

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

El.Recr.Pet. No. 9 of 2022

With

EL.PET. No. 9 of 2022

With

MC(El.Pet.) No. 58 of 2022

Konthoujam Govindas Singh

Petitioner

Vs.

Oinam Nabakishore Singh & anr.

Respondents

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

03.03.2025

Ms. Gitanjali, learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 1 in the election petition and petitioner in the election recrimination petition, seeks adjournment of these cases on the ground that both the counsel as well as the said respondent No. 1/petitioner in election recrimination petition, are out of station.

The learned counsel submitted that the counsel for the said respondent No. 1 as well as respondent No. 1 will be back on 05.04.2025 and accordingly, a prayer has been made for taking up these matters on 07.03.2025 for further proceedings.

This prayer is vehemently objected to by Mr. N. Ibotombi, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner on the ground that the adjournment sought for is nothing but a delaying tactics and as such, the learned senior counsel prays for imposing cost for seeking such unreasonable adjournment.

In view of the submission made above, prayer for adjournment is allowed subject to payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten

Thousand) to be paid by the said respondent No. 1/petitioner in election recrimination petition to the High Court Bar Association, Manipur, for the relief materials of the internally displaced people.

The said cost should be paid on or before the next date of hearing.

As prayed for by the learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 1 in the election petition/petitioner in the election recrimination petition, Registry is directed to place the record of these cases before the appointed Advocate Commissioner for further examination of the witnesses on 07.03.2025.

JUDGE

Indrajeet