

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.V.BHATTI

&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BASANT BALAJI

THURSDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF APRIL 2023 / 23RD CHAITHRA, 1945

WA NO. 1498 OF 2022

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 16949/2021 OF HIGH COURT OF KERALA

APPELLANTS:

- 1 THE UNION OF INDIA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS,
NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI, PIN - 110001
- 2 THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI,
PIN - 110001
- 3 STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGIONAL DIRECTOR (KERALA -KARNATAKA
REGION), 1ST FLOOR, E WING, KENDRIYA SADAN, KORAMANGALA,
BENGALURU, KARNATAKA, PIN - 560034
- 4 ASSAM RIFLES,
REPRESENTED BY DEPUTY COMMANDANT, DIRECTORATE GENERAL,
ASSAM RIFLES, SHILLONG , PIN - 793010
- 5 REVIEW MEDICAL BOARD,
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDING OFFICER, CONSTABLES (GD)
EXAMINATION, 2018, GROUP CENTRE, CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE
FORCE, PALLIPURAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695316
- 6 DIRECTORATE GENERAL,
CRPF (RECRUITMENT BRANCH), EAST BLOCK - 07, LEVEL-4, SECTOR
- 01, R. K. PURAM, NEW DELHI, PIN - 110066

BY ADVS.

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-2-

**SRI. R SANKARANARAYANAN ADDITIONAL SOLICITOR GENERAL
S.MANU DSGI, CGC DAYA SINDHU SREEHARI N S**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1 PARVATHY S. SHAJI,
 AGED 22 YEARS
 D/O. SHYLA, ROLL NO.9211016222, CHITHIRA, KIZHAVOOR,
 MUKHATHALA P. O., KOLLAM, PIN - 691577
- 2 AKHIL M.,
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O. MADHAVANKUTTY, ROLL NO.9211015233, ANOOP BHAVANAM,
 SRPM P. O., THAZHAVA, KOLLAM, PIN - 690523
- 3 SWATHY S.,
 AGED 24 YEARS
 D/O. SAJEEV B., ROLL NO.9211019423, THOTTUVAVEEDU,
 KANNIMEEL CHERRY, KAVANAD P. O., KOLLAM, PIN - 691003
- 4 ATHIRA SUNILKUMAR
 AGED 24 YEARS
 D/O. SUNILKUMAR, ROLL NO.9204010362, VILAYIL
 HOUSE,ERATTAYAR, ERATTAYAR P. O., IDUKKI, PIN - 685514
- 5 DIANA JOSEPH
 AGED 22 YEARS
 D/O. JOSEPH MATHAI, ROLL NO.9211021986,
 THURUTHI,MEPPARATHU VEEDU, KALLAYAM P. O.,
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695043
- 6 AKHIL V.,
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. VIYAYAN, ROLL NO.9206019355, CHANGAYIL,THEKKATHIL

THEKKEMURI, VALLIKUNNAM P. O., ALAPPUZHA, PIN - 690501

- 7 MAHESH BALAKRISHNAN
AGED 26 YEARS
S/O. BALAKRISHNAN K. , ROLL NO. 9212002741, USHUS,
KALLAMPARAMBU, PAZHAYANNUR PO, THRISSUR, PIN - 680587
- 8 ADITYA K.
AGED 26 YEARS
D/O. PUSHPA, ROLL NO.9206021166, ASHITHAM HOUSE,
PALABAZAR, PATHAYAKUNNU P. O., KANNUR, PIN - 670691
- 9 MINNU M.
AGED 22 YEARS
D/O. MANJU R., ROLL NO.9211017917, THADATHARIKATHUVEEDU,
KUTTIMOODU, VELLUMANNADY P. O., VENJARAMOODU,
TRIVANDRUM, PIN - 695607
- 10 ABHISHEK DAS S.
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. SIVADAS KURUP, ROLL NO.9211013796, CHITTAKKATTU
THEKKATHIL, SOUTH MYNNAGAPALLY, MYNNAGAPALLY P. O.,
KOLLAM, PIN - 695519
- 11 ANANDHU RAJEEV
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O. RAJEEV, ROLL NO.9211005307, LAKSHAM VEEDU, PATHADY,
BHARATHIPURAM P. O., KOLLAM, PIN - 691312
- 12 SACHIN S.
AGED 22 YEARS
S/O SURESH KUMAR G., ROLL NO.9211007542, THIRUVONAM,
KULATHINKALAVEEDU, VELLANADU P. O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695543
- 13 VISHNU N.S.
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O. SAJEEVAN N. K., ROLL NO.9212004004, NADUVATHARA HOUSE,

KUNDUKUZHIPADAM, KUTTICHIRA P. O., THRISSUR, PIN - 680724

- 14 SREEPTH A.S
AGED 22 YEARS
S/O. SUBRAMANIAN A. A., ROLL NO.9212007021, ACHI HOUSE,
ENGANDIYUR P. O., THRISSUR, PIN - 680615
- 15 SAJITH MOHAN
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. MOHANAN M., ROLL NO.9206015063, UDAYANAGAR, PULLUR,
HARIPURAM P. O., KASARGOD, PIN - 671531
- 16 AKHIL MOHAN
AGED 26 YEARS
S/O. MOHAN PILLAI T., ROLL NO.9211017147, GEETHABHAVANAM,
CHERIYELA, ALUMODU P. O., KOLLAM, PIN - 671531
- 17 GOKUL R.
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O. RAJAN, ROLL NO.9204004255, NELLIKATTUPARAMBU HOUSE,
NSS COLLEGE POST, NEMMARA, PALAKKAD, PIN - 678508
- 18 SREERAJ R.
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O. RAJASEKHARAN K., ROLL NO.9211013303,
SREEHARIKOCHUKIZHKKATHIL, VIVEKANANDHA NAGAR 63,
KUREEPUZHA, KAVANADU P. O., KOLLAM, PIN - 691503
- 19 LEKSHMI RAJESH
AGED 21 YEARS
D/O. RAJESH S., ROLL NO.9204007808, KATTUNGALCHIRA,
ALLISSERY WARD, ALAPPUZHA, PIN - 688001
- 20 MANOJ M.
AGED 26 YEARS
S/O. MURALEDHARAN K., ROLL NO.9211007242, ELANJICKAL,
KARUMADY P. O., AMBALAPPUZHA, PIN - 688561

-5-

- 21 UMITHA KRISHNAN
 AGED 22 YEARS
 D/O. UNNIKRISHNAN, ROLL NO.9211019482, PERILATHU, PRAYAR
 SOUTH, ALIMPEEDIKA P. O., OACHIRA, KOLLAM, PIN - 690547
- 22 SUBIN S.DEVAN
 AGED 21 YEARS
 S/O. SISUDEVAN, ROLL NO.9211000150, KARUMPPALIL,
 THEKKATHIL, PADA-SOUTH, KARUNAGAPPALLY, PIN - 690518
- 23 KIRAN N.K.
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. NEELAMBHARAN NAIR, ROLL NO.9211006575,
 KARTHIKABHAVAN, KEEZHATHOTTAM, PRASSALA P. O.,
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695502
- 24 VISHANTH E.A
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. ANANTHAKRISHNAN, ROLL NO. 9212007676,
 ERUMBENIPARAMBU HOUSE, MYLAMPULLY, NAMBULLIPURA,
 MUNDUR, PALAKKAD, PIN - 678592
- 25 AKSHITHA V.P.
 AGED 22 YEARS
 D/O. RAVEENDRAN A. C., ROLL NO.9206000088, ELATH HOUSE, EAST
 VALLIYAYI, MUTHIYANGA P. O., PATHAYAKKUNNU, (VIA),
 KANNUR, PIN - 670691
- 26 ABHIJITH
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. AJAYAKUMAR B. S., ROLL NO.9211016687, VALSALA
 SADHANAM, CHIPPANCHIRA, KARIMANCODE P. O., PALODE,
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695562

BY ADVS.
R.SANJITH
KALEESWARAM RAJ
C.S.SINDHU KRISHNAH(K/942/2008)
UTHARA A.S

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-6-

C.UNNIKRISHNAN (KOLLAM)(K/864/1994)
VIJAYKRISHNAN S. MENON(K/001627/2019)
ANANDA PADMANABHAN(K/597/2017)
REENA SHARON SURESH
K.P.S.SURESH(MP/366/2015)

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 13.04.2023,
ALONG WITH WP(C).4646/2022, 6955/2023 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON
THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-7-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.V.BHATTI

&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BASANT BALAJI

THURSDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF APRIL 2023 / 23RD CHAITHRA, 1945

WP(C) NO. 4646 OF 2022

PETITIONERS:

- 1 SOORAJ P,
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O. SAHEDEVAN P, RESIDING AT KRISHNA NIVAS, PERINGANAM,
 THILLENKERI, KANNUR, KERALA 670 702
- 2 VINOD K.,
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O. MOHANAN, RESIDING AT KAPPADAN HOUSE, VARADOOL,
 MUYYAM, KURUMATHUR, KANNUR, KERALA 670 142
- 3 NAVAS MUHAMMED P.T
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O. MUHAMMED P.T, RESIDING AT PUZHAKKALTHODI HOUSE,
 MAITHRA, URANGATTIRI P.O, MALAPPURAM,KERALA 673 639
- 4 ABIN E
 AGED 27 YEARS
 S/O. MOHANAN, RESIDING AT ELIANTAVIDA HOUSE, KOORARA,
 MOKERI, KANNUR, KERALA 670 694
- 5 VIJESH V
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O. MOHANAN, RESIDING AT CHERUKARASSERIL,
 MARAVOORMURI, EAST KALLADA P.O, KOLLAM, KERALA 691 502

-8-

- 6 JASAR E
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O. JALALUDHEEN E, RESIDING AT ELAMVILASSERI,
MAMBATTUMoola P.O, MALAPPURAM,KERALA 679 332
- 7 AMALRAJ R.B
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. RAJENDRAN, RESIDING AT R.B NIVAS, CHEKKITTAMUKKU,
MATHIRA, KUMMIL P.O, KOLLAM , KERALA 691 536
- 8 AKSHAY KRISHNA K.P
AGED 20 YEARS
S/O. NISHAD KUMAR K.P, RESIDING AT KATTUPARAMBATHU
HOUSE, KONDI PARAMBU, ARAKINNAR P.O, KOZHIKODE, KERALA
673 028
- 9 AMAL CHANDRAN
AGED 26 YEARS
S/O. CHANDRAN P.K, RESIDING AT PADAYANKUDI, PERINGANAM,
THILLENKERI P.O, KANNUR, KERALA 670 702
- 10 SREEVIN M.P
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. SREEDHARAN M.P RESIDING AT MEETHALEPURAYIL ,
VILAKKODE P.O, KANNUR, KERALA 670 703
- 11 AMAL P.M
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O. MOHANAN P.R, RESIDING AT PULUKKI HOUSE,
MUNDAYAMPARAMBA, AYYANKUNNU, MUNDAYAMPARAMBA P.O,
KANNUR, KERALA 670 704
- 12 ANURAG M.S
AGED 22 YEARS
S/O. MOHANAN S, RESIDING AT ANURAGAM, MUTHUVILA P.O,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, KERALA 695 610

BY ADVS.
NAVOD PRASANNAN PATTALI

K.V.KRISHNAKUMAR

RESPONDENT/S:

- 1 UNION OF INDIA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL,
PUBLIC GRIEVANCE AND PENSION, DEPARTMENT OF PERSONAL
AND TRAINING, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI 110 001
- 2 MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI 110
001
- 3 STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGIONAL DIRECTOR (KKR) 1ST FLOOR, E
WING, KJENDRIYA SADAN, KORAMANGALA, BENGALURU,
KARNATAKA 560 034
- 4 CENTRAL ARMED POLICE FORCES
REPRESENTED BY JOINT SECRETARY, (COORDINATION AND
INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION) MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS,
NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI 110 001
- 5 BORDER SECURITY FORCE
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL, BLOCK 10, CGO
COMPLEX, LODHI ROAD, NEW DELHI 110 003
- 6 CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTORATE GENERAL, EAST BLOCK 07,
LEVEL 04 SECTOR 01 R.K PURAM, NEW DELHI 110 066
- 7 CENTRAL INDUSTRIAL SECURITY FORCE
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL 13, CGO COMPLEX, LODHI
ROAD, NEW DELHI 110 003
- 8 INDO TIBETAN BORDER POLICE
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL BLOCK 02, CGO COMPLEX,

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-10-

LODHI ROAD, NEW DELHI 110 003

- 9 SASHASTRA SEEMA BAL
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL, EAST BLOCK V, R.K
PURAM, NEW DELHI 110 066
 - 10 ASSAM RIFLES
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL HQ DGAR, ASSAM
RIFLKEs, SHILLONG, MEGHALAYA 793 002
 - 11 NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL, CGO COMPLEX, LODHI
ROAD, NEW DELHI 110 003
 - 12 DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
GROUP CENTRE, CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE, PALLIPURAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 316
 - 13 INSPECTOR GENERAL
FRONTIER HEAD QUARTERS, BORDER SECURITY FORCE,
YELAHANKA P.O, BANGALORE, KARNATA 560 064
 - 14 ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR GENERAL (MEDICAL)
CAPF'S, NSG &AR, WEST BLOCK, R.K. PURAM,NEW DELHI-110066.
- SRI. R SANKARANARAYANAN ADDITIONAL SOLICITOR GENERAL
S.MANU DSGI, CGC DAYA SINDHU SREEHARI N S

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
13.04.2023, ALONG WITH WA.1498/2022 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON
THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-11-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.V.BHATTI

&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BASANT BALAJI

THURSDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF APRIL 2023 / 23RD CHAITHRA, 1945

WP(C) NO. 6955 OF 2023

PETITIONER/S:

- 1 SHABHIN A.,
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O.P.P.BHARATHAN, NARAYANEYAM, MAVILACHAL, EACHUR
P.O, KANNUR, PIN - 670591
- 2 RAHUL RAJU
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O.RAGINI RAJU, PUTHENPURA (H), PAYYAVOOR P.O.
PAYYAVOOR, KANNUR, PIN - 670633
- 3 DILSHAD P.K
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O.PARIYAYI, PERUMALAKANDI (H), INDIRANAGAR P.O. KALLACHI
VIA, KOZHIKODE, PIN - 673506
- 4 JISHNU M
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O.JANARDHANAN P.V, PUTHIYANAM VEETIL, KADANNAPPALLY,
THEKKEKKARA, KADARMAPPALLY P.O.,K.ANNUR, PIN - 670504
- 5 VISHNU DAS K
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O.KUMARAN T, KOTHORMBATH HOUSE, PULLUR, HARIPURAM
P.O., KERALA, PIN - 671531

-12-

- 6 SALEEM P.V
AGED 26 YEARS
S/O.ABDUL JABBAR P.V, PONNA VALAPPIL (H), DALIL, THAVAM
P.O., CHERUKUNNU, KANNUR, PIN - 670301
- 7 SHERIN K.V
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O.SREEKANTH M, PUTHIYARAMBATH (H), KANNADI VELICHAM,
MAMBA P.O., KANNUR, PIN - 670611
- 8 SHIBIN M
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O.JANARDHANAN M MATTUMMAL HOUSE, PARAVOOR,
PANAPUZHA P.O., KANNUR, PIN - 670306
- 9 VAISHNAV R.NAMBIAR
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O.RAJAN P.NAMBIAR, 'SOPANAM', OTTAPPALA NAGAR,
KARIMBAM P.O, TALIPARAMBA, KANNUR, PIN - 670142
- 10 AMAL C
AGED 26 YEARS
S/O. VALSAN MELAEVEETIL, KALATHIL HOUSE, EDAYANNUR,
EDAYANNUR P.O., KANNUR, PIN - 670595
- 11 NITHIN MAMMATH
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O.MUKUNDAN PONNAMBATH, PAREMMAL HOUSE, THOLAMBRA
P.O. THOLAMBRA, KANNUR, PIN - 670673
- 12 AJNAS.V
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O.HAMSA E.P, AJU COTTAGE, CHOOliyAD,. MALAPPATTAM,
KANNUR, PIN - 670631
- 13 VARUN P.P.
AGED 26 YEARS
S/O.MANOCHARAN K.M PARIYARAM PUTHIYA VEETIL (H),

