



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 4TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.NATARAJ

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TYAGARAJA N. INAVALLY

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 200348 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

KAMRUZAMA
S/O MD. AYYUB KAZI,
AGE: 57 YEARS,
OCC: MUTWALLI,
R/O: VILLAGE MANNUR,
TQ: AFZALPUR, DIST: KALABURAGI
AT PRESENT RESIDING AT 196,
DR. AMBEDKAR NAGAR HOTGI ROAD,
MAJREWADI SOLAPUR – 413 225.
(MAHARASHTRA STATE)

...COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI LIYAQAT FAREED USTAD AND SRI MALIK PASHA M.,
ADVOCATES)

AND:

1. MS. SAHITHYA M ALADAKATTI, I.A.S.,
THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
BIDAR.

...ACCUSED / RESPONDENT

2. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,



NC: 2026:KHC-K:1010-DB
CCC No. 200348 of 2025

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
VIKAS SOUDHA, BENGALURU – 560 001.

..... PRERFORMA PARTY

(BY SMT MAYA T.R., HCGP)

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTIONS 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT, PRAYING TO INITIATE CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE ACCUSED FOR NON-COMPLIANCE OF HIGH COURT ORDER DATED 14-07-2025 IN WP NO.201965/2024 VIDE ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.NATARAJ
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TYAGARAJA N. INAVALLY

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.NATARAJ)

This contempt is filed alleging non-compliance of an order dated 14.07.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in W.P.No.201965/2024.

2. The complainant filed W.P.No.201965/2024 for a direction to enter his name in the revenue records of Sy. No.494/1 of Mannur Village, Afzalpur Taluk, on the ground that it was granted to him by the Waqf Board. The writ petition was disposed of reserving liberty to the



complainant to file a fresh representation to the Assistant Commissioner, Kalaburagi, who was directed to verify the records, hear the complainant and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

3. The complainant alleges that the said order is not complied with, though he had filed a fresh representation along with a copy of the order passed in W.P.No.201965/2024.

4. A compliance affidavit is filed by the Assistant Commissioner stating, *inter alia*, that pursuant to the order passed by this Court, the representation of the complainant was considered and the name of the Waqf Institution was entered in column Nos.9 and 12 of the RTC. It is therefore submitted that the order passed by this Court is complied with.

5. The learned counsel for the complainant, however, contends that the name of the complainant must



have been entered in column No.12 of the RTC, as he is the proposed mutawalli of the Waqf.

6. The learned High Court Government Pleader submits that a Mutawalli cannot have an independent status and cannot claim that he is cultivating the property on his own. He has to do so on behalf of the Waqf and therefore, the name of the Waqf institution is entered in column Nos.9 and 12 of the RTC. She therefore submits that the order passed by this Court is complied with.

7. We have considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the complainant and the learned High Court Government Pleader for the respondents.

8. We are satisfied with the steps taken by the accused in entering the name of the Waqf institution in column No.9 as well as column No.12 of the RTC. If the complainant claims that he is cultivating the land in question and that such cultivation is independent of he



being a Mutawalli of the Waqf, he may establish the same before the appropriate Court, in accordance with law.

9. In view of the above, the contempt petition stands ***dropped***, subject to the observations made hereinabove.

**Sd/-
(R.NATARAJ)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(TYAGARAJA N. INAVALLY)
JUDGE**

SHS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 32