

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

WP (C) No. 93 of 2026

Mutum Pritamkumar Singh

...Petitioner

Vrs.

State of Manipur; & 2 Ors.

...Respondents

- B E F O R E -

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

05.02.2026

Heard Mr. Ch. Robinchandra, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

Issue notice, returnable within 3 weeks.

Mrs. L. Monomala, learned GA entered appearance and accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents, hence, no formal notice is called for.

The case of the petitioner is that under Rule 27 of the Manipur Forest Training School Rules, 2009, it is, inter-alia provided that a trainee on completion of the course and who secure the total marks of 65 % or more marks in the aggregate will be granted the certificate of Honours.

The petitioner secured the total marks of 1259 out of 1850, i.e., he secured 68.05 % marks. The petitioner's claim is that as he secured more than 65 % of the total aggregate marks, he is entitled to get certificate of Honours, however, the authorities have only issued to him the certificate of just passed. According to the petitioner under Rule 29 of the said rules, if a candidate secure a grade of Honours and above, he will be entitled to receive two advance increments.

It is also the case of the petitioner that he has already approached the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests & HoFF, Government of Manipur (Respondent No. 2 herein) by submitting a representation with a request for rectification or correction of the certificate issued to him by awarding the certificate of Honours Grade so as to enable him to avail two advance increments as provided under Rule 29 of the said rules. However, the said representation has been rejected by the respondent No. 2 by issuing a letter dated 19.01.2026. Hence, the present writ petition.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that while issuing the impugned letter dated 19.01.2026, the respondent No. 2 has not given any reason for rejecting the claim made by the petitioner in his representation dated 26.11.2025.

It has also been submitted that the present writ petition can be disposed of by directing the respondent No. 2 to reconsider the aforesaid representation dated 26.11.2025 submitted by the petitioner by taking into consideration, the provisions of Rule 27 of the Manipur Forest Training School Rules, 2009 and the petitioner's mark sheet issued by the Manipur Forest Training School and to pass a speaking order within a stipulated period.

Mrs. L. Monomala, learned GA appearing for the respondents submitted that she may be given 2 weeks' time to get necessary instruction in this regard.

In view of the submission made above, list this case again on 27.02.2026.

The respondent No. 2 is directed to furnish the reason for rejecting the representation made by the petitioner and place it before this Court through the learned Government Advocate on the next date of hearing without fail.

A copy of this order be furnished to both the counsel appearing for the parties through their whatsapp/e-mail for doing the needful.

JUDGE

Sapana