PERUVAMBA, OLAYAMBADI P.O. KANNUR, PIN - 670306

- 14 SANTHOSH K
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O.KUNHAMMA K, KARICHERY PERALAM (H), KOLATHUR P.O,
 KASARGOD, PIN - 671541
- 15 TOJU TOM
 AGED 23 YEARS
 S/O.JOHN K.M KANIYAROLIKEL(H), AREEKAMALA P.O., KANNUR,
 PIN - 670582
- 16 NAVANEETH T.LAKSHMANAN
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O.T.LAKSHMANAN, THALIYIL, PERUVAMBA, OLAYAMBADY P.O.,
 KANNUR, PIN - 670306
- 17 SHIBIN E
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O.NANU N, EDIYIL HOUSE, ELAMPARA P.O. ELAMPARA, KANNUR,
 PIN - 670595
- 18 RADHUL RAMESH K
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. C.RAMESHAN, PARAKKANDI HOUSE, KUTTIATTOOR,
 P.O.KUTTIATTOOR, KANNUR, PIN - 670602
- 19 JITHINLAL C
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O.NELLIKKA MOHANAN, MANEESHA NIVAS, PARAPPALLY,
 NEYYALAM, MUZHAKKUNNU, KANNUR, PIN - 670673
- 20 RAHUL P
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O.P.RAGHAVAN, PRATHIYOTH (H), PERUAL, MATHAMANGALAM
 P.O. ERAMAM, KANNUR, PIN - 670306
- 21 AMAL K
 AGED 25 YEARS

S/O. C.SURESHAN, KRIPA, KEEZHATHUR, PATHIRIYAD P.O.,
PINARAYI, KANNUR, PIN - 670741

- 22 JISHNU N
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O. SATHYAN K.V, KIZHAKKE VEETIL, BAVODE, BAVODE P.O.,
KANNUR, PIN - 670622
- 23 SUMESH MOHANAN
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O.MOHANAN, AMPATTU (H), KANHILERY, CHERIKODE P.O,
KANNUR, PIN - 670631
- 24 VIVEK RAJAN P
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O.D.S.RAJAN, DAIVAKRIPA HOUSE, KAITHERI 12TH MILE,
AYITHARA MAMBARAM P.O, KANNUR, PIN - 670643
- 25 SANAL P
AGED 28 YEARS
S/O.KRISHNAN K, KEKKADAVAN VEEDU, MADIYAN, MANIKOTH P.O.
KANHANGAD, KASARGOD, PIN - 671316
- 26 ARUNJITH P
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O.RAMACHANDRAN P, PARAYIL (H), PADIYOOR P.O. PULIKKAD,
KANNUR, PIN - 670703
- 27 BHAVESH E.S
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O.SURESH E.R, EDAPPALA HOUSE,MULLURKARA P.O THRISSUR,
PIN - 680583
- 28 GOKUL T.V
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O.E.RAMACHANDRAN, ERUPATHANJIL HOUSE, ACHAMTHURUTHI
P.O, CHERUVATHUR, KASARGOD, PIN - 671351

-15-

- 29 VINEETH S
AGED 26 YEARS
S/O.SUSEENDRAN M, THEKKINKATTIL VEEDU,
MAHADEVESWARAM, KILIMANNOOR P.O, PAZHAYAKUNNUMMEL,
KILIMANNOOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695601
- 30 MUHAMMAD FAZIL NADUVILAKANDIYIL
AGED 28 YEARS
S/O.MOIDU N.K NADUVILAKANDIYIL (H), KODIYARA P.O. KALLACHI
VIA, KOZHIKODE, PIN - 673506
- 31 VYSHNAV P.V
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O.SURENDRAN K.V, PANVALAPPIL HOUSE, VELLORA P.O.,
VELLORA, KANNUR, PIN - 670306
- 32 ARUN S
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O.SOMASUNDARAN K, ELAMBACHI KUZHIYIL (H), PAYAMBRA P.O.
KURMAMANGALAM VIA, KOZHIKODE, PIN - 673571
- 33 NIRMAL RAJ A.K
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O.RAJAN K , SNEHATHEERAM (H), PUTHIYAKANDAM,
CHERUVATHUR, P.O.CHERUVATHUR, KASARGOD, PIN - 671313
- 34 PRANAV P.V
AGED 29 YEARS
S/O.PADMNABHAN A.P., PULIYULLAVALAPPIL, NELLIYAD,
P.O.KUTTUR, VIA MATHAMANGALAM BAZAR, KANNUR, PIN -
670306
- 35 YASWANTH C.K
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O.RAJEESH P, RAJEESH BHAVAN HOUSE, PADANNAKKARA P.O.
PINARAYI, KANNUR, PIN - 670741
- 36 DIGINDAS KTK
AGED 27 YEARS

S/O.DASAN KTK, KUNNUMMAL THAZHA KUNIYIL (H), KATAMERI
P.O, VILLIAPPALLY VIA, KOZHIKODE-, PIN - 673542

- 37 ASWANTHAKUMAR T.M
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O.ANANDAN T.M, THANAMADATHIL (H), NEDUMPARAMBA P.O.
KALLACHI, KOZHIKODE, PIN - 673506
- 38 NITHESHA R
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O.CHANDRASEKHARA,. CHATHANKAI MANIYIL NILAYA, KALAND,
KASARGOD, PIN - 671317
- 39 VISHNUKUMAR V
AGED 26 YEARS
S/O.VIJAYACHANDRAN PILLAI, VIDYA BHAVANAM, THAZHAVA,
KADATHOOR P.O., KOLLAM, PIN - 690523
- 40 MRUDUL P
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O.PREMARAJAN T.K, PALAYADATH, PATHINARAMPARAMBA,
MALAPATTAM P.O., KANNUR, PIN - 670631
- 41 ATHUL PRAKASH K
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O.PRAKASHAN K , KUNDUMKARA (H), CHELERI P.O. KARAYAPPU.
KANNUR, PIN - 670604
- 42 DEVAMITHRA J.S
AGED 22 YEARS
S/O.JAYACHANDRAN S, POORAM, MADAVOORPARA,
KATTAYIKONAM P.O. THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695584
- 43 ATHUL RAJ C.R
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O.RAJAN C.V, CHEMBALIPURATH (H), P.O.AMMADAM. THRISSUR,
PIN - 680563

- 44 ABIN K
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O.HARIDASAN K, KANIYARAKKAL (H), KIZHAKKUMMURI P.O.
KAKKODI, KOZHIKODE, PIN - 673611
- 45 ADUNLAL V.N
AGED 29 YEARS
S/O.BHASKARAN, VALAYANKANDY (H), KOTTUR P.O. KOZHIKODE,
PIN - 675614
- 46 AKSHAY K.V
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O.M.SREEKANTH, PUTHIYARAMBATH HOUSE, P.O.MAMBA,
KANNUR, PIN - 670611
- 47 AMAL RAJ
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O.RAJENDRAN M.D AMAL BHAVAN, P.O.VALLANA,
PATHANAMTHITTA, PIN - 689532
- 48 ABHISHEK A
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O.AJITH KUMAR, ABHISHEKAM, PARANAKUZHI,
PAZHAYAKURMUMMEL, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695601
- 49 AJAY SOMAN
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. SOMAN PALANAMKANDATHIL HOUSE, CHARAL,
AYYANKUNNU, KANNUR, PIN - 670706
- 50 GAYATHRI V
AGED 24 YEARS
D/O.GOPALAKRISHNAN, PUTHUPARAMBIL HOUSE, PADIYOOR P.O.
IRITTY, KANNUR, PIN - 670703
- 51 ARUNNYA ANIYAPPAN
AGED 24 YEARS
D/O.ANIYAPPAN, CHOORAKKATT (H), PALAKKODE, RAMANTHALI,

-18-

KANNUR, PIN - 670305

- 52 GEETHU A
AGED 28 YEARS
D/O.SUNI N KAKKIDIVILA VEEDU, AYATHIL P.O., KOLLAM, PIN - 691021
- 53 ADARSH K.P
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O.KANAKENDRAN, KALLIAT HOUSE, U.S.K.ROAD, DHARMADAM
P.O. KANNUR, PIN - 670106
- 54 AZEEF MON A.A
AGED 30 YEARS
S/O.ABDUL AZEES M.K, T.C.76/271, PUTHUVAL HOUSE,
BEEMAPALLY, VALLAKKADAVU P.O. THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695008
- 55 BIBIN JOHN R
AGED 30 YEARS
S/O.ROBET JOHN L, JIBIN NIVAS, KATHIPPARA, KOOTHALI P.O.
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695505
- 56 KRISHNA RAJ P.K
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O.KUNHIKELU, PEETTAKKANDIYIL (H), THIRUVALLUR P.O.
VADAKARA, KOZHIKODE, PIN - 673541
- 57 ANANTHU G.VISWAN
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O.VISWAN K.V KURUPPAMVEETIL (H), KANNADIPOYIL P.O.
BALUSSERRY, KOZHIKODE, PIN - 673612
- 58 AMEGH K.M
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O.PRADEEPAN K.M MEKKOTKUNIYIL (H), MAKKADA P.O.
KAKKKODI VIA, KOZHIKODE, PIN - 673611

- 59 BHAJITHLAL T
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O.ANANDAN T, THEKKEYTHODI MARADU VEEDU.
 OP.O.ARAKKINOOR, KOZHIKODE, PIN - 673028
- 60 ARUNKUMAR S
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O.SASIKUMARAN, VALIYAVILA KIZHAKKATHIL, NORTH
 MYNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM, PIN - 690519
- 61 ADITHYAN S
 AGED 23 YEARS
 S/O.SAJEEVAN K VALIYAVILATHEKKATHIL EDAVANASSERY NORTH,
 MYNAGAPPALLY P.O. KOLLAM, PIN - 690519
- 62 SIYAD A
 AGED 27 YEARS
 S/O.ABBAS E, SUBIYA MANZIL, (THATTANTE KIZHAKKATHIL)
 EDAVANASSERY NORTH, MYNAGAPPALLY P.O. KOLLAM, PIN -
 690519
- 63 SYAM SANKAR S.S
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O.SANKARA PILLAI 8, THIRUVATHIRA, SOUTH MYNAGAPPALLY
 P.O. KOLLAM, PIN - 690519
- 64 AKHIL SUDHAKAR
 AGED 27 YEARS
 S/O.SUDHAKARAN K, A.S.COTTAGE, EDAVANASSERY,
 MYNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM, PIN - 690519
- 65 AKSHAY KRISHNAN P
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O.KRISHNAN P, PUTHALATH (H), VAKAYAD P.O. NADUVANNUR
 VIA, KOZHIKODE, PIN - 673614
- 66 ANISH P
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O.VALSAN, PERINJERI HOUSE, VAKAYADU P.O. NADUVANNUR

-20-

VIA, KOZHIKODE, PIN - 673614

- 67 BIJIN B.S
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O.BINUKUMAR D, B.S.BHAVAN, POWATHOOR, PACHA
 PALUVALLYP.O. THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695562
- 68 ASHWIN L.K
 AGED 28 YEARS
 S/O.LAKSHMEESHA, VIVEK VIHAR, NEAR UMA NURSERY LANE
 ROAD, KASARGOD, PIN - 671121
- 69 ASWANTH G
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O.GANGADHARAN K.P KANAPARAMBIL (H), VELOM PERUVAYAL
 P.O. KOZHIKODE, PIN - 673508
- 70 ARSHAD
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O.NOUSHAD H, ANSHAD MANZIL, PEROORKARAZHMA,
 CHARUMMOODU P.O ALAPUZHA, PIN - 690505
- 71 PRAVEEN M
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O.SASEENDRAN M, MAVILOTH HOUSE, CHERUVANCHERY,
 CHERUVANCHERY P.O, KANNUR, PIN - 670650
- 72 JISHNU P
 AGED 23 YEARS
 S/O.ULLASKUMAR P, POOKKANDY HOUSE, P.O.EACHUR, KANNUR,
 PIN - 670591
- 73 SARATH C
 AGED 28 YEARS
 S/O.BABURAJ C, GOPIKA, PUTHIYATHERU, P.O.MUZHAPPILANGAD,
 KANNUR, PIN - 670662
- 74 MIDHUN I.S
 AGED 25 YEARS

S/O.KRISHI KUMAR MALLIKA MANDIRAM, PALAKKA AIRAKUZH
P.O. KOLLAM, PIN - 691559

- 75 ANSHAD A
 AGED 23 YEARS
 S/O.ASHRAF A, ANSHAD MANZIL, KANJIRATHUMMOODU,
 AYIRAKUZH P.O.,KOLLAM, PIN - 691559
- 76 AKSHAYA A
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O.ANILKUMAR, MANJU BHAVAN, MOOLAYILKONAM,
 KODUVAZHANOOR P.O. THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695612
- 77 SYAM KUMAR S.B
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O.SURENDRAN NAIR, SYAM NIVAS, KADUKKAKUNNU,
 VINOBANIKEHAN P. O, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695542
- 78 ATHUL KRISHNA V.M
 AGED 27 YEARS
 S/O.MOHANAN, MANNIL KOVUMMAL (H), CHANGAROTH P.O.
 PERUVANNAMUZHI VIA, KOZHIKODE, PIN - 673528
- 79 ATHUL SIVAN S
 AGED 22 YEARS
 S/O.SADASIVAN NAIR G KIZHEKKEVILA VEEDU, EDAKKODU,
 CHADAYAMANGALAM P.O. KOLLAM -, PIN - 691534
- 80 ABHIJITH M
 AGED 23 YEARS
 S/O.MURUGAN, CHITHIRA BHAVAN, PLAVILAKUNNU,
 PADAVANCODE, VILAPPILSALA P.O. THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN -
 695573
- 81 ASWANTH KUMAR S.P
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O.SURESH KUMAR K.T, THAYYULLA KANNAN KANDY (H),
 NANMINDA P.O., KOZHIKODE, PIN - 673613

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-22-

BY ADVS.
VARUN C.VIJAY
DIVYA CHANDRAN

RESPONDENT/S:

- 1 UNION OF INDIA
 REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL,
 PUBLIC GRIEVANCE & PENSION, DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL &
 TRAINING, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI, PIN - 110001

- 2 MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS
 REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI, PIN -
 110001

- 3 STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION
 REPRESENTED BY ITS REGIONAL DIRECTOR (KKR), 1ST FLOOR, "E"
 WING, KENDRIYA SADAN, KORAMANGALA, BENGALURU,
 KARNATAKA, PIN - 560034

- 4 DIRECTORATE GENERAL
 CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE (RECRUITMENT BRANCH) EAST
 BLOCK-078, LEVEL-04, SECTOR-01, R.K.PURAM, NEW DELHI, PIN -
 110066

SRI. R SANKARANARAYANAN ADDITIONAL SOLICITOR GENERAL
S.MANU DSGI, CGC DAYA SINDHU SREEHARI N S

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
13.04.2023, ALONG WITH WA.1498/2022 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON
THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-23-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.V.BHATTI

&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BASANT BALAJI

THURSDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF APRIL 2023 / 23RD CHAITHRA, 1945

WP(C) NO. 19871 OF 2022

PETITIONER/S:

- 1 KISHOR K,
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O. KRISHNANKUTTY, ROLL NO.9212004063, KOOTHUPARAMBU
HOUSE, PALLANCHATHANUR P.O, PALAKKAD- 678571.
- 2 AKHIL M.,
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O. MANI K.V., ROLL NO.9212005661, KODILPADATH HOUSE,
ERATTAKULAM P.O, ALATHUR, PALAKKAD-678682.
- 3 SWAROOP S.,
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O. SWAMINATHAN K., ROLL NO.9212005311, MOOCHIKKAL
VEEDU, KUTTIPULLY. PALLASSANA P.O, PALAKKAD-678505.
- 4 SAJITH T.S.,
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O. SUNDARAMANI, ROLL NO.9212005453, KALATHIL VEEDU,
PERUMKULANGARA, KOTTAYI P.O, PALAKKAD-678572.
- 5 VIPIN V.,
AGED 28 YEARS
S/O. VELUKUTTY, ROLL NO.9212005259, VATTACHIRA HOUSE,
VADAVANNUR P.O, PALAKKAD-678504.

