



Serial No.09
Regular List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C). No. 543 of 2025

Date of Decision: 09.02.2026

Smti. Talika D.Marak,
Wife of Shri Hebitson A. Sangma,
Resident of Khonjoy,
P.S.Ranikor, South West Khasi Hills,
Meghalaya.

...Petitioner

-Versus-

1. State of Meghalaya, represented by the
Commissioner & Secretary, Home Police
Department, Govt. of Meghalaya, Shillong.
2. The Superintendent of Police
(Cyber Crime Branch),
East Khasi Hills, Meghalaya.
3. The Superintendent of Police (Cyber Crime),
Tiruppur City – 641652, Tamil Nadu.
4. Sub Inspector of Police,
Cyber Crime Police Station,
Tiruppur City- 641652,
Tamil Nadu.
5. The Branch Manager,
Canara Bank, MUDA Branch,
Shillong, Meghalaya.

...Respondents



Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H.S.Thangkhiew, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/Applicant(s) : Mr. S.A.Sheikh, Adv.
Mr. L.N.Areng, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Mrs. T.Yangi B, AAG with
Mr. J.N.Rynjah, GA for R 1 & 2.
Ms. A.Synrem, Adv. for R 5.

- | | | |
|-----|--|--------|
| i) | Whether approved for reporting in
Law journals etc: | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether approved for publication
in press: | Yes/No |
-

JUDGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)

1. It is submitted by Mr. S.A.Sheikh, learned counsel for the petitioner that pursuant to the orders of this Court, the debit freeze placed on the account of the writ petitioner, as well as the disputed amount has been resolved. He however, has submitted that he is in receipt of a Section 41A CrPC notice from the respondents No. 3 & 4, and as such, he prays that he may be given some protection that no coercive action be taken against him.

2. Ms. A.Synrem, learned counsel for the respondent No. 5-Bank, has also produced the diligence report dated 03-02-2026, confirming this fact



with regard to the debit freeze and the disputed amount. The same is taken on record.

3. At this stage, though the writ petitioner has prayed for protection against coercive action, the respondents No. 3 & 4, which are the Police of Tiruppur City and are not present in Court today, the matter stands closed with liberty to the petitioner to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

4. Matter accordingly stands disposed of.

Judge