

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

WP(C) No. 137 of 2026

With

MC(WP(C)) No. 142 of 2026 With
MC(WP(C)) No. 181 of 2026 With
MC(WP(C)) No. 299 of 2025 With
MC(WP(C)) No. 70 of 2025 With
MC(WP(C)) No. 72 of 2026 With
WP(C) No. 175 of 2026 With
WP(C) No. 316 of 2025 With
WP(C) No. 66 of 2026 With
WP(C) No. 79 of 2025

RK Jibanlata Devi.

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Manipur & 3 Ors.

...Respondents

BEFORE

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. M. SUNDAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH
(ORDER)**

(Order of the Court was made by M. Sundar, CJ)

31.07.2026.

[1] Read this in conjunction with and in continuation of earlier proceedings made in the previous listings.

[2] In the hearing today, Mr. E. Premjit, learned counsel on record for writ petitioners in WP(C)Nos. 137 & 175 of 2026, Mr. N. Jotendro, learned senior advocate instructed by Mr. Abdul Baqee Khan, learned counsel on record for writ petitioner in WP(C)No.316 of 2025, Mr. Lenin Hijam, learned Advocate General (AG) instructed by Ms. Thanyomi

Keishing, learned State counsel and Mr. M. Rustam, learned counsel on record for the High Court of Manipur are before this Court (physical Court). Mr. Niraj B. Paonam, learned counsel on record for writ petitioners in WP(C)Nos. 66 of 2026 & 79 of 2025 is before this Court on the Video Conferencing (VC) platform.

[3] Adverting to earlier proceedings made in the previous listings, Mr. Lenin Hijam, learned AG for State of Manipur submitted that there is an assent from department of Law & Legislative Affairs, Government of Manipur *qua* proposal for exchange of thoughts between two constitutional functionaries in terms of paragraph 57 of '*the Supreme Court Employees Welfare Association -vs- Union of India & Anr.*' reported in (1989) 4 SCC 187. As the matter is under active consideration of this Court, we refrain from expressing any opinion at this stage. However, with regard to learned AG taking up the matter, he sought for a short accommodation.

[4] Mr. Niraj Paonam, learned counsel who is before this Court on the Video Conferencing (VC) platform also requested for a short accommodation citing personal inconvenience/difficulty for senior advocate, Mr. K. Parameshwar who is leading him (today).

[5] This Court pointed out that Hon'ble Supreme Court in and *vide* judicial order dated 27.01.2026 has requested the Chief Justice of this Court (High Court of Manipur) to take up and decide the writ petition

at the earliest. Responding to this, all the learned counsel before this Court submitted that if the matter is kept on 11.08.2026 at 2:00 pm, that listing can be treated as peremptory and the matter can be heard out if no conclusive response comes forth from learned AG.

[6] Captioned matter is adjourned but with a caveat that next listing shall be treated as peremptory.

[7] List under the same cause list caption i.e., 'AS PER HON'BLE SUPREME COURT ORDER PART HEARD-HEARING' at 2:00 pm before this Division Bench.

[8] List on 11.08.2026.

JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE

John Kom