- 6 MEHUL M.,
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. MOHAN V., ROLL NO.9212007354, M.R.G. NIVAS, AKALLOOR P.O.,
PALAKKAD-679302.
- 7 JAGATH J.,
AGED 22 YEARS
S/O. JAYARAMAN. M., ROLL NO. 9212002532, SREE GOURI NIVAS,
PUTHANPERAMBU, NEELIKKAD, TATTAMANGALAM P.O,
PALAKKAD-678102.
- 8 CHANDRADAS C.,
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. CHENTHAMARA, ROLL NO.9212007966, CHENGODE HOUSE,
KODUNTHIRAPULLY P.O, PALAKKAD-678004.
- 9 SAKEER N.,
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O. NOORMUHAMMED, ROLL NO.9212008102, KOLLAD HOUSE,
MATHUR P.O., MATHUR-I, PALAKKAD-678571.
- 10 SANAL P.,
AGED 26 YEARS
S/O. SATHYAN. P., ROLL NO.9206000932, PALLIKKAL HOUSE, TANUR
P.O, CHIRAKKAL, MALAPPURAM-676302.
- 11 VIGNESH P.,
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. PARAMASIVAN, ROLL NO.9212000538, MANAKKAMPAD HOUSE,
KINAVALLUR P.O., PARLI, PALAKKAD-678612.
- 12 SRIRAJ M.S.,
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O. SUNDARAN, ROLL NO.9212000163, MELEPATTU HOUSE,
THIRUVADI, PUTHUCODE, PALAKKAD-678687.
- 13 AKHIL K.,
AGED 28 YEARS
S/O. KRISHNAMOORTHY, ROLL NO.9212006694, MADAYANKADU

HOUSE, VILAYODI P.O., CHITTUR, PALAKKAD-678103.

- 14 ASKER P.,
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O. ASHRAF, ROLL NO. 9206017607, PAROLI HOUSE,
THANNIKKADAVU, KUNNUMMAL, POTTY P.O., MALAPPURAM-
679331.
- 15 NASEEBA K.V.,
AGED 26 YEARS
D/O. SHAMSUDHEEN K.V., ROLL NO.9206023988,
KIZHAKKOTUVALAPPIL, MURIYAKKANNI, THIRUVIZHAMKUNNU
P.O., ALANALLUR-111, ALANALLUR, PALAKKAD-678601.
- 16 AMAL P.T.,
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O. NARAYANAN, ROLL NO.9206015902, KANDANPARAMBIL
HOUSE, PERAMBRA, KOZHIKODE-673525.
- 17 JISHNU C.,
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O. SUDHI, ROLL NO.9206017333, CHALIL (H), CHENOLI P.O.,
PERAMBRA (VIA), KOZHIKODE-673525.
- 18 KARTHIK M.,
AGED 28 YEARS
S/O. MANOJ. S, ROLL NO.9206016146, CHEROOTY HOUSE,
CHALAPPURAM P.O., KOZHIKODE-673002.
- 19 VISHNU K.,
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. CHANDRAN, ROLL NO.9206008017, KUNIYIL HOUSE, PERAMBRA
P.O., KOZHIKODE-673525.
- 20 GOKUL S.L.,
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O. SIVAKUMAR A., ROLL NO. 9211026342, SHEEBA BHAVAN,
CHANTHAVILA, NEDUMANGAD P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-

695541.

- 21 ANAND S.,
AGED 26 YEARS
S/O. SAJU S., ROLL NO. 9211001422, MUDUMBIL VEEDU,
KOTTAMPALLY, AMBALATHINKALA P.O, KULATHUMMAL,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695572.
- 22 DEEPU P.,
AGED 26 YEARS
S/O. PRADEEP, ROLL NO.9204000011, NIKARTHIL,
KANICHUKULANGARA P.O., CHERTHALA, ALAPPUZHA-688582.
- 23 JITHIN BABU,
AGED 26 YEARS
S/O. BABU. P., ROLL NO.9204006014, CHARAMTHARA, POOCHAKKAL
P.O., CHERTHALA, ALAPPUZHA-688526.
- 24 RAHUL RAJ R.,
AGED 22 YEARS
S/O. RAJAN S., ROLL NO. 9211002096, KANJIRAMVILA PUTHEN
VEEDU, THUVAYOOR SOUTH P.O., KADAMPANADU,
PATHANAMTHITTA-691552.
- 25 MAHESH K.B.,
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O. BHASKARAN, ROLL NO. 9212005272, KUNDUKATTIL HOUSE,
MALESMANGALAM P.O., THIRUVILWAMALA, THRISSUR-680583.
- 26 PRASANTH K.P.,
AGED 28 YEARS
S/O. PRATHAPAN, ROLL NO.9204009798, THAYYIL
PARAMBIL ,KUNNUMMA, THAKAZHY P.O., ALAPPUZHA-688562.
- 27 AMAL A.,
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. ANANDAN N., ROLL NO.9211003780, ANANDABHAVANAM,
KIDAPRAM NORTH, PERUNGALAM P.O., MUNDROTHURUTH,

KOLLAM-691502.

- 28 SUJITH J.S.,
 AGED 23 YEARS
 S/O. JAYAKUMAR, ROLL NO. 9211009339, SUJITHANIVAS, NEAR
 SIGNAL STATION, PUNKULAM, KALLIYOOR, VELLAYANI,
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695522.
- 29 DEEPAK P.V.,
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. VENUGOPALAN P.K., ROLL NO.9212007982, PARAKKAL
 PADINJAREPARAMBIL HOUSE, KANJANI ROAD, MAMPULLY LANE,
 CHETTUPUZHA P.O., MANAKKUDY, THRISSUR-680012.
- 30 SUMESH M.M.,
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O. MANIKANDAN. T., ROLL NO.9212000633, MOOCHIKKAL VEEDU,
 ORALASSERY, KANIYARKODE P.O., THIRUVILWAMALA,
 KANNIYARKODE, THRISSUR-680594.
- 31 SAVINESH M.P.,
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O. MAHADEVA KURUP, ROLL NO. 9211003958, PUTHIYATH
 HOUSE, ERATHUVADAKARA P.O., MANIMALA, KOTTAYAM-686543.
- 32 SUFEYAN SHAMSUDHEEN,
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. SHAMSUDHEEN, ROLL NO.9204001471, KAMBYIL, VATTAYAL
 WARD, THIRUVAMPADY P.O., ALAPPUZHA-688002.
- 33 SUBIN K.S.,
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O. SURESH KUMAR. G., ROLL NO. 9211006632, ORUPURATHU
 PUTHEN VEEDU, KAMUKUMCHERY, KARIYARA P.O., PIDAVOOR
 VILLAGE, VILAKKUDY, KARIYARA, KOLLAM-691332.
- 34 AKUL V.,
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O. VIJAYAN NAIR K., ROLL NO. 9211026328, ABHIRAMAM,

-28-

MOOLAYAM, ALIYADU P.O., KOLIYAKODE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695607.

- 35 AKSHAY KUMAR G.S.,
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O. SASI. E.K., ROLL NO. 9206002883, PADINHARE MAVULLAKANDY
HOUSE, KADIYANGAD P.O., PERAMBRA, KOZHIKODE-673525.
- 36 KISHOR S.,
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O. SATHEESHKUMAR P.D., ROLL NO. 9211025768, SADANAM,
KAMUKOMCHERY, AVANEESWARAM P.O., PIDAVOOR, KOLLAM-
691508.
- 37 VIPIN V.,
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. VINOD S., ROLL NO.9211012758, VIPIN BHAVAN, NEDUMPANA
P.O., KOLLAM-691576.
- 38 EBIN PAUL K.,
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. K.G. THANKACHAN, ROLL NO. 9211001353, KUTTIVILA
KIZHAKKATHIL VEEDU, NEDUMPANA P.O., KOLLAM-691576.

BY ADVS.
R.SANJITH
C.S.SINDHU KRISHNAH
P.S.ANJU
ANOOP GEORGE
SURAJ KRISHNAN
SHALU JOSE

RESPONDENT/S:

- 1 THE UNION OF INDIA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS,
NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI-110 001.

WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022, 6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022

-29-

- 2 THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI-110001.
- 3 STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGIONAL DIRECTOR (KERALA-KARNATAKA REGION), 1ST FLOOR, E WING, KENDRIYA SADAN, KORAMANGALA, BENGALURU, KARNATAKA-560 034.
- 4 ASSAM RIFLES,
REPRESENTED BY DEPUTY COMMANDANT, DIRECTORATE GENERAL, ASSAM RIFLES, SHILLONG-793010.
- 5 REVIEW MEDICAL BOARD,
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDING OFFICER, CONSTABLES (GD) EXAMINATION, 2018, GROUP CENTRE, CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE, PALLIPURAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 316.
- 6 DIRECTORATE GENERAL,
CRPF(RECRUITMENT BRANCH), EAST BLOCK-07, LEVEL-4, SECTOR-01, R.K. PURAM, NEW DELHI-110066.

SRI. R SANKARANARAYANAN ADDITIONAL SOLICITOR GENERAL
S.MANU DSGI, CGC DAYA SINDHU SREEHARI N S

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 13.04.2023, ALONG WITH WA.1498/2022 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-30-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.V.BHATTI

&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BASANT BALAJI

THURSDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF APRIL 2023 / 23RD CHAITHRA, 1945

WP(C) NO. 20313 OF 2022

PETITIONER/S:

SAHIL S.,
AGED 25 YEARS
CHOONALIL HOUSE, SOUTH KOCHUMURY, OACHIRA.P.O.,
ALAPPUZHA, KERALA, PIN - 690526

BY ADV SAHIL S.,(Party-In-Person)

RESPONDENT/S:

- 1 UNION OF INDIA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL,
PUBLIC GRIEVANCE AND PENSION, DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL
AND TRAINING, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI-110001.
- 2 MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI-
110001.
- 3 STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION,
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR, BLOCK NO.12, C.G.O. COMPLEX,
LODHI ROAD, NEW DELHI-110003.
- 4 STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR, 1ST FLOOR, E WING, KENDRIYA

WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022, 6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022

-31-

SADAN, KORAMANGALA, BENGALURU, KARNATAKA-560034.

- 5 CENTRAL ARMED POLICE FORCE,
REPRESENTED BY ITS JOINT SECRETARY, (COORDINATION &
INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION) MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS,
NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI-110001.
- 6 DIRECTORATE GENERAL ((CRPF(RECRUITMENT BRANCH)
EAST BLOCK-07, LEVEL 04, SECTOR-01, R.K.PURAM, NEW DELHI-
110066.
- 7 DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL,
GROUP CENTRE, CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE, PALLIPURAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695316.

SRI. R SANKARANARAYANAN ADDITIONAL SOLICITOR GENERAL
S.MANU DSGI, CGC DAYA SINDHU SREEHARI N S

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
13.04.2023, ALONG WITH WA.1498/2022 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON
THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-32-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.V.BHATTI

&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BASANT BALAJI

THURSDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF APRIL 2023 / 23RD CHAITHRA, 1945

WP(C) NO. 26745 OF 2022

PETITIONER/S:

SREEJU V.R.,
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O. SHRI. RAJU V K, R/O. VADAKKOOT HOUSE , P.O CHITTISSERY,
TRISSUR, PIN - 680301

BY ADVS.
REENA SHARON SURESH
K.P.S.SURESH

RESPONDENT/S:

- 1 UNION OF INDIA,
SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS
NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI ., PIN - 110001
- 2 THE SECRETARY,
STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION, BLOCK NO.12 , C G O COMPLEX,
LODHI ROAD, NEW DELHI, PIN - 110003
- 3 THE DY. INSPECTOR GENERAL (RECTT.),
O/O THE DIRECTORATE GENERAL CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE
- RECRUITMENT BRANCH EAST BLOCK -7 , LEVEL 4, SECTOR I R.K
PURAM , NEW DELHI, PIN - 110066

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-33-

4 THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR (KKR),
1ST FLOOR, E WING, KENDRIYA SADAN, KORAMANGALA ,
BANGALORE, KARNATAKA, PIN - 560034

SRI. R SANKARANARAYANAN ADDITIONAL SOLICITOR GENERAL
S.MANU DSGI, CGC DAYA SINDHU SREEHARI N S

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
13.04.2023, ALONG WITH WA.1498/2022 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON
THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-34-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.V.BHATTI

&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BASANT BALAJI

THURSDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF APRIL 2023 / 23RD CHAITHRA, 1945

WP(C) NO. 32204 OF 2022

PETITIONER/S:

- 1 SREEJITH S.,
 AGED 23 YEARS
 S/O.SREEKUMAR, KALEEKKAL PUTHEN VEEDU, KARUVATTU SOUTH
 ADOOR P.O. PATHANAMTHITTA-691523.
- 2 ANANTHAKRISHNAN M,
 AGED 26 YEARS, S/O.MURALEEDHARAN D, MURALIVILASAM,
 MANAMPUZHA P.O KUNNATHOOR, KOLLAM-691553.
- 3 AROMAL A,
 AGED 26 YEARS, S/O.ANILKUMAR C, ANILBHAVAN, CHELIKKUZHY
 PO, KOLLAM-691556.
- 4 GANESH P.BHOOSHAN,
 AGED 22 YEARS, S/O.PADMABHOOSHAN, PADMA VILASOM,
 IVERKALA MADATHIL, PUTHANAMPALAM P.O. ,KOLLAM-691553.
- 5 SREEPATH A.S,
 AGED 24 YEARS, S/O.SUBRAMANIAN A.A.,
 P.O.ENGANDIYUR, THUSHARA CENTRE, THRISSUR 680615.
- 6 MANOJ M,
 AGED 25 YEARS, S/O.MURALIDHARAN K, ELANJICKAL, KURUMADY
 P.O. AMBALAPUZHA, ALAPPUZHA - 688561.

-35-

- 7 VISHNU N.S
AGED 24 YEARS, S/O.SAJEEVAN N.K., NADUVATHRA HOUSE,
KUTTICHIRA P.O, KUDUKUZHIPADAM, THRISSUR -680724.
- 8 SUMITH P.B,
AGED 25 YEARS, S/O.BABU P.V POZHAMKANDATH (H),
MULAMKUNATHKAVU, P.O. M.G. KAVU, THRISSUR -680581.
- 9 ABHIJITH AJAYAN,
AGED 29 YEARS, S/O.AJAYAKUMAR V.R., VYPINCHERIL HOUSE,
MANTHIATTUKUNNAM P.O.,NORTH PARAVUR, ERNAKULAM-
683513.
- 10 SUFEYAN SHAMSUDHEEN,
AGED 25 YEARS, S/O.SHAMSUDHEEN, KAMBYIL VATTAYAL WARD,
ALAPPUZHA -688001.

BY ADVS.
VARUN C.VIJAY
DIVYA CHANDRAN

RESPONDENT/S:

- 1 UNION OF INDIA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL,
PUBLIC GRIEVANCE & PENSION, DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL &
TRAINING, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI-110001.
- 2 MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI-
110001.
- 3 STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGIONAL DIRECTOR (KKR), 1ST FLOOR, "E"
WING, KENDRIYA SADAN, KORAMANGALA, BENGALURU,
KARNATAKA-560034.

