



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY
WRIT PETITION NO. 202786 OF 2023 (GM-POLICE)

BETWEEN:

1. NASEEMA BEGUM W/O MOHD IBRAHIM,
AGE ABOUT 23 YEARS, OCC:HOUSE WIFE,
R/O H.NO. 4-3-18/224 NEAR ASHOK DEPOT,
MANGLUWARPET, RAICHUR.
2. MOHD OSMAN S/O MOHD IBRAHIM,
AGE ABOUT 23 YEARS, OCC: BUSINESS,
R/O H.NO 4-3-18/224, NEAR ASHOK DEPOT,
MANGLUWARPET, RAICHUR.
3. MOHD HANEEF S/O MOHD IBRAHIM,
AGE ABOUT 29 YEARS, OCC: EMPLOYEE,
R/O H.NO18-7-423/A/159 FALAKNUMA,
CHARMINAR, HYDERABAD.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. FAIZUDDIN K. ZARDI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
STATE OF KARNATAKA, BENGALURU,
OPPOSITE KSRP DGIGP OFFICE NO.1,
NARUPATUNGA ROAD, BENGALURU,
KARNATAKA- 560001.

Digitally signed by
NIJAMUDDIN
JAMKHANDI
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
RAICHUR TOWN, HYDERABAD ROAD,
MANCHALEPUR, RAICHUR,
KARNATAKA-584101.
3. DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
RAICHUR TOWN, TALUKA KARNATKA-584101.
4. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER,
POLICE STATION RAICHUR WEST TOWN,
TALUKA RAICHUR DISTRICT KARNATKA-584101.
5. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER,
POLICE STATION DEVDURGA, TALUKA, RAICHUR,
KARNATKA-584101.
6. THE SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MANJUNATH , POLICE STATION WEST RAICHUR
TOWN, KARNATAKA-584101.
7. MD.ABRAR S/O KHAJA MOHIUDDIN,
AGE ABOUT 25 YEARS, OCC: CENTERING WORK,
R/O 1-4-88/342 MOTHER TRUST SCHOOL,
RAICHUR TALUK KARNATAKA-584101.
8. KHAJA MOHIUDDIN @ SALEEM
S/O NOT KNOWN,
AGE ABOUT 52 YEARS, OCC: BUSINESS,
R/O 1-4-88/342, MOTHER TRUST SCHOOL,
RAICHUR TALUK KARNATAKA-584101.
9. NARSIMHULU S/O NOT KNOWN,
AGE ABOUT 50 YEARS, OCC: BUSINESS,
R/O NETAGI NAGAR, RAICHUR,
KARNATAKA-584 101.
10. BABA S/O NOT KNOWN,
AGE ABOUT 55 YEARS, OCC: CENTERING WORK,
R/O ZAHEERABAD SCHOOL, ZAHEERABAD,
TS-584101.



11. BASWARAJ GOUDA S/O NOT KNOWN,
AGE ABOUT 60 YEARS, OCC: CONTRACTOR,
R/O DEVDURGA, RAICHUR KARNATAKA- 584111.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI MALLIKARJUN SAHUKAR, AGA FOR R1 TO R6)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO, ISSUE AN APPROPRIATE WRIT ORDER OR DIRECTION MORE PARTICULARLY ONE IN NATURE OF WRIT OF MANDAMUS DECLARING THE ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS MORE PARTICULARLY RESPONDENT NO. 1 TO 7 IN HARASSING THE PETITIONER AND PRESSURIZING THE PETITIONER TO SETTLE THE CIVIL DISPUTE, AND WITHDRAW THE CIVIL CASES IS ILLEGAL, ARBITRARY, UNCONSTITUTIONAL, AND GROSS VIOLATION OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS GUARANTEED UNDER ARTICLE 21 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, AND CONSEQUENTLY DIRECT THE RESPONDENT MORE PARTICULARLY RESPONDENT NO. 1 TO 7 NOT TO INTERFERE INTO CIVIL DISPUTE, AND NOT TO OFTENLY CALL THE PETITIONER TO THE POLICE STATION, WITHOUT FOLLOWING DUE PROCESS OF LAW AND PASS ANY OTHER ORDER OR ORDERS AS THE HONOURABLE COURT DEEMS FIT AND PROPER IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY

ORAL ORDER

1. The petitioners are before this Court in this writ petition filed under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India seeking for the following reliefs:



"It is therefore prayed that the Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly Respondent No.1 to 7 in harassing the petitioner and pressurizing the petitioner to settle the civil dispute, and withdraw the civil cases is illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional, and gross violation of fundamental rights guaranteed under Article 21 of Constitution of India, and consequently direct the Respondent more particularly respondent Nos.1 to 7 not to interfere into Civil dispute, and not to oftenly call the petitioner to the police station, without following due process of law and pass any other order or orders as the Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.

2. Perusal of the material on record would go to show that, on the allegations made against the respondents in this writ petition, the petitioners have already filed a private complaint before the jurisdictional Court of Magistrate which is pending consideration.

3. Learned Additional Government Advocate has produced FIR in Crime No.87/2023 registered by the Raichur West Police Station, along with a memo which would go to show that, based on the complaint of Mohammed Abrar, a case



is registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 504, 506 read with Section 149 of IPC, against the petitioner Nos.2 and 3 herein.

4. Under the circumstances, I do not find any good ground to entertain this writ petition. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
(S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY)
JUDGE

SVH
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 4
CT:PK