



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
KALABURAGI BENCH
DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K
CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 201596 OF 2024
(482(Cr.PC)/528(BNSS))**

BETWEEN:

1. VASANTHA KUMAR KAMBALI
S/O ANDANAPPA KAMBALI
OCC: PRIVATE SERVICE
AGE: 24 YEARS
2. SMT.PUSHPA
W/O ANDANAPPA KAMBALI
OCC: HOUSE WIFE
AGE: 44 YEARS
3. ANDANAPPA KAMBALI
S/O RUDRAPPA KAMBALI
OCC: PRIVATE SERVICE
AGE: 56 YEARS
4. VINOD @ VINOD KUMAR
S/O ANDANAPPA KAMBALI
OCC: AGRICULTURE
AGE: 21 YEARS
5. VAISHNAVI
D/O ANDANAPPA KAMBALI
OCC: STUDENT
AGE: 18 YEARS

ALL ARE RESIDING
R/AT NIDAGUNDI VILLAGE





GAJENDRAGADA TALUK
GADAG DISTRICT
KARNATAKA-582114.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. T. H. AVIN, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY WOMEN POLICE STATION KALABURAGI
KALABURAGI DISTRICT
REP. BY ITS SPECIAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
KALABURAGI-585101.
2. SMT.SUPRIYA
W/O VASANTHA KUMAR KAMBALE
OCC: HOUSE WIFE
AGE: 21 YEARS
R/A NIDAGUNDI VILLAGE
GAJENDRAGADA TALUKA
GADAG DISTRICT
KARNATAKA-582114
AND ALSO AT TUMAKUNTA
CHINCHOLI TALUK
KALABURAGI DISTRICT-585306.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.GOPAL KRISHNA B. YADAV, HCGP FOR R1;
SRI. NAVEEN SALIMATH ADV., FOR R2)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S.482 OF CR.P.C (OLD) / U/SEC. 528 OF BNSS ACT (NEW) PRAYING TO A) CALL FOR ENTIRE RECORDS AND QUASH THE FIR, COMPLAINT AND CHARGE SHEET AS PER ANNEXURE-A TO C AND ENTIRE PROCEEDINGS IN WOMEN POLICE STATION, KALABURAGI IN CRIME NO. 39/2023 DATED 04.11.2023, PENDING ON THE FILE OF THE LEARNED II ADDITIONAL CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC, AT KALABURAGI IN CC NO.44/2024, FOR THE OFFENCE PUNISHABLE UNDER SECTIONS 498A, 323 AND 504 R/W SECTION 149 OF I.P.C.



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

ORAL ORDER

There is no representation for the petitioners even on
the second call.

This petition is filed in the year 2024. The office has
raised objections and the matter is posted today for
counsel's submission with regard to office objection No.1.
Despite there is no representation. Hence, it could be
presumed that the petitioners are not interested in
prosecuting the matter. Hence, the petition is ***dismissed***
for non-prosecution.

**Sd/-
(RAJESH RAI K)
JUDGE**

SDU
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 6
CT-BH