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-36-

4 DIRECTORATE GENERAL,
 CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE (RECRUITMENT BRANCH) EAST
 BLOCK-078, LEVEL-04, SECTOR-01, R.K.PURAM, NEW DELHI-110066

SRI. R SANKARANARAYANAN ADDITIONAL SOLICITOR GENERAL
S.MANU DSGI, CGC DAYA SINDHU SREEHARI N S

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
13.04.2023, ALONG WITH WA.1498/2022 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON
THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-37-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.V.BHATTI

&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BASANT BALAJI

THURSDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF APRIL 2023 / 23RD CHAITHRA, 1945

WP(C) NO. 36142 OF 2022

PETITIONER/S:

- 1 **ATHUL U.,
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O.SATHEESAN C, CHELERI(H), CHAMBAD, PATHIRIYAD P.O.
KANNUR-670741.**
- 2 **SUJITH S.J,
AGED 25 YEARS, S/O.SURESHKUMAR J, SURESH NIVAS, ANCODE,
PERUMKADAVILA P.O, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695124.**
- 3 **VINEETH J.L,
AGED 26 YEARS, S/O. JAYAKUMAR V, GOPI BHAVANAM,
DHANUVACHAPURAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695503.**
- 4 **ATHUL T,
AGED 23 YEARS, S/O.ASOKAN, THARKKAL HOUSE, CHERUVANNUR
POST MEPPAYUR, KOZHIKODE -673524.**
- 5 **SOURAV K.K,
AGED 25 YEARS, S/O.MOHANAN, KANNAMBATHKANDY (H),
CHERUVANNUR P.O., MEPPAYUR VIA KOZHIKODE -673524.**
- 6 **HARIJITH M.S,
AGED 26 YEARS, S/O.MANIKANDAN N KAVINMUGHAM,
MALLIKASSERY, PARASUVAIKAL P.O. THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-
695508.**

-38-

- 7 MANEESHA A.M.,
AGED 25 YEARS, S/O.A.C.MATHAI, AIRATTEL HOUSE, AYODE,
THRISSUR -680652.
- 8 SREE REKHA VIJAYAN,
AGED 26 YEARS, D/O.VIJAYACHANDRAN NAIR, SREEBHAVANAM,
VALLICODE P.O., PATHANAMTHITTA-689648.
- BY ADVS.
VARUN C.VIJAY
DIVYA CHANDRAN

RESPONDENT/S:

- 1 UNION OF INDIA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL,
PUBLIC GRIEVANCE & PENSION, DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL &
TRAINING, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI-110001.
- 2 MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI-
110001.
- 3 STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGIONAL DIRECTOR (KKR), 1ST FLOOR, "E"
WING, KENDRIYA SADAN, KORAMANGALA, BENGALURU,
KARNATAKA-560034.
- 4 DIRECTORATE GENERAL,
CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE (RECRUITMENT BRANCH) EAST
BLOCK-078, LEVEL-04, SECTOR-01, R.K.PURAM, NEW DELHI-110066.
- SRI. R SANKARANARAYANAN ADDITIONAL SOLICITOR GENERAL
S.MANU DSGI, CGC DAYA SINDHU SREEHARI N S, K.S.PRENJITH
KUMAR

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-39-

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
13.04.2023, ALONG WITH WA.1498/2022 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON
THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-40-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.V.BHATTI

&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BASANT BALAJI

THURSDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF APRIL 2023 / 23RD CHAITHRA, 1945

WA NO. 1808 OF 2022

**AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENTWP(C) 23366/2021 OF HIGH COURT OF
KERALA**

APPELLANT/S:

- 1 UNION OF INDIA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL,
PUBLIC GRIEVANCE & PENSION., DEPARTMENT OF PERSONAL &
TRAINING NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI, PIN - 110001
- 2 MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI, PIN -
110001
- 3 STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGIONAL DIRECTOR (KKR), 1ST FLOOR, "E"
WING, KENDRIYA SADAN, KORAMANGALA, BENGALURU,
KARNATAKA -, PIN - 560034
- 4 CENTRAL ARMED POLICE FORCE,
REPRESENTED BY JOINT SECRETARY, (COORDINATION &
INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION), MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS,
NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI, PIN - 110001
- 5 BORDER SECURITY FORCE
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL BLOCK 10, CGO COMPLEX,
LODHI ROAD, NEW DELHI, PIN - 110003

-41-

- 6 CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE,
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTORATE GENERAL, EAST BLOCK -7,
LEVEL 04, SECTOR - 01, R.K. PURAM, NEW DELHI -, PIN - 110066
 - 7 CENTRAL INDUSTRIAL SECURITY FORCE
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL, 13, CGO COMPLEX,
LODHI ROAD, NEW DELHI - ., PIN - 110003
 - 8 INDO-TIBETAN BORDER POLICE,
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL, BLOCK 02, CGO
COMPLEX, LODHI ROAD, NEW DELHI - ., PIN - 110003
 - 9 SASHAstra SEEMA BAL
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL, EAST BLOCK - V,
R.K.PURAM, NEW DELHI, PIN - 110066
 - 10 ASSAM RIFLES
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL, HQ DGAR, ASSAM
RIFLES, SHILLONG, MEGHALAYA - 793002., PIN - 793002
 - 11 NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL, CGO COMPLEX, LODHI
ROAD, NEW DELHI, PIN - 110003
 - 12 DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
GROUP CENTRE, CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE, PALLIPURAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - ., PIN - 695316
 - 13 INSPECTOR GENERAL
FRONTIER HEAD QUARTERS, BORDER SECURITY FORCE,
YALAHANKA P.O, BANGALORE, KARNATAKA - ., PIN - 560064
 - 14 ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR GENERAL (MEDICAL),
CAPF'S NSG & AR, WEST BLOCK, R.K.PURAM, NEW DELHI - ., PIN -
110066
- SRI. R SANKARANARAYANAN ADDITIONAL SOLICITOR GENERAL
S.MANU DSGI, CGC DAYA SINDHU SREEHARI N S

RESPONDENT/S:

- 1 VISHNU P O
AGED 23 YEARS
VISHNU P.O. AGED 23 YEARS S/O. OMANAKUTTAN, RESIDING AT
PERUMPADAVATHIL, KENICHIRA P.O, WAYANAD, KERALA - 673596.,
ROLL NO. 9206014523., PIN - 673596
- 2 SUHAIL A.H
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O. OMANAKUTTAN, RESIDING AT PERUMPADAVATHIL,
KENICHIRA P.O, WAYANAD, KERALA - ROLL NO. 9206014523, PIN -
673596
- 3 ANANTHAKRISHNAN K
AGED 22 YEARS
/O. KUMAR RAJ, RESIDING AT DHANAM NIVAS, VADAKKEPAVADI,
TARUR-I, PAZHAMBALAMCODE P.O, ALATHUR, PALAKKAD, KERALA
- ROLL NO. 9212005715, PIN - 678544
- 4 HARIKRISHNAN S,
AGED 24 YEARS
AGED 24 YEARS S/O. SASIDHARAN PILLAI, RESIDING AT KOIKKAL
VEEDU, PANDITHITTA, THALAVOOR, AMBALANIRAPPU P.O,
KOLLAM, KERALA - 691508 ROLL NO. 9211015594., PIN - 691508
- 5 RAMJITH P.C.M
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O. RAJAN, RESIDING AT PUVATHUM CHALIL MEETHAL,
CHERUVANNUR P.O, KOZHIKODE, KERALA - 673524, ROLL. NO.
9206019822., PIN - 673524
- 6 MUHAMMED JASIR K.C
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O. MUSTHAFA, RESIDING AT KUZHIYANCHERI HOUSE, OORAKAM,
MELMURI P.O, MALAPPURAM, KERALA - 676519, ROLL NO.
9206017098., PIN - 676519

- 7 MAJILESH A.K
AGED 29 YEARS
S/O. MANOJAN, RESIDING AT PANAMBRAKOYILOTH, KUNINGADU
P.O, VADAKARA, KOZHIKODE, KERALA - 673503, ROLL NO.
9206021875., PIN - 673503
- 8 MANU P.
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. SANKARAN P, RESIDING AT PAROTH HOUSE, MELANNAM,
CHATHANGOTTUPURAM P.O, PORUR, MALAPPURAM, KERALA -
679328, ROLL.NO. 9206020439., PIN - 679328
- 9 BIPIN B.
AGED 26 YEARS
S/O. BAHULEYAN K. RESIDING AT ATHIRA, PARAKKARA P.O,
PATHANAMTHITTA, KERALA - 691525, ROLL NO. 9211016248., PIN -
691525
- 10 PRASAD E.K
AGED 27 YEARS
RESIDING AT PRASADAM SCHOOL PADI, THIRUVALI P.O,
MALAPPURAM, KERALA - 676123, ROLL NO. 9206007848., PIN -
676123
- 11 MOHAMED IRSHAD M
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O. MOHAMED BASHEER M, RESIDING AT MUTTIKKAL KARA,
VETTATHUR P.O, PERINTHALMANNA, MALAPPURAM, KERALA - .
ROLL NO. 9206015396, PIN - 667326
- 12 BABU E.
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O. RAMAKRISHNAN RESIDING AT KUZHINJUPOKKIL HOUSE,
PALAPPURAM P.O, OTTAPPALAM, PALAPPURAM, PALAKKAD,
KERALA - 679103, ROLL. NO. 9212004611., PIN - 679103
- 13 RANJITH K
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O. MOHANAN K., RESIDING AT KEERTHI VEEDU, PALAPPURAM

P.O, OTTAPPALAM, PALAKKAD, KERALA - 679103. ROLL. NO.
9212004355., PIN - 679103

- 14 SUGUL M
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O. KRISHNAN KUTTY, RESIDING AT MOOCHIKKAL, ARIYALLUR,
 MALAPPURAM, KERALA - 676312, ROLL NO. 9206010675, PIN -
 676312
- 15 AKSHAY P.V
 AGED 22 YEARS
 S/O.PALLIYALI VENUGOPAL, RESIDING AT PALLIYALI HOUSE,
 VELLIYAMPADAM, CHANDAKKUNNU P.O, MALAPPURAM, KERALA -
 679329, ROLL. NO. 9206005056., PIN - 679329
- 16 SARATH P
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O. BALAKRISHNAN P., RESIDING AT PERIYADATH HOUSE,
 UNNIYAMVELICHAM, MADIKAL P.O, KASARAGODE, KERALA -
 671314, ROLL. NO. 9206017240, PIN - 671314
- 17 ANOOP KUMAR B.A,
 AGED 22 YEARS
 S/O. M.D. BABUKUTTAN, RESIDING AT MUKUNNATHU VEEDU,
 KODAKKU, KOKKAD P.O, KOTTARAKARA, KOLLAM, KERALA - 691538.
 ROLL NO. 9211015711., PIN - 691538
- 18 ADARSH M,
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. MOHANA KUMAR P, RESIDING AT POTTAYIL PUTHEN VEEDU,
 PULIMANCODE, PERUMKADAVILA P.O, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
 KERALA - 695124, ROLL NO. 9211011937., PIN - 695124
- 19 SHEFEEK B,
 AGED 23 YEARS
 S/O. BADHARUDHEEN, RESIDING AT KOTTAPPARAMBU, KAVASSERI
 P.O, PALAKKAD, KERALA - 678543, ROLL NO. 9212002027., PIN -
 678543

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-45-

BY ADV NAVOD PRASANNAN PATTALI

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 13.04.2023,
ALONG WITH WA.1498/2022 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME
DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-46-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.V.BHATTI

&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BASANT BALAJI

THURSDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF APRIL 2023 / 23RD CHAITHRA, 1945

WA NO. 1807 OF 2022

**AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENTWP(C) 25705/2021 OF HIGH COURT OF
KERALA**

APPELLANT/S:

- 1 UNION OF INDIA
UNION OF INDIA REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF
PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCE AND PENSION, DEPARTMENT OF
PERSONAL AND TRAINING, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI-110001., PIN
- 110001
- 2 MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI-
110001., PIN - 110001
- 3 STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGIONAL DIRECTOR (KKR), 1ST FLOOR, "E"
WING, KENDRIYA SADAN, KORAMANGALA, BENGALURU,
KARNATAKA-560034., PIN - 560034
- 4 CENTRAL ARMED POLICE FORCES
REPRESENTED BY JOINT SECRETARY, (COORDINATION AND
INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION), MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS,
NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI-110001., PIN - 560034
- 5 BORDER SECURITY FORCE
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL, BLOCK 10, CGO

COMPLEX, LODHI ROAD, NEW DELHI-110003., PIN - 110003

- 6 CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE,
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTORATE GENERAL, EAST BLOCK-07,
LEVEL-04, SECTOR-01, R.K.PURAM, NEW DELHI-110066., PIN - 110066
- 7 CENTRAL INDUSTRIAL SECURITY FORCE,
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL, 13, CGO COMPLEX,
LODHI ROAD, NEW DELHI-110003., PIN - 110003
- 8 INDO-TIBETAN BORDER POLICE
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL, BLOCK 02, CGO
COMPLEX, LODHI ROAD, NEW DELHI-110003., PIN - 110003
- 9 SASHAstra SEEMA BAL
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL, EAST BLOCK V,
R.K.PURAM, NEW DELHI-110066., PIN - 110066
- 10 ASSAM RIFLES
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL, HQ DGAR, ASSAM
RIFLES, SHILLONG, MEGHALAYA-793002., PIN - 793002
- 11 NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY,
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL, CGO COMPLEX, LODHI
ROAD, NEW DELHI-110003., PIN - 110003
- 12 DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
GROUP CENTRE, CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE, PALLIPURAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695316., PIN - 695316
- 13 INSPECTOR GENERAL,
FRONTIER HEAD QUARTERS, BORDER SECURITY FORCE,
YELAHANKA P.O., BANGALORE, KARNATAKA-560064., PIN - 560004
- 14 ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR GENERAL (MEDICAL)
CAPF'S, NSG & AR, WEST BLOCK, R.K.PURAM, NEW DELHI- 110066.,
PIN - 110066

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-48-

**SRI. R SANKARANARAYANAN ADDITIONAL SOLICITOR GENERAL
S.MANU DSGI, CGC DAYA SINDHU SREEHARI N S**

RESPONDENT/S:

- 1 SRISHIL P P
 AGED 24 YEARS
 SRISHIL P.P., AGED 24 YEARS S/O. DINESHAN P.P., RESIDING AT
 PUTHENPURAYIL, VADAKKUMBAD, MUNDALLOOR P.O., KANNUR-
 670622, ROLL NO.9206007697, PIN - 670622

- 2 SRI. JIJIN KUMAR M.T.
 AGED 28 YEARS
 S/O KUNHIKANNAN.E., RESIDING AT EDAMEN HOUSE,
 THIRUNELLIKOVVAL, MANIYAT, KASARAGOD-671310, ROLL
 NO.9206005017., PIN - 671310

- 3 SRI.ATHUL KUMAR.V
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O. ANEESH KUMAR.V, RESIDING AT VENGATTU, P.C.PALAM PO.,
 KAKKUR, KOZHIKODE-673585, ROLL NO.9206004967., PIN - 673585

- 4 SRI.SAYANDH K.C
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. CHANDRAN K.R, RESIDING AT VANAJALAYAM, UTC,
 MUZHAPPILANGAD, KANNUR-670662, ROLL NO.9206004577., PIN -
 670662

- 5 SRI.BINU K.B,
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. BABUJI, RESIDING AT KIZHAKKE VALAYIL, PATTAMTHURUTHU
 P.O, KOLLAM-691601, ROLL NO.9211001343., PIN - 692601

- 6 SRI.KAPIL ANAND.C
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O. SIVANANDAN.C, RESIDING AT CHEMBRA, BADIRUR, MAKKADA,
 KAKKODI, KIZHAKKUMURI, KOZHIKODE-673611, ROLL

NO.9206014768., PIN - 673611

- 7 SRI.AKSHAY KUMAR K.V
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O. SADASIVAN K.V, RESIDING AT KARTHIKA, VELUTHEDATH
THAZHAM, MAKKADA, KAKKODI, KOZHIKODE-673611, ROLL
NO.9206014756, PIN - 673611
- 8 SRI. RAHUL E.K
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O. SURENDRAN NAIR, RESIDING AT EDAKANDY HOUSE,
CHALIKODE, KODUVALLY, KIZHAKKOTH, ELETTIL P.O., KOZHIKODE-
673572, ROLL NO.9206011141., PIN - 673572
- 9 SRI.AKSHAY.P
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O.BABU.P, RESIDING AT PUTHURUMMAL, OLOPPARA,
CHELANNUR, KANNAN KARA, KOZHIKODE-673616, ROLL
NO.9206001174., PIN - 673616
- 10 SRI.VISAKH N.P
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O. RAMACHANDRAN, RESIDING AT NELLIYULLAPARAMBIL,
KANNATTI, CHANGAROTH, KADIVANGAD, KOZHIKODE-673525, ROLL
NO.9206015858., PIN - 673525
- 11 SRI.HARIKISHOR O.H
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. RAMACHANDRAN, RESIDING AT NELLIYULLAPARAMBIL,
KANNATTI, CHANGAROTH, KADIVANGAD, KOZHIKODE-673525, ROLL
NO.9206015858., PIN - 673582
- 12 SRI.DINAPRABHU K.V
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O. SADASIVAN K.P., RESIDING AT CHEYYAKKARA MEETHAL,
BADIRUR, MAKKADA, KAKKODI, KOZHIKODE-673611, ROLL
NO.9206016750., PIN - 673611

- 13 SRI. AKSHAY KUMAR S.R
 AGED 22 YEARS
 S/O.RAVINDRAN NAIR, RESIDING AT PADINHARAKKARA MEETHAL,
 CHELANNUR, EDAKKARA P.O., KOZHIKODE-673616, ROLL
 NO.9206006913., PIN - 673616
- 14 SRI.ARUN.V
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O. RAJAN.V, RESIDING AT VEPPIL PULIYAKKOTTUTHAZHAM,
 MAKKADA, KAKKODI, KIZHAKKUMURI, KOZHIKODE-673611, ROLL
 NO.9206008167., PIN - 673611
- 15 SRI.MUHAMMED ASLAM.K,
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O.MOIDEEN KOYA.K, RESIDING AT K.P.M.MANZIL, AREKKAD,
 NALLALAM, KOZHIKODE-673027, ROLL NO.9206000965., PIN - 673027
- 16 SRI.SUNEESH A.K
 AGED 27 YEARS
 S/O. SURENDRAN, RESIDING AT AMMACHUKANDY, URATH,
 KUTTIYADI, KOZHIKODE-673508, ROLL NO.9206016749., PIN - 673508
- 17 SRI.ARUN BABU,
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. BABU.K, RESIDING AT KUNNUMPURATHU VEEDU, ESM
 COLONY, KULATHUPUZHA, KOLLAM-691310, ROLL NO.9211003824.,
 PIN - 691310
- 18 SRI.SREERAG.M.P,
 AGED 22 YEARS
 S/O.PRADEEPAN M.P., RESIDING AT MANNATHI PARAMBIL,
 PARAMBATH, THALAKKULATHUR, KOZHIKODE-673317, ROLL
 NO.9206024098., PIN - 673317
- 19 SRI.MIDHUN.M,
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O.P.MADHUSOODHANAN, RESIDING AT PALLIPPURAM HOUSE,
 PARAPPA, BERIKKULAM P.O., KASARAGOD-671533, ROLL

