



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.NATARAJ

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TYAGARAJA N. INAVALLY

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO.200005 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

SRI SHIVARAJ
S/O ANJANEYA,
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,
OCC: EX-POURA KARMIKA,
CITY MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, RAICHUR.
R/O: H.NO.7-3-11, GAJAGAR PET,
NEAR ANANDESHWAR TEMPLE, RAICHUR,
TQ: AND DIST: RAICHUR – 584 102.

...COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI ARUNKUMAR AMARGUNDAPPA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SHRI. PRABHULING KAVALIKATTI,
THE DIRECTOR OF MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION,
VISHWESHWARAYYA TOWER, 9TH FLOOR,
DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI, BANGALORE 09.
2. SRI NITISH K.,
THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
RAICHUR DIST: RAICHUR – 584 101.
3. SRI JUBIN MOHAPATRA,
THE COMMISSIONER OF RAICHUR,





CITY CORPORATION, RAICHUR,
DIST: RAICHUR – 584 101.
(FORMERLY KNOWN AS CMC).

...ACCUSED / RESPONDENTS

4. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
DEPT. OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT,
M.S. BUILDING, BENGALURU – 560 001.

.... PRO-FORMA PARTY

(BY SRI MALLIKARJUN C.BASAREDDY, GA FOR R1, R2 AND R4;
SRI GOURISH S.KASHAMPUR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTIONS 11 AND 12 OF THE
CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT 1971 READ WITH ARTICLE 215 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO INITIATE
PROCEEDINGS FOR CONTEMPT AGAINST THE ACCUSED FOR
THEIR WILLFUL, DELIBERATE, WANTON DISOBEDIENCE, OF
THE ORDER OF THIS HON'BLE COURT DATED 04.07.2024
PASSED IN WP NO.201104/2016 (L-REF) AND FURTHER
PUNISH THE ACCUSED/S FOR CONTEMPT OF COURT, IN THE
INTEREST OF JUSTICE.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.NATARAJ
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TYAGARAJA N. INAVALLY



ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.NATARAJ)

This contempt petition is filed alleging non-compliance of the order dated 04.07.2024 passed by learned Single Judge of this Court in W.P.No.201104/2016.

2. The complainant herein had filed in W.P.No.201104/2016, challenging the award passed by the Labour Court *vide* Order dated 05.04.2013. The learned Single Judge in terms of the order dated 04.07.2024 disposed off the writ petition along with other writ petitions, following the order passed in W.P.Nos.83782-83784/2012, wherein this Court had permitted the services of the petitioners therein to continue as daily wagers till alternative arrangement is made. The complainant claims that the relief granted to the complainant in W.P.No.201104/2016 was not extended and therefore he is before this Court in this contempt petition.

3. The learned Principal Government Advocate has filed an affidavit of the Director of Municipal Administration wherein it is stated that the pursuant to the order passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P.No.201104/2016, the Deputy



Commissioner has not made any recommendation to continue the services of the complainants. It is contended that accused/respondent No.3 has issued an endorsement to the complainant stating that their services are not required to the Municipality and hence there is no provision to reinstate them into the Municipal Corporation.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent No.3 submitted that since the complainant was terminated from service in the year 2012 itself and the respondent No.3 has not continued the services of the complainant from the year 2012 till date, the endorsement issued to the complainant is just and proper.

5. In view of the endorsement issued, this contempt petition cannot be pursued and accordingly the contempt petition is dropped. However, liberty is reserved to the complainant to challenge the endorsement dated 06.01.2026 issued by the respondent No.3.

Sd/-
(R.NATARAJ)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(TYAGARAJA N. INAVALLY)
JUDGE