

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

WP(C) No. 246 of 2026

Mohammad Amin Khan

... *Petitioner*

Vs.

Chief Manager, State Bank of India,
Secretariat Branch & anr.

... *Respondents*

With

MC(WP(C)) No. 267 of 2026

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH
O R D E R

22-04-2026

Md. Ajmal Hussain, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the petitioner and submitted that notice was issued by this court on 01-04-2026 upon the respondents and the petitioner was directed to take steps upon respondent No. 3 by speed post as well as by dasti service, in addition.

Pursuant to the said order of this court, the petitioner has duly served notice upon respondent No. 1 by way of dasti service as well as by speed post service. In proof of service of notice upon the said respondent No. 1 by way of dasti service, the petitioner has filed an affidavit and it is on record that the respondent No. 1 duly received notice on 09-04-2026. Despite service of notice, none appeared on behalf of respondent No. 1 till today.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that a Bank Account bearing No. 34631314156 was

opened on behalf of the petitioner in the State Bank of India, Secretariat Branch, Imphal, for the purpose of transaction of the petitioner's monthly salary. It has also been submitted that the said account of the petitioner has been freezed by the respondent No. 1 unreasonably and refused to de-freeze the said account and to allow the petitioner to operate the same despite repeated request made by the petitioner.

Due to the unreasonable action of the respondent No. 1, the petitioner as well as his family dependants are suffering as the petitioner cannot withdraw his monthly salary for the last four months on account of freezing of the said account. The learned counsel, accordingly, prays for passing an interim order to allow the petitioner to operate the said bank account and to enable him to withdraw his monthly salary.

Even though notice has been duly served upon the respondent No. 1 on 09-04-2026, the respondent No. 1 remain unrepresented till today. In the interests of justice, one more opportunity is given to the said respondent to appear before this court and to oppose the prayer for passing an interim order, if so advised.

List these cases again on 29-04-2026 for consideration of the prayer for passing interim order. It is made clear that in the event the respondent No. 1 failed to appear before this court on the next date, the prayer for passing interim order will be considered.

JUDGE

Devananda