

**Serial No. 32**  
**Regular List**

**HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA**  
**AT SHILLONG**

WP(C) No. 67 of 2023

Date of Order: 17.04.2024

---

Navin Chettri

Vs.

State of Meghalaya & 10 Ors.

---

**Coram:**

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Thangkhiew, Judge**

---

**Appearance:**

For the Petitioner/Appellant(s) : Mr. S. Sen, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. H. Abraham, GA(For R 1-3)  
Mr. B. Snaitang, Adv. (For R 4-8)  
Ms. B. Jyrwa, Adv. (For R 9)  
Ms. A. Pradhan, Adv. (For R 10)  
Ms. P. Agarwal, Adv. (For R 11).

---

Though prayer of adjournment have been made on behalf of the respondents No. 4-8 and also on behalf of the respondents No. 9 and 10, Mr. S. Sen, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that since the crux of the matter hinges upon the prescription of the UGC, as to eligibility for the post, an affidavit by the UGC will serve the purpose of clarifying the entire issue.

However, Ms. P. Agarwal, learned counsel for the respondent No. 11 submits that since the same is based on Rules, production of the UGC Rules itself would suffice. Nonetheless, she is directed to obtain instructions as to whether affidavit would be necessary or not.

List this matter on 2<sup>nd</sup> May, 2024.

**Judge**

Meghalaya  
17.04.2024  
"D.Thabah-PS"