

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

CONT.CAS(C) No. 114 of 2022

With
CRP No. 2 of 2018

In Re Contempt Case On Reference

....Petitioner

- Versus -

Smt. Thiyam Ningol Irengbam Ongbi Mema Devi & 2
ors.

...Respondents

**BEFORE
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. SANJAY KUMAR**

20.10.2022

There is no representation for the respondent in the CRP.

On the last date of hearing, Mr. Y. Nirmolchand, learned senior counsel, appearing for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 in the contempt case, was asked to ascertain from them as to whether they were willing to vacate the premises in question, as they had stated in para 17 of their affidavit dated 17.10.2021 that they have no place to go along with their family members in such a short span and required some more time to settle in a suitable place. However, today, Mr. Y. Nirmolchand, learned senior counsel, states that he was unable to secure any undertaking from respondent Nos. 1 & 2 as to how much time they would require to vacate the premises and settle elsewhere.

Mr. T. Rajendra, learned counsel, contests the claims of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 that they have no other place to stay.

In any event, as the CRP is also tagged along with this contempt case, it would be appropriate that both the matters are heard and disposed of together.

Post on 02.11.2022 for arguments in the CRP and in the contempt case.

CHIEF JUSTICE