

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

**MC(WP(C) No. 37 of 2024 with
MC(WP(C) No. 318 of 2023 with
MC(WP(C) No. 36 of 2024 with
MC(WP(C) No. 363 of 2023 with
WP(C) No. 725 of 2023 with
WP(C) No. 793 of 2023 with
WP(C) No. 857 of 2023**

Amit Sagolsem & 2 Ors.

.....*Petitioner/s*

- Versus -

Chingtham Premkumar & 51 Ors.

.... *Respondent/s*

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. GUNESHWAR SHARMA

Order

04.04.2025

[1] Present Mr. S. Rupachandra, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. Shahidur Rahman, Mr. Kh. Tarunkumar, learned senior counsel assisted by Ms. Kh. Maria, learned counsel and Mr. N. Ibotombi, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. N. Leo, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also present Mr. M. Rarry, learned senior counsel assisted by Ms. M. Nikita, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State respondent, Mr. A. Romenkumar, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. RK. Banna, learned counsel for some of the private respondents and Ms. Athoibi, learned counsel for the MPSC.

[2] Due to paucity of time, these matters cannot be taken up today.

[3] At this stage, Mr. S. Rupachandra, learned senior counsel and Mr. Kh. Tarunkumar, learned senior counsel for the petitioners, made a prayer that the confirmation of the private respondent may not be done without the leave of this Court.

[4] Mr. M. Rarry, learned senior counsel on behalf of the State respondent and Mr. A. Romenkumar, learned senior counsel for some of the private respondents, strongly oppose the prayer on the ground that the matter is in the midst of hearing on maintainability of the writ petitions.

[5] This Court has considered the materials on record and submissions made at the bar.

[6] At this stage, when the matter is in the midst of hearing on the maintainability of the writ petition, this Court is not inclined to pass any order making the confirmation of service of private respondents without the leave of this Court.

[7] List this case on 11.04.2025.

JUDGE

Kh. Joshua Maring