



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
KALABURAGI BENCH**

DATED THIS THE 21ST DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY

WRIT PETITION NO. 204212 OF 2025 (LB-RES)

BETWEEN:

MUJEEBUDDIN
S/O FAZALUDDIN
AGE 53 YEARS, OCC
CLASS I CONTRACTOR
R/O H NO 12-11-132/2
ARAB MOHALLA
RAICHUR 584101

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI REVANASIDDAPPA JAGADEVAPPA BHUSARE, ADV)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
DEPARTMENT OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT
VIKAS SOUDHA
BANGALORE 01
REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
2. THE DIRECTOR OF
MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION
9TH FLOOR V V TOWER
DR B R AMBEDKAR VEEDHI
BANGALORE 01
3. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
RAICHUR DISTRICT
RAICHUR 584101





4. THE PROJECT DIRECTOR
DISTRICT URBAN DEVELOPMENT CELL
RAICHUR 585401
5. THE CHIEF OFFICER TOWN PANCHAYAT
HATTI TQ LINGASUGUR
DISTRICT RAICHUR 583226

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI SHESHADRI JAISHANKAR, AGA FOR R-1 TO R-5;
SRI DEEPAK V.BARAD, ADV. FOR R-5)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO DIRECT RESPONDENT NOS. 2, 3, 4 & 5 TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-F , G AND H, ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY

ORAL ORDER

1. This writ petition under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India is filed seeking for the following reliefs:

a. Direct the respondents no.2, 3 4 and 5 to consider the representations produced at Annexure-F, G and H.

b. Direct the respondents to make payment to the petitioner in respect of work no.1 to 4 of tender notification.

c. Pass such other interim order or orders as deemed in the circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice and equity.



2. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.

3. It is the case of the petitioner that he was awarded a contract by respondent no.2 for construction of road and building improvement work, etc., in Town Panchayath, Hatti, under Nagarothana (Municipality) Phase-3 Project in Raichur District. According to the petitioner, he was totally awarded 24 works and an agreement was also executed for executing the said 24 works by respondent no.4 on 01.07.2021 and work order was also issued to him. Petitioner after completing his work had submitted bills for clearance of payments for work done and according to the petitioner, bills submitted by him for work nos.5 to 24 have been cleared by the respondent and for the work nos.1 to 4 under the agreement dated 01.07.2021, the bills are pending. It appears that therefore the petitioner had submitted representations vide Annexures-F, G & H on 21.08.2025 before respondent nos.2 to 5 with a prayer to clear his bills. Since the said representations are not considered, the petitioner is before this Court.



4. Learned Counsel for the respondent submits that respondent no.4 is the competent authority to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 21.08.2025 produced at Annexure-G.

5. The said submission is placed on record.

6. Writ petition is disposed of directing respondent no.4 to consider the representation of the petitioner at Annexure-G dated 21.08.2025 and pass appropriate orders on the same in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, but not later than the period of three months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

Sd/-
(S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY)
JUDGE

KK