NO.9206005723., PIN - 671533

- 20 SRI.NITHIN VIJAYAN
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O.VIJAYAN, RESIDING AT VANALLOOR, PADEETTATHIL,
VARAVILA, CLAPPANA, KOLLAM-690528, ROLL NO.9211004066., PIN
- 690528
- 21 SRI.NIKHIL D.A,
AGED 23 YEARS
S/O.DAMODARA.K, RESIDING AT BABURAYA NILAYA,
ARAMANGANAM, KALNAD, KASARAGOD-671317, ROLL
NO.9008000459, PIN - 671317
- 22 SRI.RAHUL KRISHNA
AGED 24 YEARS
S/O.RADHAKRISHNAN NAIR, RESIDING AT KRISHNA NIVAS,
KUNNATHUKARA, ARANMULA P.O., PATHANAMTHITTA-
689533,ROLL NO.9211002028., PIN - 689533
- 23 SRI.SHABITH.K
AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. BHASKARAN.K, RESIDING AT KOROTH HOUSE,
KAVUMBHAGAM P.O., UMMENCHIRA, KANNUR-670649, ROLL
NO.9206014514, PIN - 670649
- 24 SRI.SRUTHEESH K.C
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O. DEVADASAN, RESIDING AT KARUMBECHALLIL, PAYAMBRA,
KARUVATTUR, KOZHIKODE-673571, ROLL NO.9206015836., PIN -
673571
- 25 SRI.SUMESH MOHAN
AGED 27 YEARS
S/O.MOHANAN, RESIDING AT VADAKKINI HOUSE, THERMALA,
NUCHİYAD, KANNUR-670705, ROLL NO.9206001794, PIN - 670705
- 26 SRI.AKHIL.G
AGED 24 YEARS

S/O.GANGADHARAN.R, RESIDING AT KIDANGIL, KOTTAYAM P.O,
VALLICOE, PATHANAMTHITTA-689656. ROLL NO.9211013130., PIN -
689656

- 27 SRI.AKHILESH.K
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O.KARTHIKEYAN, RESIDING AT VALLATTOOR KIZHAKKATHIL,
 THODIYOOR, KOLLAM-690523, ROLL NO.9211012149., PIN - 690523
- 28 SRI.AKSHAY KUMAR P.P.,
 AGED 24 YEARS
 AGED 25 YEARS S/O. SREEDHARAN P.P., RESIDING AT MUNGATH,
 BANKALAM P.O., MADIKAI, KASARAGOD-671314, ROLL
 NO.9206002358., PIN - 671314
- 29 SRI.SUJEEV.S,
 AGED 27 YEARS
 S/O. SUDHAKARAN, RESIDING AT SUJEEV BHAVANAM, KIDAPRAM,
 MUNDROTHURUTHU, THEVALAKKARA, KOLLAM-690538, ROLL
 NO.9211003902., PIN - 690538
- 30 SRI.JISHNU E.V
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O.NARAYANANKUTTY T.P., RESIDING AT EZHIL VEEDU,
 ALATHATTU, KOOVERI, KANNUR-670581, ROLL NO.9206004579., PIN
 - 670581
- 31 SRI.JAYAKRISHNAN.J.S
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O.SUKU T.K., RESIDING AT JAYAKRISHNAN BHAVAN,
 ERAVIPEROOR P.O., THIRUVALLA, PATHANAMTHITTA-689542, ROLL
 NO.9204006043., PIN - 689542
- 32 SRI.NAKULNATH A.G,
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O.P.GOPINATHAN NAIR, RESIDING AT ADIYODI VEEDU,
 BIRIKULAM, PARAPPA, KASARAGOD-671533, ROLL NO.9206001546.,
 PIN - 671533

- 33 SRI.ABINLAL M.K
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O.P.GOPINATHAN NAIR, RESIDING AT ADIYODI VEEDU,
 BIRIKULAM, PARAPPA, KASARAGOD-671533, ROLL NO.9206001546.,
 PIN - 671533
- 34 SRI.SUDHEESH V.C
 AGED 28 YEARS
 S/O.CHANDRAN, RESIDING AT VENGORA HOUSE, PARIKKALAM P.O.,
 PARIKKALAM, NUCHIYADA, KANNUR-670705, ROLL NO.9206016119.,
 PIN - 670705
- 35 SRI.ARUN KUMAR.A,
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O.ANIL KUMAR.C, RESIDING AT KIZHAKKEKOTTARATHIL,
 GRAMAM, ENNAKKAD P.O., ALAPPUZHA-689624, ROLL
 NO.9211004138., PIN - 689624
- 36 SRI.AKHIL P.K
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. PADMANABHAN, RESIDING AT PULIYATH, PALERI TOWN,
 KUTTIYADI, KOZHIKODE-673508, ROLL NO.9206012277., PIN - 673508
- 37 SRI.NISHAK P.K,
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O.VINODAN, RESIDING AT MAVULLATHARA, PATTARKULAGARA
 SCHOOL, KAYAKKODI, KOZHIKODE-673513, ROLL NO.9206023834.,
 PIN - 673513
- 38 SRI.RAHUL.N,
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O.RAMESHAN.T, RESIDING AT NAMATH HOUSE, KAVUMBHAGAM
 P.O., UMMENCHIRA, THALASSERY, KANNUR-670649, ROLL
 NO.9206015882, PIN - 670649
- 39 SRI.MOHHAMED YASIN A.C
 AGED 27 YEARS
 S/O.CHERIYAKOYA K.C, RESIDING AT AYSHA CHETTA, WARD NO.6,
 KADMAT, LAKSHADWEEP-682556, ROLL NO.9204009991., PIN -

682556

- 40 SRI.MIDHUNRAJ K.K,
 AGED 27 YEARS
 S/O.RAJU M.P, RESIDING AT KUNNATHKUNUYIL, KALLODE,
 PERAMBRA, ERAVATTUR, KOZHIKODE-673525, ROLL
 NO.9206016375., PIN - 673525
- 41 SRI.MANULAL C.P
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. SHIVAN, RESIDING AT CHOOLAPRAMBATH, IRINGAL,
 KOTTAKAL IGL, KOZHIKODE-673521, ROLL NO.9206016968., PIN -
 673521
- 42 KUM. SABAHA THANSEEHA E.K.,
 AGED 21 YEARS
 D/O.ABOOBACKER E.K, RESIDING AT E.K. HOUSE, ANANGADI,
 KADALUNDI NAGARAM P.O., VALLIKKUNU, MALAPPURAM-673314,
 ROLL NO.9206019381., PIN - 673314
- 43 SRI.SYAM PRASAD.S
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. SIVA PRASAD.V, RESIDING AT VISHNU VIHAR,
 MEENAMBALAM, PARIPPALLY, PUTHENKULAM P.O.,
 POOTHAKKULAM, KOLLAM-691302, ROLL NO.9211016727., PIN -
 691302
- 44 SRI.SACHIN.S,
 AGED 22 YEARS
 S/O. SURESHKUMAR.G, RESIDING AT THIRUVONAM
 KULATHINKARA VEEDU, VELLANAD P.O.,
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695543, ROLL NO.9211007542., PIN -
 695543
- 45 NAVEEN T.P.
 AGED 25 YEARS
 S/O. NARAYANAN V.V., RESIDING AT ANNUR (PO), PAYYANUR
 (VAI), KANNUR-670307, ROLL NO.9206002322., PIN - 671310

- 46 SRI ARUN P.P.
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O.UPENDRAN.C, RESIDING AT PUTHIYA PURAYIL HOUSE,
 UDINUR, THEKKUPURAM, KASARAGOD-671310, ROLL
 NO.9206005436, PIN - 671310
- 47 SRI.BIPIN.K
 AGED 23 YEARS
 S/O.PADMANABHAN P, RESIDING AT KOOTHUR HOUSE,
 ALANTHATTA, KASARAGOD-671313, ROLL NO.9206008959., PIN -
 671313
- 48 SRI.SANDEEP.S
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O.SASI.V, RESIDING AT PUTHENTHARAYIL (H), AKKAL P.O.,
 KAYAKKUNNUMMAL, KOZHIKODE-673513, ROLL NO.9206001418.,
 PIN - 673513
- 49 SRI.ASWANTH.M
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O.CHANDRAN.C, RESIDING AT MUNDATHADATHIL KEEZHATHUR,
 PATHIRIYAD, KANNUR-670741, ROLL NO.9206004793., PIN - 670741
- 50 SRI.ANANTHU G.VISWAN
 AGED 21 YEARS
 S/O.VISWAN.K, RESIDING AT KURUPPAMVEETIL (H),
 KANNADIPOYIL P.O., BALUSSERY, KOZHIKODE-673612, ROLL
 NO.9206002255, PIN - 673612
- 51 PRINCE.C
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. SHYJU.C, RESIDING AT ARIYILMEETHAL HOUSE, PALLIPPURAM,
 OLAVANNA, KOZHIKODE, KERALA-673019, ROLL NO.9206005532.,
 PIN - 673019
- 52 SRI.SUMITH P.B
 S/O.BABU P.V, RESIDING AT POZHAMKANATH HOUSE, M.G.KAVU,
 KILLANNUR, MULAMKUNATHKAVU P.O., THRISSUR, KERALA-

680581, ROLL NO.9212003904., PIN - 680581

- 53 SRI.SUBISH I.S,
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. SURENDRAN I.M, RESIDING AT INCHIKALAYIL HOUSE,
 KULATHAZHAM, PARLIKKAD, THRISSUR, KERALA-680623, ROLL
 NO.9212006342., PIN - 680623
- 54 SRI.AKHIL P.V,
 AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O. RAJEENDRAN P.V, VISHNU KRIPA, THRIKKANNAPURAM,
 POOKKODE, PATHAYAKUNNU, KANNUR, KERALA-670691, ROLL
 NO.9206009550, PIN - 670691
- 55 SRI.DILIN DEVADAS
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O.DEVADAS, RESIDING AT ESWARAMANGALATH, PARIKKALAM
 P.O., PARIKKALAM, NUCHIYAD, KANNUR, KERALA-670705, ROLL
 NO.9206017071., PIN - 670705
- 56 SRI.BIJU.B,
 AGED 26 YEARS
 S/O.BABU.B, RESIDING AT SREEMANDIRAM, KANDALLOOR NORTH,
 PATTOLI MARKET P.O., ALAPPUZHA, KERALA-690531, ROLL
 NO.9211008306., PIN - 690531
- 57 SRI.ASHWIN C.N
 AGED 21 YEARS
 S/O.KUNHIKRISHNAN.P, RESIDING AT CHITTAYIKUNNON
 NANGACHORE VEEDU, ALAKKAD NEAR KALARI, KANKOL P.O.,
 PAYYANNUR, KANNUR, KERALA-670307, ROLL NO.9206017749., PIN -
 670307

BY ADVS.
NAVOD PRASANNAN PATTALI
VARUN C.VIJAY
DIVYA CHANDRAN(K/959/2009)

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-57-

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 13.04.2023,
ALONG WITH WA.1498/2022 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME
DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

J U D G M E N T

[WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and 4646/2022, 6955/2023,
19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022,]

S. V. Bhatti, J.

We have heard learned Assistant Solicitor General (ASG)
Mr R Sankaranarayanan, Advocates Mr R Sanjith, Mr
Kaleeswaram Raj, Mr K P S Suresh, Mr Navod Prasannan
Pattali, Mr Varun C Vijay and Party-in-Person Mr Sahil S for
the parties.

Union of India and others/the appellants herein are
respondents in the writ petitions.

2. Writ Appeals, W.A. Nos.1498/2022, 1807/2022 and
180/2022 are directed against the common judgment dated

09.12.2021 in W.P.(C) Nos.16949/2021, 25705/2021 and 23366/2021, respectively.

2.1 W.P.(C) Nos.19871/2022, 4646/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022, and 6955/2023 are filed by a few candidates who were the applicants for selection for the post of Constables (GD) in Central Armed Police Forces (CAPF), NIA, SSF and Rifleman (GD) in Assam Rifles (AR) which are Para-Police forces and Armed Forces (hereinafter, we would refer to the posts as 'Police/Armed Forces' for short).

2.2 On 21.07.2018, the Staff Selection Commission (SSC)/3rd appellant in W.A. No.1498/2022 issued a notification for filling up 60210 vacancies of Police/Armed Forces in the Country. In the batch of Writ Appeals and Writ Petitions, the Court is concerned with the posts earmarked for the State of Kerala and the post remaining unfilled pursuant to the Court order or otherwise. The present batch is substantially a third

round of litigation arising under notification dated 21.07.2018.

2.3 The instant batch presents for consideration the legal maxim *actus curiae neminem gravabit* means that an act of the Court shall prejudice no man and whether the Court can compel a party to perform an impossible act while implementing the directions issued by the Court in the judgment under appeal. These polarities, in other words, deal with the right to fair, legal and reasonable consideration of candidates included in the merit list for Employment. The other facet from the perspective of the Staff Selection Commission is adherence to all the recruitment rules, standards, reservations, qualifications etc. in the appointment of selected candidates. The circumstances surrounding the consideration of these polarities are not in dispute. Further, at none of the previous rounds of litigation, much less in the present batch the appellants filed a counter affidavit

contesting the writ prayers made by the applicants complaining a few grievances against the recruitment being completed pursuant to the notification dated 21.07.2018. This has led to a few additional grounds of challenge for implementing the judgment under appeal. To put an end to such avoidable issues, we directed the SSC to file a report on vacancies etc, which has been done by the SSC and at the appropriate stage of the judgment we will excerpt the details made available by the SSC.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the parties have treated W.A. No.1498/2022 as the lead case on issues of fact and law. The consideration of following chronology would relieve a significant part of the very detailed narrative given in the writ petitions.

3.1 On 21.07.2018, the Staff Selection Commission notified 60210 vacancies for recruitment to the posts of Police/

Armed Forces in the Country. The recruitment process consists of three stages, namely (i) Computer Based Examination (CBE), (ii) Physical Standard Test (PST)/Physical Efficiency Test (PET) and (iii) Detailed Medical Examination (DME). Between 13.08.2019 and 05.10.2019, the Staff Selection Commission (SSC) conducted PST/PET. On 12.09.2019, the SSC declared the result and conducted DME between 09.01.2020 and 12.09.2020.

3.2 A few of the candidates who suffered rejection of their candidature on medical grounds by filing W.P.(C) No.16131/2020 approached this Court. A few other writ petitions have been filed challenging the rejection on medical grounds and refusing to make a few appointments to the already declared vacancies. For our narrative, the other grievances of unsuccessful candidates in the first round of litigation are not adverted to having regard to the scope of

present adjudication, and, also for more than one reason, the scope of present prayer is beyond the concluded grievances of those candidates. In other words, the Court considered the issue of rejecting the candidates on medical grounds. This Court in W.P.(C) No.16131/2020 and batch, on 07.04.2021, directed stay of finalisation or kept in abeyance the finalised list of candidates by the SSC. In effect, the interim direction issued by the Court was stalling the entire recruitment process pursuant to notification dated 21.07.2018 meant for the whole of India. The appellants, represented by the learned Assistant Solicitor General of India, prayed for modifying the interim orders dated 07.04.2021 of this Court, i.e., keeping in abeyance the list finalised by the SSC. On 20.01.2021, this Court, after hearing the parties, particularly on the statement made for and on behalf of the appellants, modified the order in the following terms.

“The learned Assistant Solicitor General of India submits that

there is an interim direction in these cases to keep in abeyance the finalisation of the list by the Staff Selection Commission. It is submitted by the learned ASG that the total number of petitioners who have approached this Court in this batch of writ petitions and the batch concerning normalisation of marks would only be around 150 and that the directions to keep the finalisation of the list in abeyance is unwarranted because the total number of vacancies are about 56,000 and that the selection is complete. It is, therefore, suggested that keeping apart 200 vacancies, which is the maximum number which could be involved even if all the cases presently on file before this Court are taken into account, the Staff Selection Commission may be permitted to finalise the selection in respect of the remaining vacancies.

