

**Serial No.02**  
**Supp. List**

**HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA**  
**AT SHILLONG**

WP(C). No. 617 of 2025

Date of Order: 28.11.2025

Shri. P. Sengborlang Nongkynrih Vs. State of Meghalaya & Ors.

**Coram:**

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice H.S.Thangkhiew, Judge**

**Appearance:**

For the Petitioner/Applicant(s) : Mr. L.Syiem, Adv.  
Mr. W.Bynnud, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Ms. R.Colney, GA for R 1-5.  
Mr. J.K.Pariat, Adv. for R 8 & 9.

Heard Mr. L.Syiem, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Issue notice.

Ms. R.Colney, learned GA is present and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No. 1-5, and Mr. J.K.Pariat, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No. 8 & 9, as such, no further notice is required on these respondents.

Notice to issue to the remaining respondents within three days.

The grievance as projected by the learned counsel for the writ petitioner is that the respondents No. 6 & 7, who are stated to be the office bearers of Laitryngew village have sought to disrupt the operation and functioning of the petitioner's resort, which he submits is constructed on his own property with due permission. It is submitted by the learned counsel for

the petitioner that inspite of a complaint to the Syiem of Sohra and also an FIR being filed on 13-10-2025, the Police authorities have not taken any steps which has led to a situation that has compelled the writ petitioner to come before this Court by way of this instant petition.

Mr. L.Syiem, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the dispute, it appears, stems from a boundary dispute between Laitryngew and Pdengshong, Sohra. He therefore, prays for some interim protection at this stage.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and having seen the materials as placed, it is noted that a complaint has been made before the respondent No. 10, the Syiem of Sohra on 05-10-2025, and also an FIR had been filed on 13-10-2025. What is worrying however, is a letter dated 21-11-2025, whereby the petitioner has been directed to remove all structures which he has constructed on the said land by the respondents No. 6 & 7.

In this view of the matter, in the considered view of this Court, a prima facie case has been made out for some interim protection, as nobody can take the law into their own hands and pass such directions.

It is therefore provided that until the next date, the Superintendent of Police, East Khasi Hills is directed to direct the Officer Incharge, Sohra, to take steps on the complaint (FIR) of the petitioner and to ensure that law and order is maintained and no disturbance is caused in the meanwhile.

List this matter on 15-12-2025.

**Judge**

Meghalaya  
28.11.2025  
"Samantha PS"