

Serial No. 02
Supplementary List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP (C) No. 367 of 2025

Date of Order: 28.08.2025

Shri Bimal Kumar Bajaj & Anr. Vs. State of Meghalaya & Ors.

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Thangkhiew, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. M.F. Qureshi, Adv. with
Mr. L. Koch, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Mrs. T. Yangi B, AAG with
Ms. I. Lyngwa, GA (For R 1-4)
Mr. S. Jindal, Adv. (For R 5)

Heard Mr. M.F. Qureshi, learned counsel for the petitioners.

Issue notice.

Mrs. T. Yangi B, learned AAG assisted by Ms. I. Lyngwa, learned GA is present and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents Nos. 1 to 4. The caveator who has been arrayed as the respondent No. 5, is represented by Mr. S. Jindal, as such notice is complete on all the respondents.

The grievance of the writ petitioner as submitted by Mr. M.F. Qureshi, learned counsel for the petitioner is that the respondent No. 2, in the matter for reconstitution of the Managing Committee of the Rai Bahadur Anupchand Hindi Secondary School had heard the

contesting parties and though no order was passed on the proceedings, however a letter dated 13.08.2025, which is impugned in the writ petition has been issued seeking approval for reconstitution which does not reflect the fact that there was any adjudication, as to who is the correct Sponsoring Body for the said School. He therefore, prays that in the interim the impugned letter dated 13.08.2025, be not given effect to.

Mrs. T. Yangi B, learned AAG assisted by Ms. I. Lyngwa, learned GA for the respondents Nos. 1 to 4, submits that at this stage it appears that no approval has yet been given to the Managing Committee, she prays that she may be allowed to obtain necessary instructions by the next date.

Mr. S. Jindal, learned counsel for the respondent No. 5, also submits that he has no submission except that perhaps instructions may be received, so that a correct picture may be placed before the Court.

In consideration of the submissions of the parties, list this matter on 12.09.2025, to enable the learned AAG to obtain specific instructions on the said letter and as to whether there was any speaking order generated on the adjudication that had been taken place, between the petitioners and the respondent No. 5.

It is further provided that in the interim, a status quo shall be maintained, until the next date.

List accordingly.

JUDGE

Meghalaya
28.08.2025
"V. Lyndem-PS"