In the above view of the matter, there will be a direction to the respondents to keep apart 200 vacancies to accommodate the petitioners who have already approached this Court in this batch of writ petitions and the cases filed concerning the normalisation of marks in case they succeed in the writ petitions. Keeping apart 200 vacancies for accommodating the petitioners who have

-65-

already approached this Court, in case they succeed in the writ petitions, the Staff Selection Commission shall be permitted to finalise the list in respect of remaining vacancies. The candidates shall be informed of the pendency of the writ petitions before this Court.”

(emphasis supplied)

3.3 On 07.04.2021, W.P.(C) No.16131/2020 and batch were disposed of, and the operative portion in the judgment reads thus:

“12. In the above view of the matter, W.P.(C).No.22475/2020, W.P.(C).No.23817/2020, W.P.(C).No. 25240/2020, W.P.(C).No. 25606/2020, W.P.(C).No. 25617/2020, W.P.(C).No.26339/2020, W.P.(C).No. 26338/2020, W.P.(C).No. 26254/2020, W.P.(C).No. 26853/2020, W.P.(C).No.26250/2020, W.P.(C).No.26799/2020, W.P.(C).No. 26817/2020 and W.P.(C). No.26818/2020 is dismissed.

13. In W.P.(C).No.16131/2020, the challenge is against the rejection of the appeals preferred by the petitioners seeking the conduct of an RME to assess their fitness. The petitioners had been subjected to RME pursuant to interim

directions of this Court. The petitioners, who were found unfit by the RMB, are the petitioners in W.P.(C).No.26149/2020 as well. W.P.(C).No.16131/2020 is, therefore, closed.

14. In W.P.(C).No.26149/2020, some of the rejections are based on objective criteria, including the candidates being overweight and the presence of varicose veins as revealed from the colour Doppler test or where it is admitted that surgery had been performed for varicose veins. Where the rejection is on such objective grounds there is no reason for a reconsideration at all. However, in the remaining cases, that is, where the rejection is specifically based only on findings of Pescavus, Pectus Excavatum, foreshortened thumb etc. and where the medical experts has opined that there is no such condition present or that the deformity is due to the condition is negligible, the situation calls for a further examination. In the above view of the matter, petitioners Nos.3,4,6 &7 in W.P.(C). No.26149 of 2020 are liable to be subjected to a further RME to consider their fitness for selection.

15. However, in cases where the rejection is on the grounds of Hypertension/tremors, Pes cavus, carrying angle etc. where the petitioners have produced material to show that the defect was negligible and where the procedure

prescribed has not been followed or the specific degree of the defect has not been recorded by the RMB, I am of the opinion that a further examination by the RMB is required.

16. In W.P.(C).Nos.22704/20, 23974/20, 23899/20, 24041/20, 24526/20, 25132/20, 25377/20, 26245/20, 26271/20, 26377/20, 26267/20, 25455/20, 26336/20, 27823/20, 26318/20, 25487/20, 25414/20, 26281/20, 26322/20 and 263/21 there will be a direction to the respondents to conduct a further RME of such of the petitioners, who have secured the minimum cut off marks required for selection to assess their suitability. The RME shall be conducted within three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment. The petitioners shall produce a copy of the writ petition before the RMB in evidence of their contentions. The results of the RME shall be informed to the petitioners. The RMB shall record the specific findings and the reasons for rejection. It is made clear that once the reports of further RME are made known to the candidates the selections can proceed taking note of the reports of the RMB.”

3.4 The judgment dated 07.04.2021 has become final.

The first part of the narrative is completed by briefly

-68-

recording that in terms of the directives issued by the common judgment dated 07.04.2021 in W.P.(C) No.16131/2020 and batch, the successful writ petitioners were subjected to review RME and as many as 43 candidates were appointed. It is significant to notice that by the modified interim order dated 20.01.2021:

- (a) this Court accepted the appellants' suggestion herein to set apart posts for considering the claims of successful writ petitioners. So, the posts set apart have gone out of reckoning from the notified vacancies,
- (b) directed the appellants to notify the pendency of the writ petition in all the orders of appointment issued by the SSC,
- (c) The judgment dated 07.04.2021 was complied with, and all the vacancies set apart were not filled up.

4. The petitioners in W.P.(C) No.16949/2021, i.e., those on the rank list, approached this Court complaining inaction

or delay in completing the recruitment process initiated through the notification dated 21.07.2018. The gist of the petitioner's case is that the petitioners have successfully completed all the stages of the recruitment process. On 28.01.2021, the result for the State of Kerala was declared. Considering the number of vacancies notified and filled up, disclose that the total number of notified/available vacancies of Police/Armed Forces set apart for the State of Kerala are not filled up or recruited by the SSC. Explaining the grievance further, the petitioners allege that nearly 243 vacancies were set apart for considering the claims of the petitioners in W.P.(C) No.24526/2020 and batch, i.e., first round litigation. All the petitioners in the first batch of writ petitions could not be finally successful and appointed, and therefore the posts set apart for accommodating those petitioners remained unfilled from the notified posts. By referring to this circumstance, the

narrative or argument is that because this Court had set apart nearly 243 vacancies, considering all eligible candidates according to their merit/rank was not complete and comprehensive. In other words, reducing the number by 243 and odd posts has taken away the due consideration of the applications of the petitioners in the present batch as per the notification along with other eligible candidates in the same recruitment process.

4.1 It is further contended that the action of the SSC in not completing the recruitment for the available unfilled vacancies with the available candidates from the merit list is unconstitutional, arbitrary, denial of fair and reasonable opportunity, and not according to the Rules of Recruitment adopted by the SCC. Therefore, in effect, the 26 petitioners in W.P.(C) No.16949/2021 pray for a direction to the SSC to complete the recruitment process concerning the unfilled

vacancies in the notification dated 21.07.2018. As noted earlier the appellants have not filed reply nor contested the prayers. Through common judgment impugned in the appeals, the learned Single Judge disposed of the writ petitions as follows.

“25. In the result all the writ petitions are disposed of as follows:

1. ***
2. ***

3. There will be a direction to the staff selection commission to complete the selection process and after completing all formalities, to publish the results within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

4. In the light of averments in W.P.(C) No.25705/2021, W.P.(C) 23366/2021 & W.P.(C) No.16949/2021, that several vacancies are available than disclosed by the staff selection commission and that they shall also be included in the common pool for being filled up from the present vacancy, there shall be a direction to the staff selection commission to fill up all available vacancies including that of ex-servicemen, in accordance with the notification and the

Rules. The Commission shall take into consideration whether the vacancies referred by the writ petitioners in W.P.(C) No.16949/2021, W.P.(C) No.25705/2021 and W.P.(C) No.23366/2021 are available.”

Hence the Writ Appeal at the instance of the Union of India and others.

5. The learned Assistant Solicitor General appearing for the appellants contends that the directions in paragraph 25.4 of the common judgment are illegal and unconstitutional. The appellants could not take up the recruitment for the 243 and odd posts because of the directives issued by this Court. In compliance with the directions in the common judgment dated 07.04.2021, a few candidates were subjected to DME. The candidates found fully suitable and meritorious were appointed as part of a continuing selection process. The SSC has not taken up the vacancies in the notified posts for further

selection as such inclusion and consideration of candidates from the merit list of 2018 would necessarily derail the selection process already completed, appointment orders issued, and training undergone by the successful candidates. The option for various posts, because adding the posts back to the common lot would disturb the vertical and horizontal reservation considered in the recruitment process already completed. The vacancies are taken up for completing the selection from the merit list; such a course of action would derail the entire selection process completed and concluded. Therefore, the directives in the judgment under appeal to fill all available vacancies, including that of Ex-Service Men under the notification dated 21.07.2018 as per the Rules, is impossible of performance. Secondly, such a course of action by the SSC will open up floodgates of the next round of litigation for the posts notified and earmarked for the State of Kerala. Firstly,

it is argued that, regarding the settled position of law, namely that the State has the discretion to limit the appointments to less posts than what has been notified, is ignored by the common judgment under appeal. Now the direction issued compels the appellants to either redo the entire exercise or derail the completed selection process.

5.1 The thrust of the argument of learned ASG is more on the practicability of implementing the directions in the judgment under appeal without disturbing the process of selection already conducted by the SSC. In other words, the impossibility of performance is the argument canvassed by the appellants. This Court, by orders dated 05.12.2022 and 23.01.2023, issued the following directives on the feasibility or practicability of implementing the orders. The orders read as follows:

“Order dated 05.12.2022

.....We make it clear that in the meanwhile, the operation of the common judgment impugned in these Writ Appeals shall remain stayed for the limited purpose of ensuring that the contempt proceedings, that have since been initiated, are not proceeded with during the pendency of these Writ Appeals. We also make it clear that we expect the appellants herein to come up with a definite plan as to how they propose to fill up the remaining unfilled vacancies from among those who participated in the selection process pursuant to Ext.P1 notification.”

Order dated 23.01.2023

“The learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner/respondents herein responding to the difficulties now stated by the appellants have made a few suggestions, which according to them can present a definite plan without deviations to the process already completed. For more than one reason, we are not referring to the deliberations we have undertaken on the submissions made by all the counsel appearing for the parties. Having heard them, we are of the view that the time now sought by the appellants is reasonable and well-intended. Therefore, the request for adjournment is accepted.”

In compliance with the directives, the SSC has taken up the exercise of filling up the unfilled vacancies of 2018 without reducing the cut-off mark or, it is stated, compromising any of the parameters set for the selection of Personnel in Police/Armed Forces. The outcome of such exercise has been placed before the Court by Memo in an online sealed cover.

6. The learned Counsel appearing for the candidates contend that taking out 243 and odd posts from the available number of vacancies by the interim order dated 20.01.2021 *per se* has caused or resulted in injustice to the otherwise eligible candidates. It is argued that upon elimination/rejection of the petitioners in the earlier round of litigations, had the recruitment process been allowed to be completed for the notified vacancies, the cases of these candidates would have been considered if otherwise found suitable, qualified and eligible; they would have been appointed as Police/Armed

Forces. The denial of fair consideration occasioned by the interim order dated 20.01.2021. The Counsel, in their submission, make no bones of the argument that injustice has occasioned from the interim order and it is immaterial whether it is at the instance of the Union of India or this Court. Therefore, going by the legal maxim *actus curiae neminem gravabit*, the Court does justice to the unemployed applicants by issuing appropriate directions to complete the recruitment process for all the unfilled vacancies, namely, vacancies kept apart, excluding those appointed according to the directions in W.P.(C) No.16131/2020 and batch.

6.1 The Counsel contend that the petitioners are not parties to the earlier round of litigation, and behind the back, the right to fair consideration in the selection process has been denied. Therefore, the appellants' action of carrying forward all the unfilled vacancies, including Ex-Service Men,

to the recruitment occasioning in subsequent years is illegal and unconstitutional. A substantial number of petitioners are eligible for appointment and have completed all the stages of the recruitment process. Non-consideration by the SSC, the eligible and qualified candidate is remedied by the common judgment under appeal. It is vehemently argued that the posts for which the selection process was completed constitute a block, and the posts unfilled, either on account of Court orders or non-joining report, as a separate block, and the successful candidates having accepted the order of appointment with the knowledge of pending litigation cannot claim any right to revisit the option given by them or claim change of forces. The selection process will be from the eligible and available candidates as per the rank list and the posts unfilled in the notification dated 21.07.2018, on the other hand. The Counsel pray for dismissing the appeals.

6.2 The Counsel appearing in the Writ Petitions pray for a direction by following the common judgment dated 09.12.2021 in W.P.(C) No.26189/2020 and batch. Hence it is needless to consider these grievances independently and that the outcome of the appeals would decide the issues in the batch of Writ Petitions.

7. We have noted the rival contentions and perused the record including the details furnished to us in sealed cover.

8. The following questions arise for our consideration:

(i) Are the directions in paragraph 25.4 of the judgment under appeal legal and tenable?

(ia) whether the fair and procedural consideration of cases of petitioners have been denied on account of interim direction dated 20.01.2021 and the legal maxim *actus curiae neminem gravabit* is attracted to the case on hand?

-80-

(ii) Do the petitioners have an unqualified and absolute right to consider their cases against all the unfilled notified vacancies without regard to the discretion of the SSC?

(iii) Should the appellants, by referring to the impossibility of performance or performance at great risk of exposure to litigation and disturbance of concluded selection process, allowed to deny the legal consideration of the cases of the petitioners/respondents herein?

(iv) Whether the directions in paragraph 25.4 are tenable or not, and, alternatively, tenable and implementable, to what extent?

Consideration

9. The legal maxim *actus curiae neminem gravabit* in *Bhupinder Singh v. Unitech Ltd.*¹, the Supreme Court laid down

¹ 2023 SCC Online SC 321

that as per the settled position of law, the act of the Court shall prejudice no one and in such a fact situation, the Court is under an obligation to undo the wrong done to a party by the act of the Court. The maxim *actus curiae neminem gravabit* shall be applicable. As per the settled law, any undeserved or unfair advantage gained by a party invoking the court's jurisdiction must be neutralised. The institution of litigation cannot be permitted to confer any benefit on a suitor by the act of the Court. We refer and appreciate the concept of *actus curiae neminem gravabit* by referring to the ratio laid down in *R N Jodi & Brothers v. Subhashchandra*²; and *State of Rajasthan v. Surendra Mohnot*³ and apply the same to the case on hand. We are not adding further citations for conveying an axiomatic situation. The appellants, represented through learned ASG, do not

² (2007) 6 SCC 420

³ (2014) 14 SCC 77

dispute the principle and the admitted circumstances/orders resulting in taking out of the recruitment process as many as 243 and odd posts from reckoning, resulting in non-consideration of other eligible candidates in the rank list.

9.1 The question that begs our consideration is whether the concession given by the appellants herein to set apart 243 and odd posts in the first batch of writ petitions has denied proper and expected consideration of the cases of the petitioners herein. For the said purpose, we have directed the learned ASG to place the details on the probable effect or impact on the selection process had these 243 and odd posts been included in the pool of consideration by the appellants herein. The learned ASG has placed before us a select list in a sealed cover on the probability of a few of the petitioners herein coming within the zone of final consideration and resulting in the appointment of such candidates. So definitely,

a few of the petitioners, falling within the zone of final selection, subject to DME, being appointed as Police Constable (GD) in one division or the other has been denied even without consideration, much less for permissible grounds.

9.2 It is axiomatic that the interim order merges with the final order, and in the case on hand, the Writ Petitions were disposed of, and Writ Appeals filed against the judgment dated 07.04.2021 were dismissed. Therefore, the posts kept apart have been considered only for the petitioners in the first round of litigation. With the unsuccessful candidates in that batch, the cases of other meritorious candidates down the merit list are not considered for selection by the SSC. Therefore, from a plain appreciation of the sequence of events, we have no reason to observe that the petitioners have been denied objective, fair and lawful consideration because of setting apart 243 and odd posts on the appellants' statement

through learned ASG and the interim order of this Court dated 20.01.2021. It cannot but be held that the petitioners in this batch are subjected to prejudicial non-consideration and, more so, behind the back of these petitioners. Therefore, the maxim *actus curiae neminem gravabit* is attracted to the Writ Appeals on hand. Hence, this Court, in the present round of litigation, remedies the injustice occasioned to these candidates, within the four corners of the law, without disturbing the selection process completed by the SSC.

