



Serial No. 01
Supplementary List-1

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C) No. 282 of 2026

Date of Order: 24.06.2026

Shri Pristerwell Ropmay Vs. North Eastern Electric Power
Corporation Limited (NEEPCO) & Ors.

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Thangkhiew, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. H.L. Shangreiso, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. T. Dkhar, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. S. Geonka, Adv.
vice Mr. V.K. Jindal, Sr. Adv.

The petitioner by the instant writ petition has assailed the transfer order dated 11.06.2026, as far as it relates to him, whereby he has been transferred from Shillong and posted at the Corporate Account Wing, NEEPCO, Guwahati, with immediate effect and until further orders.

Mr. H.L. Shangreiso, learned Senior counsel assisted by Mr. T. Dkhar, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the writ petitioner is at the fag end of his service, and in fact has only



14(fourteen) months before he retires. In this context, the learned Senior counsel has referred to the Transfer Policy of the respondent NEEPCO, wherein he submits at Para-11.7 thereof, that employees due for superannuation within a period of 2(two) years shall normally be considered for posting at locations of their choice or nearest to their hometown. Further, the learned Senior counsel has submitted that Clause-17, of the said Policy also allows representation to be made against a transfer order through proper channel by the affected employee within 30(thirty) days, from the date of receipt of the transfer order. It is submitted that as the transfer is against the stated policy of NEEPCO, the writ petitioner has preferred a representation dated 16.06.2026, which is yet to be addressed by the respondents. He therefore, prays for limited protection that until the representation is settled, the impugned transfer order be not given effect to.

Mr. S. Geonka, learned counsel vice Mr. V.K. Jindal, learned Senior counsel who is normally the Standing counsel for NEEPCO, is present in Court and submits that he is not authorized to represent the respondents at this stage, as he is not an empaneled advocate and has put in an appearance due to the fact that Mr. V.K. Jindal, learned Senior counsel, is on leave. He however, prays that notwithstanding the



situation, he may be allowed to obtain instructions from the respondents.

Heard the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties.

This Court notes that the Transfer Policy of the NEEPCO, though in the nature of Guidelines, has put in place the General conditions governing transfers, and the same has been in force since 08.05.2017. As submitted by the learned Senior counsel and from the materials on record, it is noted that the petitioner is aged 59 years, which would put him at the fag end of his career, and his case should have fallen for consideration under Clause 11.7 of the Policy. Further a representation as allowed by Clause-17, has also been preferred, which is yet to be disposed of.

In this view of the matter on the limited prayer, it is directed that till the representation of the writ petitioner is disposed of, the impugned Transfer order as far as it relates to the petitioner, shall not be given effect to.

List this matter on 06.07.2026, for instructions and for further orders.

JUDGE

Meghalaya
24.06.2026
"V. Lyndem-AR-PS"