



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 4TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR

WRIT PETITION NO.203556 OF 2025 (S-R)

BETWEEN:

RAGA CHANDRASHEKAR S/O BASWARAJ,
AGED 73 YEARS,
OCC: RETIRED ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR,
AMRESHWAR ARTS AND COMMERCE COLLEGE
AURAD,
RESIDENT OF H.NO. 19-8-116/1 SHIVNAGAR
NORTH,
BIDAR TQ. AND DIST. BIDAR-585401.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. JAIRAJ KASHAPPA BUKKA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY,
FINANCE DEPARTMENT,
VIDHAN SOUDHA BENGALURU-560 001.
2. THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
DEPT. OF PERSONNEL AND ADMINISTRATIVE
REFORMS,
VIDHANA SOUDHA, BENGALURU-560 001.
3. THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION,
VIDHANA SOUDHA, BENGALURU-560 001.
4. THE JOINT DIRECTOR,

Digitally signed
by VARSHA N
RASALKAR
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



REGIONAL OFFICE COLLEGIATE EDUCATION
DEPARTMENT
KALABURAGI-585104.

5. THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
(A AND E) IN KARNATAKA,
PARK HOUSE ROAD, BENGALURU-560 001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIRANAGOUDA M. BIRADAR, AGA FOR R1 TO R4;
SRI. SUDHIRSINGH R. VIJAPUR., DSGI FOR R5)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT AUTHORITIES TO CONSIDER THE PETITIONER REPRESENTATION DATED 21-10-2025 VIDE ANNEXURE-F, B) ISSUE WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT AUTHORITIES REFUND THE EXCESS AMOUNT OF COMMUTATION OF PENSION HAVING ALREADY PAID STARTING FROM 13-03-2014/07-05-2014 TO TILL DATE AND THE COMMUTATION PENSION IS TO BE MODIFIED TO 10 YEARS AND 8 MONTHS INSTEAD OF 15 YEARS AND SAME SHALL BE MODIFIED IN THE PENSION PAYMENT ORDER, C) ISSUE WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT AUTHORITIES TO PAY THE FULL PENSION AND EXTEND ALL THE CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS TO THE PETITIONER ARISING THERETO.



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR

ORAL ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Learned Additional Government Advocate is directed to take notice for respondent Nos.1 to 4. Sri.Sudhirsingh R.Vijapur, learned Deputy Solicitor General of India is directed to take notice for respondent No.5.

3. Petitioner was Associate Professor and after attaining superannuation, he filed pension papers before the respondent authorities seeking to disburse his pension. Based on which, the respondent authorities granted the pension and set the pension for the amount of Rs.39,900/- commuted pension was set at Rs.13,300/- and monthly reduced pension was set at Rs.26,600/- and the commuted amount of Rs.13,300/- is to be deducted upto 06.05.2029 starting from 07.05.2014.



4. Petitioner made a representation dated 21.10.2025 before the respondent authorities seeking reduction of the deduction period for the commutation amount from 15 years to 10 years and 8 months. However, the same was not considered. Hence, the petitioner is perforced to approach this Court.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court at Dharwad Bench in W.P.No.105244/2025 and the Principal Bench, Bengaluru, in W.P.No.23448/2024 have considered similar prayer of other employees and stayed the deduction from the pension. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in W.P.No.108193/2025 at Dharwad Bench and W.P.No.19172/2024 at the Principal Bench, Bangaluru, passed an order directing the respondent authorities to consider the representation of the petitioners therein. Therefore, the petitioner seeks similar order in his favour,



as he is similarly placed as that of those petitioners. In view of non-consideration of the representation so made by the petitioner dated 21.10.2025 at Annexure-F, he is before this Court.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for respondent-State and on perusal of the orders relied upon by the petitioner which are annexed to the petition, this Court deems it appropriate to allow the petition with a direction to consider petitioner's representation dated 21.10.2025, in the manner known to law and in accordance to the similar orders passed by this Court on the earlier occasion.

7. Accordingly, I pass the following:

ORDER

i. The petition is allowed.



- ii. The writ of mandamus is issued directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 21.10.2025 at Annexure-F and pass suitable orders within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
- iii. It is also needless to mention that no recovery proceedings shall be initiated until consideration of the representation of the petitioner. Petitioner is at liberty to produce any additional material in support of his case before the concerned authorities.

Sd/-
(PRADEEP SINGH YERUR)
JUDGE

VNR
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 33.1
CT:SI