9.3 The above conclusion takes us to whether the petitioners have a vested or legal right to claim for demanding the filling of all the notified posts/vacancies in notification dated 21.07.2018. The question is of perennial consideration by the Courts of law, and we refer to the following judgments to appreciate the nature of the right of the candidates in the select list, discretion available to the employer/Government

to fill up such posts, where recruitment of such posts was found essential and unnecessary:

“Manoj Manu v. Union of India, (2013) 12 SCC 171 : (2014) 2 SCC (L&S) 706: 2013 SCC OnLine SC 722 at page 177

11. The Court after making reference to the decision of the Supreme Court in *State of Haryana v. Subash Chander Marwaha* [(1974) 3 SCC 220 : 1973 SCC (L&S) 488 : (1973) 2 LLJ 266] further observed as under: (*Neelima Shangla case* [*Neelima Shangla v. State of Haryana*, (1986) 4 SCC 268 : 1986 SCC (L&S) 759 : (1986) 3 SCR 785], SCC p. 272, para 2)

“2. ... However, as we said, the selection cannot arbitrarily be restricted to a few candidates, notwithstanding the number of vacancies and the availability of qualified candidates. There must be a conscious application of the mind of the Government, and the High Court before the number of persons selected for appointment is restricted. Any other interpretation would make Rule 8 of Part D meaningless.” (emphasis supplied)

17. We are, therefore, of the opinion in the facts of the present case, the decision of UPSC in forwarding three names against requisition of DoPT for six vacancies was

inappropriate. We, accordingly, allow the present appeal, set aside the order of the High Court [*Manoj Manu v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 3297 of 2011, decided on 16-5-2011 (Del)] as well as the Tribunal [*Manoj Manu v. Deptt. of Personnel and Training*, OA No. 3511 of 2010, order dated 29-3-2011 (CAT)] and issue a mandamus to UPSC to forward the names of the next three candidates to DoPT for appointment to the post of Section Officer's grade. They shall get the seniority from the date when Rajesh Kumar Yadav was appointed to the said post. Their pay shall notionally be fixed, without any arrears of the pay and other allowances. No costs.

Dinesh Kumar Kashyap v. South East Central Railway,
(2019) 12 SCC 798

Service Law - Appointment Non-appointment/Denial of appointment/Right to appointment Discretion not to appoint candidates from select list despite existence of vacant posts - Reiterated (per curiam), available to appointing authority, but same must be exercised for cogent reason - Though mere selection does not give any vested right to selected candidate to be appointed but where large number of posts are lying vacant, employer must give cogent reasons for not appointing selected candidates from replacement panel Not incumbent upon employer to fill all posts but discretion not to appoint must

-87-

be exercised judiciously Arbitrariness is anathema to rule of law and State as employer in bound to act according to Art. 14 of the Constitution - Courts normally would not interfere with discretion not to fill up posts but exercise of such discretion should not be arbitrary, capricious or whimsical

-5798 Group D posts in South-East Central Railway (SECR) In terms - of Instruction dt. 2-7-2008 select list was to be prepared with 20% extra candidates to avoid shortfall in vacancies filled Respondent SECR not making any appointments from 20% extra candidates though 624 posts remaining vacant

On facts held (per majority), decision of respondent SECR not appoint appellants from replacement panel despite existence of large number of vacancies, and without indicating any cogent reasons therefore was arbitrary Appellants directed to be appointed within stipulated time subject to stipulated conditions

Per Hemant Gupta, J. (dissenting only on facts), such 20% candidates can at best be termed as wait listed candidates in eventuality of selected candidates failing to join and had no indefeasible right to appointment- Moreover, no candidate lower in merit was appointed Reason given by respondent that requirement to fill 624 posts was not felt pursuant to 2010.

**Shankarsan Dash v. Union of India, (1991) 3 SCC 47 : 1991
SCC (L&S) 800 : (1991) 17 ATC 95 at page 50**

7. It is not correct to say that if a number of vacancies are notified for appointment and adequate number of candidates are found fit, the successful candidates acquire an indefeasible right to be appointed which cannot be legitimately denied. Ordinarily the notification merely amounts to an invitation to qualified candidates to apply for recruitment and on their selection they do not acquire any right to the post. Unless the relevant recruitment rules so indicate, the State is under no legal duty to fill up all or any of the vacancies. However, it does not mean that the State has the licence of acting in an arbitrary manner. The decision not to fill up the vacancies has to be taken bona fide for appropriate reasons. And if the vacancies or any of them are filled up, the State is bound to respect the comparative merit of the candidates, as reflected at the recruitment test, and no discrimination can be permitted. This correct position has been consistently followed by this Court, and we do not find any discordant note in the decisions in *State of Haryana v. Subash Chander Marwaha* [(1974) 3 SCC 220 : 1973 SCC (L&S) 488 : (1974) 1 SCR 165] ,

Neelima Shangla v. State of Haryana [(1986) 4 SCC 268 : 1986 SCC (L&S) 759] , or *Jatinder Kumar v. State of Punjab* [(1985) 1 SCC 122 : 1985 SCC (L&S) 174 : (1985) 1 SCR 899] .

State of H.P. v. Raj Kumar, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 680

“11. There is yet another aspect of the question. Where advertisement is issued inviting applications for direct recruitment to a category of posts, and the advertisement expressly states that selection shall be made in accordance with the existing rules or Government orders, and if it further indicates the extent of reservations in favour of various categories, the selection of candidates in such a case must be made in accordance with the then existing rules and Government orders. Candidates who apply, and undergo written or viva voce test acquire vested right for being considered for selection in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in the advertisement, unless the advertisement itself indicates a contrary intention. Generally, a candidate has right to be considered in accordance with the terms and conditions set out in the advertisement as his right crystallises on the date of publication of advertisement, however he has no absolute right in the matter. If the recruitment Rules are amended retrospectively during the pendency of selection, in that

-90-

event selection must be held in accordance with the amended Rules.

Para 28

38. We hold that the Government has taken a conscious decision not to fill up the posts under the old 1941 Rules. The impugned order of the High Court is set aside. We may at this stage point out that the problem seems to have been compounded by the inaction/casual approach of the Government detrimental to public interest. The State Government shall now fill up the vacant posts in accordance with the 2004 Rules within a period of three months from today. All the eligible candidates who satisfy the criteria laid down under the 2004 Rules shall be considered. The entire process of recommendation and appointment shall be completed within three months from today.”

Para 54

Indian System of Medicine v. Susmi C.T., 2021 SCC

OnLine SC 1188

25. The right of selected candidates (as those in a ranked list are) was explained by a five-judge bench of this court in Shankersan Dash v. Union of India⁷ in the following manner:

“It is not correct to say that if a number of vacancies are notified for appointment and adequate number of candidates are found fit, the successful candidates acquire an indefeasible right to be appointed which cannot be legitimately denied. Ordinarily the notification merely amounts to an invitation to qualified candidates to apply for recruitment and on their selection, they do not acquire any right to the post. Unless the relevant recruitment rules so indicate, the State is under no legal duty to fill up all or any of the vacancies. However, it does not mean that the State has the licence of acting in an arbitrary manner. The decision not to fill up the vacancies has to be taken bona fide for appropriate reasons. And if the vacancies or any of them are filled up, the State is bound to respect the comparative merit of the candidates, as reflected at the recruitment test, and no discrimination can be permitted.....”

Raj Rishi Mehra v. State of Punjab, (2013) 12 SCC 243

Service Law - Appointment - Waiting list - Entitlement of persons in - Reiterated, cannot claim appointment as a matter of right upon any vacancies becoming available - Recruitment to Punjab Civil Service Judicial Branch) - Appointment against posts which became available due to

-92-

resignation of two appointees, and unfilled posts of reserved category, from amongst wait-listed candidates - Entitlement of wait-listed candidates - Nature of - Held, in absence of any stipulation in recruitment rules imposing duty on appointing authority to make appointments from waiting list, decision taken by High Court on administrative side rejecting petitioner wait-listed candidates' claim for appointment against dereserved posts or posts vacated by general category candidates cannot be faulted - More so, because State Government had already approved fresh recruitment and State Public Service Commission had issued fresh advertisement for 71 posts including 6 reserved category posts a case where wait-listed candidates were given such a benefit.

(Paras 14 and 20)

Union of India v. Kali Dass Batish, (2006) 1 SCC 779 : 2006

SCC (L&S) 225 : 2006 SCC OnLine SC 60 at page 788

16. In *Punjab SEB v. Malkiat Singh* [(2005) 9 SCC 22] this Court reiterated (SCC p. 26, para 4) the observations of the Constitution Bench of this Court in *Shankarsan Dash v. Union of India* [(1991) 3 SCC 47 : 1991 SCC (L&S) 800 : (1991) 17 ATC 95] (SCC pp. 50-51, para 7) as under:

“7. It is not correct to say that if a number of vacancies are notified for appointment and adequate number of candidates are found fit, the successful candidates acquire an indefeasible right to be appointed which cannot be legitimately denied. Ordinarily the notification merely amounts to an invitation to qualified candidates to apply for recruitment and on their selection they do not acquire any right to the post. Unless the relevant recruitment rules so indicate, the State is under no legal duty to fill up all or any of the vacancies. However, it does not mean that the State has the licence of acting in an arbitrary manner. The decision not to fill up the vacancies has to be taken bona fide for appropriate reasons. And if the vacancies or any of them are filled up, the State is bound to respect the comparative merit of the candidates, as reflected at the recruitment test, and no discrimination can be permitted. This correct position has been consistently followed by this Court, and we do not find any discordant note in the decisions in *State of Haryana v. Subash Chander Marwaha* [(1974) 3 SCC 220 : 1973 SCC (L&S) 488] , *Neelima Shangla v. State of Haryana* [(1986) 4 SCC 268 : 1986 SCC (L&S) 759] or *Jatinder Kumar v. State of Punjab* [(1985) 1 SCC 122 : 1985 SCC (L&S) 174] .”

(emphasis supplied)

This, in our view, is the correct approach to be adopted in dealing with a matter of this nature.

Union of India v. A. Shainamol, 2021 SCC OnLine SC 962

28. The consistent view of this Court has been that even if the name of the candidate appears in the merit list, such candidate has no right to claim appointment. The Constitution Bench in a judgment reported as Shankarsan Dash held as under:

“7. It is not correct to say that if a number of vacancies are notified for appointment and adequate number of candidates are found fit, the successful candidates acquire an indefeasible right to be appointed which cannot be legitimately denied. Ordinarily the notification merely amounts to an invitation to qualified candidates to apply for recruitment and on their selection they do not acquire any right to the post. Unless the relevant recruitment rules so indicate, the State is under no legal duty to fill up all or any of the vacancies. However, it does not mean that the State has the licence of acting in an arbitrary manner. The decision not to fill up the vacancies has to be taken bona fide for appropriate reasons. And if the vacancies or any of them are filled up, the State is bound to respect the comparative merit of the candidates, as reflected at the

recruitment test, and no discrimination can be permitted. This correct position has been consistently followed by this Court, and we do not find any discordant note in the decisions in State of Haryana v. Subash Chander Marwaha [(1974) 3 SCC 220 : 1973 SCC (L&S) 488 : (1974) 1 SCR 165], Neelima Shangla v. State of Haryana [(1986) 4 SCC 268 : 1986 SCC (L&S) 759], or Jatinder Kumar v. State of Punjab [(1985) 1 SCC 122 : 1985 SCC (L&S) 174 : (1985) 1 SCR 899].”

10. In paragraph 25.4 of the judgment under appeal, it has been noted that several vacancies are available, i.e., for further recruitment than the posts disclosed by the SSC. These vacancies are included in the common pool for being filled up from the present merit list/ rank list, and a direction was issued to the SSC to fill up all the available vacancies, including Ex-Service Men, in accordance with the notification and the Rules. The appellants complain against the said directives as either impossible of performance or performance at great risk and cost already involved in training candidates

appointed by the SSC. We appreciate the factual and legal position asserted by the appellants. Upon examining the circumstances in this case, the orders preceding the present round of litigation etc., the selection process has been completed after setting apart 243 and odd posts. There is no dispute by the Counsel for the candidates that including these posts in the continuous selection process could yield a comprehensive outcome. Including these posts in the filled-up vacancies will result in another consequence. Similarly, we are of the view that the directions issued in paragraph 25.4 in the judgment under appeal have not taken note of the discretion otherwise available to the SSC, in a given case, the limited appointment to less number of posts than what has been notified. Once we concede such discretion in favour of the SSC, any direction to fill the vacancies shall strictly conform to the Rules and the benchmark merit of the selection

process. The exercise of undoing the alleged injustice ought not to reopen concluded issues. Therefore, a balanced and legal approach is needed.

10.1 By keeping in view the ratio laid down in the binding precedents, we are persuaded to hold that the directions in paragraph 25.4 of the common judgment dated 09.12.2021 are very broad and proceed on the assumption that all the candidates included in the merit list have a right for consideration and appointment till the last notified post. The direction in paragraph 25.4 further directs the completion of the selection process as per notification and the Rules. The recruitment of the available posts is revisited, as rightly pointed out by the learned ASG, a substantial portion of selection undertaken

by SSC, particularly for the State of Kerala, will get derailed and open up floodgates for the next round of litigation by the candidates already appointed and who have undergone the training. This Court appreciates the appellants' argument that the directions, as issued, are difficult to perform.

11. The above discussion takes us to what are the posts for which, in the State of Kerala, the selection process was completed and what are the unfilled posts available without disturbing or deviating from the completed and concluded selection process, how the right of petitioners for fair consideration be protected?

11.1 To ascertain the total number of notified and filled-up posts and unfilled vacancies, we refer to the Memo filed by the appellants pursuant to our direction dated 22.03.2023,

WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022, 6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022

-99-

which reads as follows:

Para-1:

A. Details of Female vacancies

	SC	ST	OBC	UR	Total
Vacancies	27	1	73	155	256
Kept unfilled	1	0	11	1	13
Balance Vacancies	26	1	62	154	243
Filled	25	0	62	143	230
Unfilled	1	1	0	11	13

A. Details of Male vacancies:

	SC	ST	OBC	UR	Total
Vacancies	197	15	404	936	1552
Kept unfilled	12	7	171	39	229
Balance Vacancies	185	8	233	897	1323
Filled	145	8	233	888	1274
Unfilled	40	0	0	9	49

Para-2: Force-wise details of filled and unfilled vacancies are as follows:

A. Female:

Force	Vacancies	Kept unfilled	Balance vacancies	Filled up	Unfilled
BSF (A)	59	0	59	57	2
CISF (B)	26	0	26	26	0
CRPF (C)	66	0	66	66	0
SSB (D)	56	0	56	56	0
ITBP (E)	12	2	10	7	3
AR (F)	37	11	26	18	8

WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022, 6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022

-100-

Total	256	13	243	230	13
--------------	------------	-----------	------------	------------	-----------

B. Male:

Force	Vacancies	Kept unfilled	Balance vacancies	Filled up	Unfilled
BSF (A)	352	0	352	342	10
CISF (B)	239	23	216	210	6
CRPF (C)	550	45	505	491	14
SSB (D)	269	122	147	141	6
ITBP (E)	68	19	49	41	8
AR (F)	74	20	54	49	5
Total	1552	229	1323	1274	49

Para-3: Details of unfilled Vacancies:

A. Female:

Force	SC	ST	OBC	UR	Total
BSF (A)	0	1	0	1	2
CISF (B)	0	0	0	0	0
CRPF (C)	0	0	0	0	0
SSB (D)	0	0	0	0	0
ITBP (E)	1	0	0	2	3
AR (F)	0	0	0	8	8
Total	1	1	0	11	13

Note-1: Vacancies of SC and UR category remained unfilled in naxal area.

Note-2: Vacancy of ST category remained unfilled in general area.

B. Male:

Force	SC	ST	OBC	UR	Total
BSF (A)	10	0	0	0	10
CISF (B)	6	0	0	0	6
CRPF (C)	14	0	0	0	14
SSB (D)	5	0	0	1	6

WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022, 6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022

-101-

ITBP (E)	2	0	0	6	8
AR (F)	3	0	0	2	5
Total	40	0	0	9	49

Note-1: All 40 SC vacancies remained unfilled in naxal area. Note-2: All 9 UR vacancies remained unfilled in naxal area.

Additional Details: Revised Selection List of 1728 candidates if the 200 vacancies had not been set apart is attached as **Annexure-I** and the list of 73 petitioners who would have been selected in the above list is attached as **Annexure-II**. Are produced as sealed cover document. “

11.2 The case of the Counsel appearing for the candidates is that since the appellants have not filled up all the notified vacancies, the writ petitioners shall be considered for finalising the recruitment process against the available vacancies. The said argument omits to note the principle laid down by the Supreme Court in the decisions excerpted supra. The SSC, in its armchair, without disturbing the concluded selection by treating the unfilled vacancies as a lot, has informed this Court, through a sealed cover, that as many as 73 to 83 fresh candidates could be finalised for selection,

subject to complying with further DME etc. In our scope of judicial review, the Court ought not to set the qualification, cut-off or eligibility criteria or call upon the SSC to fill up till the last notified vacancy is accommodated. The candidates included in the merit list do not have an absolute right to appointment. The consideration of any candidature in the exercise directed by the Court shall not dilute the process of selection.

12. Therefore, we are of the view that the directions in paragraph 25.4 of the common judgment have to be modified, directing the SSC to complete the process of recruitment under the Rules for the short-listed 73 to 83 candidates as per the details placed on record within eight weeks from today. The balance of unfilled vacancies can be allowed to be carried forward to the ensuing recruitment process undertaken by the SSC.

