



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 13TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2025

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.T.NARENDRA PRASAD

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TYAGARAJA N. INAVALLY

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 200225 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

MOHAMMED JAVEED
S/O MOHAMMED ALI MELINMANI,
AGE: 68 YEARS,
OCC: AGRICULTURE,
R/O: ALMEL,
DIST: VIJAYAPURA – 586 202.

...COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI YASHAS S. DIKSHIT, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. CHANDRAKANT NAIK,
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (ELE),
O AND M SUB-DIVISION ,
HESCOM SINDAGI,
DIST: VIJAYAPURA – 586 128.
2. PRASHANT PATIL,
SECTION OFFICER (E) HESCOM,
ALMEL, DIST: VIJAYAPURA – 586 202.

...ACCUSED / RESPONDENTS



3. PRL. SECRETARY DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY,
GOVT. OF KARNATAKA,
M.S BUILDING, BENGALURU – 01.

...PROFORMA PARTY

(BY SRI GANESH S. KALBURGI, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2;
SMT. MAYA T.R., H.C.G.P., FOR R3)

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTION 10 AND 12 OF THE
CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT, PRAYING TO INITIATE
PROCEEDINGS FOR CONTEMPT OF COURT (CIVIL) AGAINST
THE ACCUSED HEREIN FOR WILLFUL DISOBEDIENCE AND FOR
SHOWING UTTER DISRESPECT TO THE DIGNITY OF THIS
HON'BLE COURT FOR THE VIOLATION AND NON-COMPLIANCE
OF THE DIRECTION ISSUED IN THE ORDER DATED 25.03.2025
IN W.P.NO.200928/2025 (GM-KEB) THE CERTIFIED COPY OF
WHICH IS AT ANNEXURE-A, AND TO PUNISH THE ACCUSED
APPROPRIATELY AND TO PASS APPROPRIATE ORDERS, IN THE
INTEREST OF JUSTICE.

THIS CCC, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER
WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.T.NARENDRA PRASAD
AND
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TYAGARAJA N. INAVALLY



ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.T.NARENDRA PRASAD)

In this contempt proceedings, complainant is seeking for implementation of order dated 25.03.2025 passed in W.P.No.200928/2025 whereby this Court has passed the following order:-

"The respondents are directed to entertain the application of the petitioner bearing ID No.9325095 of Almela Sub-division and to pass appropriate orders within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of copy of this Order."

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents/accused has filed an affidavit stating that the application of the complainant has been considered in accordance with law enclosing the endorsement dated 04.09.2025 whereby the application of the complainant was rejected.

3. In view of the above, the contempt petition will not survive for consideration. Hence, the contempt petition is dropped. However, the complainant is at liberty to



challenge the endorsement dated 04.09.2025 before the appropriate legal forum in accordance with law.

**Sd/-
(H.T.NARENDRA PRASAD)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(TYAGARAJA N. INAVALLY)
JUDGE**

SHS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 23