



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE D. K. SINGH

MONDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF APRIL 2025 / 17TH CHAITHRA, 1947

WP(C) NO. 14241 OF 2025

PETITIONER/S:

C. ANANDAN NAIR, AGED 66 YEARS, , S/O KOCHUGOVINDAN NAIR, EX HEAD
CONSTABLE/ GENERAL DUTY, CISF NO.7116 115, RETIRED FROM CISF UNIT COCHIN
PORT TRUST, PRESENTLY RESIDING AT ARAKKAL HOUSE(ANAND BHAVAN),
AMMADAM PO, TRISSUR, DISTRICT KERALA, PIN - 680563

BY ADVS.
T.SANJAY
SANIL KUMAR G.
PRIYANKA RAJAN

RESPONDENT/S:

- 1 UNION OF INDIA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, NORTH BLOCK, NEW
DELHI,, PIN - 110001
- 2 THE DIRECTOR GENERAL
CENTRAL INDUSTRIAL SECURITY FORCE CISF HEAD QUARTERS, CGO COMPLEX, LODHI
ROAD NEW DELHI,, PIN - 110003
- 3 THE INSPECTOR GENERAL/CISF
SOUTH SECTOR HEAD QUARTERS, CHPT CAMPUS, CHENNAI, PIN - 600009
- 4 THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL/CISF
SZ-1 HQRS, RAJAJI BHAVAN, BASANT NAGAR, CHENNAI, TAMILNADU,, PIN - 600090



- 5 THE GROUP COMMANDANT
CENTRAL INDUSTRIAL SECURITY FORCE, BLOCK -C , KENDRIYA BHAVAN, KAKKANAD,
ERNAKULAM, KERALA, PIN - 682030
- 6 THE DEPUTY COMMANDANT,
CISF UNIT COCHIN PORT AUTHORITY, WILLINGDON ISLAND, KOCHI, ERNAKULAM
KERALA, PIN - 682003

OTHER PRESENT:

MR DAYA SINDHU SREEHARI DSGI (IN CHARGE)

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 07.04.2025, THE COURT ON
THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



JUDGMENT

The petitioner voluntarily retired from the Central Industrial Security Force, an Indian central armed police force under the Ministry of Home Affairs, on 31.07.1996. The petitioner, during his service, suffered the punishment of withholding one increment for a period of one year without cumulative effect *vide* order dated 20.10.1995. The said penalty imposed by the Disciplinary Authority was reduced by the Appellate Authority with the withholding of the next one increment for a period of three months.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the increment should have been restored to the petitioner after three months but was not restored.

3. The petitioner filed this writ petition after a delay of 29 long years. This Court cannot entertain such a belated petition, that too for a money claim.



4. Therefore, this Court finds no substance in the writ petition, which is hereby dismissed on the grounds of gross delay and laches.

Sd/-

D. K. SINGH

JUDGE

jjj



APPENDIX OF WP(C) 14241/2025

PETITIONER EXHIBITS

Exhibit P-1

TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PAGE NO.29 OF THE SERVICE BOOK OF THE PETITIONER SHOWING PUNISHMENT ORDER DATED 20.10.1995 AND APPELLATE ORDER DATED 13.11.1995

Exhibit P-2

TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION PREFERRED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 6TH RESPONDENT IN THE MONTH OF OCTOBER 2024

Exhibit P-3

TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.(138) DATED 23.01.2025 ISSUED BY THE 6TH RESPONDENT