



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

WP (C) No. 156 of 2025

Laitonjam Lokhon Meetei, aged about 22 years, S/o Laitonjam Rabi Meetei, resident of Khurai Heigrumakhong, P.O. Lamlong, P.S- Porompat, Porompat, District Imphal East, Manipur-795010.

Kakchingtabam Chitranjan Sharma, aged about 32 years, S/o (Late) Kakchingtabam Sarat Sharma, resident of Mayang Imphal, District Imphal West, Manipur-795132.

Wairokpam Shyamson Singh, aged about 26 years, S/o Wairokpam Shamu Singh, resident of Dungdongbam Makha Leikai, P.O & P.S. Lamalai, District Imphal East, Manipur-795010.

.....Petitioner

Vs.

1. State of Manipur, through the Commissioner (CAF & PD), Department of Consumer Affairs, Food & Public Distribution (CAF & PD), Secretariat Building, Babupara, P.O. & P.S. Imphal, Imphal West District, Manipur.
2. The Director, CAF & PD, Government of Manipur, Directorate of Consumer Affairs, Food & Public Distribution (CAF & PD), Sangaiprou Bazar, P.O. Sangaiprou, P.S. Lamphel, District Imphal West, Manipur-795140.

..... Respondents

**B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH**

For the Petitioner	:	B.P. Sahu, Senior Advocate
For the respondents No. 1 & 2	:	L. Monomala, GA
	:	
Date of Hearing	:	10.08.2026
Date of Order	:	10.08.2026

ORDER (ORAL)

[1] Mr. B. P Sahu, learned senior advocate assisted by Mr. Abishek Sahu, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the petitioners through video conferencing platform and Ms. L. Monomala, learned Government Advocate appeared on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

[2] Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the present writ petition can be disposed of by giving liberty to the petitioners to approach the respondents by submitting a representation for redressing their grievances raised in this writ petition and by directing the respondents to consider and dispose of the said representation, as and when such a representation is filed by the petitioners, by issuing a speaking order within a stipulated period.

[3] Mrs. Manomala, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents submitted that she has no objection in disposing of this writ petition as prayed for by the learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners.

[4] Considering the submission advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the parties and the limited prayer made on behalf of the petitioners, this Court is of the considered view that it will meet the ends of justice by issuing the following directions.

a. The petitioners are at liberty to approach the respondents by filing a representation for redressing their grievances raised in the present writ petition. The said representation should be filed within a period of 2(two) weeks from today;

b. In the event of filing such a representation by the petitioners, the respondents are directed to consider the said representation on its own merit strictly in terms of applicable law and to dispose of the same by issuing a speaking order.

c. The whole process of consideration and disposal of the said representation should be completed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of such a representation.

[5] With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition is disposed of.

JUDGE

Ab. Surjit