



Serial No.14
Supp. List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

MC[WP(C)]. No. 118 of 2026 in
WP(C). No. 143 of 2026

Date of Decision: 10.04.2026

Shri. Bishal Tham,
Sone of T. Khongsni,
Resident of Urksew-Wahpathaw village,
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya.

...Petitioner

-Versus-

1. Khasi Hills Autonomous District Council, Shillong.
Represented by the Secretary to the
Executive Committee.
2. Deputy Chief Executive Member
I/c Elaka Administration, etc,
Khasi Hills Autonomous District Council Shillong.
3. Acting Sordar, Raid Shabong,
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya,
represented by Shri Biggestson Lyngdoh.
4. Durbar Shnong, Urksew Wahpathaw Village,
represented by its Headman Shri Archalson Lyngdoh,
Headman of Village Urksew Wahpathaw,
S/o R. Pariat,
R/o Urksew Wahpathaw, East Khasi Hills District,
Meghalaya.

...Respondents



Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H.S.Thangkhiew, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/Applicant(s) : Mr. R.Kharsyad, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Ms. O.A.I.Bang, Adv. for R 1&2.
Ms. A.Kharshiing, Adv. for R 4.

- | | | |
|-----|---|--------|
| i) | Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc: | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether approved for publication in press: | Yes/No |

JUDGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)

1. This is an application seeking modification, alteration of the order dated 27-03-2026, passed in WP(C). No. 143 of 2026.

2. Mr. R.Kharsyad, learned counsel for the applicant submits that the only limited prayer in the misc. application for modification is for directions for convening a Dorbar meeting of Urksew-Wahpathaw village, East Khasi Hills. Learned counsel submits that this stalemate has occurred due to the order of the KHADC, whereby instead of issuing directions for convening a Dorbar, have also added therein that the said Dorbar shall elect the Headman. He submits that at this stage, what is necessary is only for a Dorbar to be convened to discuss the village affairs.



3. Ms. A.Kharshiing, learned counsel for the respondent No. 4, who has preferred the earlier petition against the said order of the KHADC, has no objection to the prayer of the applicant being allowed but however, she submits that as per the order dated 11-11-2025, the meeting will be convened under the supervision of an observer to be deputed by the office of the Syiem of Khyrim as also the District Council.

4. On hearing the learned counsel for the parties, as it is seen that there is a consensus with regard to holding of a Dorbar and for elections to be set at a later date, this misc. application is allowed. However, it is made clear that the directions contained in the order dated 11-11-2025, shall also be followed by the parties in convening the Dorbar.

5. As ordered above, the instant misc. application is allowed and stands disposed of.

Judge