12.1 Having regard to the above discussion, Court declares that the candidates who have accepted the appointment order in the first round of selection have accepted the same with the knowledge of pending litigation. Therefore, it is held that the consideration of 73 to 83 candidates by the SSC for selection shall not be understood as giving a cause of action to any one of the candidates already selected in the earliest stages of selection in pursuance of the notification dated 21.07.2018. The said earlier selection shall be treated as concluded and conclusive. The seniority of the candidates now appointed shall be reckoned from the dates of appointment, and they are not entitled to any other benefit for the period 2021 till the date of appointment.

W.A. Nos.1498/2022, 1807/2022 and 1808/2022

The Writ Appeals are disposed of as indicated above.

WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022

-104-

W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022,
32204/2022, 36142/2022 and 6955/2023

Following the view taken in the W.A. No.1498/2022 and
batch, the writ petitions stand disposed of.

Sd/-
S.V. BHATTI
JUDGE

Sd/-
BASANT BALAJI
JUDGE

jjj

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-105-

APPENDIX OF WP(C) 4646/2022

PETITIONER EXHIBITS

Exhibit P1	TRUE COPY OF THE COMMON JUDGMENT DATED 07-04-2021 IN WRIT PETITIONS (CIVIL) NO. 24526 OF 2020 AND OTHER CONNECTED MATTERS
Exhibit P2	TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 07-04-2021 IN WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO 26287 OF 2020
Exhibit P3	TRUE COPY OF THE COMMON JUDGMENT DATED 09-12-2021 IN WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 23366 OF 2021 AND CONNECTED MATTERS

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-106-

APPENDIX OF WP(C) 6955/2023

PETITIONER EXHIBITS

Exhibit P1	TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGEMENT DATED 09.12.2021 IN W.P(C) 21139/2021 PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT
Exhibit P2	TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PARTS OF THE NOTIFICATION DATED 17.07.2021
Exhibit P3	TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PARTS OF THE NOTIFICATION DATED 27.10.2022

APPENDIX OF WP(C) 19871/2022

PETITIONER EXHIBITS

Exhibit P1	TRUE COPY OF THE FINAL RESULT BEARING NO. 07/01/2018-C-1/2(VOL-2) DATED 21/01/2021 WITHOUT THE ANNEXURES.
Exhibit P2	TRUE COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION DATED 28/01/2021 BEARING NO. 07/01/2018-C-1/2 (VOL-II) ALONG WITH THE ANNEXURES.
Exhibit P3	TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PAGES OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 07/04/2021 IN WP(C) NO 24526/2020 AND OTHER CONNECTED CASES.
Exhibit P4	TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED 16/04/2021 ISSUED BY 2ND RESPONDENT.
Exhibit P5	TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE BEARING F NO. 3-1/2020-P&P-I WITHOUT THE ANNEXURES.
Exhibit P6	TRUE COPY OF JUDGMENT DATED 09/12/2021 IN WPC NO. 16949/2021 AND CONNECTED CASES.
Exhibit P7	TRUE COPY OF INTERIM ORDER DATED 05/04/2022 IN CONTEMPT CASE (C) NO.327/2022.
Exhibit P8	TRUE COPY OF INTERIM ORDER DATED 07/04/2022 IN CONTEMPT CASE (C) NO.327/2022.
Exhibit P9	TRUE COPY OF INTERIM ORDER DATED 08/04/2022 IN CONTEMPT CASE (C) NO.327/2022.
Exhibit P10	TRUE COPY OF THE CONSOLIDATED DETAILS OF VACANCIES FOR EXAMINATION 2018.

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-108-

- | | |
|-------------|--|
| Exhibit P11 | TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PAGES OF RESULTS FOR
SHORT LISTING OF CANDIDATES FOR DETAILED
MEDICAL EXAMINATION IN RELATION TO
EXAMINATION 2018 DATED 17.12.2019. |
| Exhibit P12 | TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT OF THE HONOURABLE
HIGH COURT OF DELHI IN HEMANT POKHRIYAL V.
STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION & ORS., W.P.(C)
4982/2021 DATED 01/10/2021. |

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-109-

APPENDIX OF WP(C) 20313/2022

PETITIONER EXHIBITS

Exhibit P1	TRUE COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION DATED 07-01-2018-C-1/2(VOL. II), PUBLISHED ON 28-01-2021.
Exhibit P2	TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 07-04-2021 IN WP(C) NO.24526/2020.
Exhibit P3	TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 09-12-2021 IN WP(C) NO.21139/2021.
Exhibit P4	TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER DATED 08-04-2022 IN CON.CASE(C) NO.347/2022.
Exhibit P 5	TRUE COPY OF STATUS REPORT ON FILLING UP OF UNFILLED VACANCIES OF CONSTABLE (GD) IN CAPFS, NIA, SSF AND RIFLEMAN (GD) IN ASSAM RILES EXAMINATION, 2018.

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-110-

APPENDIX OF WP(C) 26745/2022

PETITIONER EXHIBITS

Exhibit P1	TRUE COPY OF THE SSC ADMIT CARDS FOR RECRUITMENT EXAM DATED 30-08-2019
Exhibit P2	TRUE COPY OF THE SSC ADMIT CARDS FOR REVIEW MEDICAL EXAM HELD ON 15-10-2020
Exhibit P3	TRUE COPY OF RESULTS VIDE NO.07/01/2018-C-1/2 (VOL-II) DATED 28-01-2021 FOR KERALA
Exhibit P4	TRUE COPY MARKS DETAILS IN SSC EXAM
Exhibit P5	TRUE COPY OF INCOME CERTIFICATE OF PETITIONER'S FATHER ISSUED BY CONCERNED VILLAGE OFFICER VIDE NO.62931552 DATED 22-01-2022

APPENDIX OF WP(C) 32204/2022

PETITIONER EXHIBITS

Exhibit P1	TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 09.12.2021 IN WPC 21139/2021 PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT.
Exhibit P2	TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PARTS OF THE NOTICE DATED 17.07.2021
Exhibit P3	TRUE COPY OF THE ALLOCATION CHART PREPARED BY THE PETITIONERS
Exhibit P4	TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.M-V-262/2021-ADM.1/TRI DATED 7 JULY 2021
Exhibit P5	TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE NO.07/06/2022-C-1/12 FOR THE EXAMINATION 2021
Exhibit P6	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 23.08.2022 IN W.P(C)NO.6394/2022 PASSED BY THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF DELHI, NEW DELHI.
Exhibit P7	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 09.11.2022 IN W.P(C)NO.6394/2022 PASSED BY THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF DELHI, NEW DELHI.
Exhibit P8	TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PART OF THE NOTICE DATED 21.07.2018 FOR THE POST OF CONSTABLES (GD) IN CENTRAL ARMED POLICE FORCES (CAPF), NIA, SSF AND RIFLEMAN (GD) IN ASSAN RIFLES (AR) EXAMINATION 2018
Exhibit P9	TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PART OF THE NOTICE NO.07/01/.2018-C-1/2 (VOL.II) CONCERNING 2018 SELECTION.

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-112-

- | | |
|-------------|--|
| Exhibit P10 | TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 01.06.2021 IN W.P(C)NO.645/2020 PASSED BY THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR. |
| Exhibit P11 | TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 07.05.2022 IN LPA NO.117/2021 PASSED BY THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR AND LADAKH, AT JAMMU. |
| Exhibit P12 | TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 05.01.2023 IN SLP (CIVIL) DIARY NO.27576/2022 PASSED BY THE HON'BLE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA, NEW DELHI |
| Exhibit P13 | TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO. ORDER NO.A-VI-1/2018-RECTT(SSB)-CT/GD-2018 DATED 06.03.2023 |
| Exhibit P14 | TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 14.07.2014 IN W.P(C)NO.5663/2013 AND CONNECTED CASES PASSED BY THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF DELHI, AT NEW DELHI |
| Exhibit P15 | TRUE COPY OF THE COVERING LETTER DATED 04.02.2015 ALONG WITH THE ORDER DATED 04.02.2015 ISSUED IN COMPLIANCE OF EXT.P14. |

APPENDIX OF WP(C) 36142/2022

PETITIONER EXHIBITS

Exhibit P1	TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGEMENT DATED 09.12.2021 IN W.P(C) 21139/2021 PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT.
Exhibit P2	TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PARTS OF THE NOTIFICATION DATED 27.10.2022.
Exhibit P3	TRUE COPY OF THE ALLOCATION CHART PREPARED BY THE PETITIONERS
Exhibit P4	TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.M-V-262/2021-ADM.1/TRI DATED 7 JULY 2021
Exhibit P5	TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PARTS OF THE NOTICE FOR THE CONSTABLES (GD) IN CENTRAL ARMED POLICE FORCES (CAPF), NIA, SSF AND RIFLEMAN (GD) IN ASSAM RIFLES EXAMINATION 2021
Exhibit P6	TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE NO.07/06/2022-C-1/12 FOR THE EXAMINATION 2021
Exhibit P7	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 23.08.2022 IN W.P(C)NO.6394/2022 PASSED BY THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF DELHI, NEW DELHI.
Exhibit P8	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 09.11.2022 IN W.P(C)NO.6394/2022 PASSED BY THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF DELHI, NEW DELHI.
Exhibit P9	TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PART OF THE NOTICE DATED 21.07.2018 FOR THE POST OF CONSTABLES (GD) IN CENTRAL ARMED POLICE FORCES (CAPF), NIA, SSF AND RIFLEMAN (GD) IN ASSAN RIFLES (AR) EXAMINATION 2018

WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022, 6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022

-114-

- | | |
|-------------|--|
| Exhibit P10 | TRUE TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PART OF THE NOTICE NO.07/01/.2018-C-1/2 (VOL.II) CONCERNING 2018 SELECTION. |
| Exhibit P11 | TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 01.06.2021 IN W.P(C)NO.645/2020 PASSED BY THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR. |
| Exhibit P12 | TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 07.05.2022 IN LPA NO.117/2021 PASSED BY THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR AND LADAKH, AT JAMMU. |
| Exhibit P13 | TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 05.01.2023 IN SLP (CIVIL) DIARY NO.27576/2022 PASSED BY THE HON'BLE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA, NEW DELHI |
| Exhibit P14 | TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO. ORDER NO.A-VI-1/2018-RECTT(SSB)-CT/GD-2018 DATED 06.03.2023 |
| Exhibit P15 | TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 14.07.2014 IN W.P(C)NO.5663/2013 AND CONNECTED CASES PASSED BY THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF DELHI, AT NEW DELHI |
| Exhibit P16 | TRUE COPY OF THE COVERING LETTER DATED 04.02.2015 ALONG WITH THE ORDER DATED 04.02.2015 ISSUED IN COMPLIANCE OF EXT.P15. |

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-115-

APPENDIX OF WA 1808/2022

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

Annexure A1	A TRUE COPY OF THE REVISED SCHEME FOR COMMON RECRUITMENT OF CONSTABLE (GD) IN CENTRAL ARMED POLICE FORCES (CAPFS) & ASSAM RIFLES, NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY (NIA) AND SECRETARIAT SECURITY FORCE (SSF) TO BE CONDUCTED BY STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION THROUGH COMPUTER BASED EXAMINATION DATED NIL
Annexure A2	A TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER PASSED BY THE LEARNED SINGLE JUDGE IN WPC 263 OF 2021 DATED 20-01-2021
Annexure A3	A TRUE COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION WITH REGARD TO THE RECRUITMENT OF CONSTABLES (GD) IN CENTRAL ARMED POLICE FORCES (CAPFS) , NIA, SSF AND RIFLEMAN (GD) IN ASSAM RIFLES EXAMINATION, 2021 DATED 17.07.2021
Annexure A4	A TRUE COPY OF THE MINUTES OF THE HIGH LEVEL MEETING HELD ON 25-07-2022

APPENDIX OF WA 1807/2022

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

Annexure A1	A TRUE COPY OF THE REVISED SCHEME FOR COMMON RECRUITMENT OF CONSTABLE (GD) IN CENTRAL ARMED POLICE FORCES (CAPFS) & ASSAM RIFLES, NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY (NIA) AND SECRETARIAT SECURITY FORCE (SSF) TO BE CONDUCTED BY STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION THROUGH COMPUTER BASED EXAMINATION DATED NIL
Annexure A2	A TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER PASSED BY THE LEARNED SINGLE JUDGE IN WPC 263 OF 2021 DATED 20-01-2021
Annexure A3	A TRUE COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION WITH REGARD TO THE RECRUITMENT OF CONSTABLES (GD) IN CENTRAL ARMED POLICE FORCES (CAPFS) , NIA, SSF AND RIFLEMAN (GD) IN ASSAM RIFLES EXAMINATION, 2021 DATED 17.07.2021
Annexure A4	A TRUE COPY OF THE MINUTES OF THE HIGH LEVEL MEETING HELD ON 25-07-2022

RESPONDENT ANNEXURES

Annexure R52(l)	True copy of the order No. order No.A-VI-1/2018-Rectt(SSB)-CT/GD-2018 dated 06.03.2023
Annexure R52(m)	True copy of the judgment dated 14.07.2014 in W.P(C)No.5663/2013 and connected cases passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, at New Delhi
Annexure R52(a)	True copy of the Allocation Chart prepared by the petitioners

-117-

Annexure R52(b)	True copy of the letter No.M-V-262/2021-Adm.1/TRI dated 7 July 2021
Annexure R52(c)	True copy of the relevant parts of the notice for the Constables (GD) in Central Armed Police Forces (CAPF), NIA, SSF and Rifleman (GD) in Assam Rifles Examination 2021
Annexure R52(d)	True copy of the notice No.07/06/2022-C-1/12 for the examination 2021
Annexure R52(e)	True copy of the order dated 23.08.2022 in W.P(C)No.6394/2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, New Delhi.
Annexure R52(f)	True copy of the order dated 09.11.2022 in W.P(C)No.6394/2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, New Delhi.
Annexure R52(g)	True copy of the relevant part of the notice dated 21.07.2018 for the post of Constables (GD) in Central Armed Police Forces (CAPF), NIA, SSF and Rifleman (GD) in Assam Rifles (AR) Examination 2018
Annexure R52(h)	True copy of the relevant part of the notice No.07/01/.2018-C-1/2 (Vol.II) concerning 2018 selection.
Annexure R52(i)	True copy of the judgment dated 01.06.2021 in W.P(C)No.645/2020 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Jammu and Kashmir.
Annexure R52(j)	True copy of the judgment dated 07.05.2022 in LPA No.117/2021 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Jammu and Kashmir and LADAKH, at Jammu.
Annexure R52(k)	True copy of the order dated 05.01.2023 in SLP (Civil) Diary No.27576/2022 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme

**WA Nos.1498/2022, 1808/2022, 1807/2022 and W.P.(C) Nos.4646/2022,
6955/2023, 19871/2022, 20313/2022, 26745/2022, 32204/2022, 36142/2022**

-118-

Court of India, New Delhi

Annexure R52(n)

True copy of the covering letter dated 04.02.2015 along with the order dated 04.02.2015 issued in compliance of Ext.P15.

APPENDIX OF WA 1498/2022

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

- Annexure A1 TRUE COPY OF THE REVISED SCHEME FOR COMMON RECRUITMENT OF CONSTABLE (GD) IN CENTRAL ARMED POLICE FORCES (CAPFS) & ASSAM RIFLES, NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY (NIA) AND SECRETARIAT SECURITY FORCE (SSF) TO BE CONDUCTED BY STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION THROUGH COMPUTER BASED EXAMINATION DATED NIL
- Annexure A3 TRUE COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION WITH REGARD TO THE RECRUITMENT OF CONSTABLES (GD) IN CENTRAL ARMED POLICE FORCES (CAPFS) , NIA, SSF AND RIFLEMAN (GD) IN ASSAM RIFLES EXAMINATION, 2021 DATED 17.07.2021
- Annexure A2 TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER PASSED BY THE LEARNED SINGLE JUDGE IN WPC 263 OF 2021 DATED 20-01-2021

RESPONDENT ANNEXURES

- Annexure R1 (a) The true copy of State/category- wise details of vacancies- Male (excluding Naxal affected and Border Guarding Districts/ Areas) in regard with Constable (GD) in CAPFs, NIA, SSF and Rifleman (GD) IN Assam Rifles Examination,2021

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

- Annexure A4 TRUE COPY OF THE MINUTES OF THE HIGH LEVEL MEETING HELD ON 25-07-2022