 of the election petition and also similarly prays that the typographical mistake may be corrected.

Mr.Lupenjit, learned counsel fairly submits that prayer for correction of size of land in question in issue Nos.7, 8 and 9 may be corrected as prayed for.

This Court has perused the materials on record and compared with the measurement given in issue Nos.7, 8 and 9 with the averments made in para 14 and 15 of the election petition. It is evident that there is typographical mistake in issue Nos.7, 8 and 9 regarding measurement of land.

In the circumstance, the oral prayer for correction of typographical mistake is allowed. Measurement of land in issue Nos.7 and 8 be read as .095 acres in place of .09 acres and measurement in issue No.9 be read as .7325 acres in place of .732 acres as recorded in the order dated 11.1.2023.

Correction is done by pencil in original order dated 11.1.2023.

Heard Mr.N.Ibotombi, learned senior counsel for the petitioner in part with respect to issue No.1.

During the course of hearing, this Court finds it difficult in referring to the documents in various files. Examination-in-chief and cross examination of witnesses are in different files. It would be

helpful if Registry prepares Paper Book of witnesses by placing examination-in-chief and cross examination in seriatim.

Mr.N.Ibotombi, learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that he has prepared a Hand Book of depositions of parties in seriatim and he may be permitted to furnish a copy of the same to the Court for easy reference. Oral prayer is allowed.

Copy of the same may be given to learned counsel for respondent No.1.

List on 4.12.2025.

JUDGE

Priyojit