



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TYAGARAJA N. INAVALLY

REV.PET FAMILY COURT NO.200106 OF 2024

BETWEEN:

SOUMYA W/O VIKAS TEGGELLI,
AGE: 33 YEARS, OCC:NIL,
R/O. C/O SHETAGAR,
NEAR AL-ALMEEN MEDICAL COLLEGE,
ATHANI ROAD, VIJAYAPUR,
DIST. VIJAYAPUR- 586 101.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. S.S. HALALLI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

VIKAS S/O IRANNA TEGGELLI,
AGE:37 YEARS, OCC: MANAGER,
AT FORD MOTOR COMPANY,
CHENNAI, R/O. SECTOR NO.62,
PLOT NO.31, NAVANAGAR,
BAGALKOT, DIST. BAGALKOT- 587 101.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. HARSHAVARDHAN R. MALIPATIL, ADVOCATE)

THIS REVISION PETITION FAMILY COURT IS FILED UNDER SECTION 19(4) OF FAMILY COURT ACT, PRAYING TO MODIFY THE JUDGEMENT AND ORDER DATED 19.07.2024 IN CRL. MISC. NO.496/2022 PASSED BY THE I ADDL. PRL. JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, VIJAYAPUR MARKED AS ANNEXURE-F AND ENHANCE MONTHLY MAINTENANCE OF RS.50,000/-, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TYAGARAJA N. INAVALLY

ORAL ORDER

Even though the matter is posted for admission, with consent of both the parties the matter is taken up for final disposal.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and also learned counsel for the respondent.

3. This petition is filed by the petitioner praying for an order to enhance the maintenance by modifying the judgment and order dated 19.07.2024 of the I Addl. Prl. Judge, Family Court, Vijayapura ('the Family Court') in Cr1.Misc.No.496/2022, wherein the Family Court has directed the respondent to pay maintenance of Rs.5,000/- per month to the petitioner. Now the



petitioner has sought for enhanced maintenance of Rs.50,000/- per month.

4. On perusal of papers on record, it is clear that there is no dispute regarding relationship between the petitioner and respondent. Moreover, as forthcoming from the evidence on record and from the reasoning of the Family Court in the impugned order, it would be clear that the respondent admitted in his evidence before the Family Court that he is having monthly income of Rs.1,76,000/-.

5. Further, even though petitioner did M.Tech and she was working prior to her marriage, there is absolutely no material forthcoming from the respondent to show that the petitioner is now doing any work and earning any income for her livelihood. However, it is also forthcoming from the papers on record are also it is not in dispute that the petitioner is getting Rs.4,000/- per month as maintenance in the petition filed by her



under DV Act. Hence, in the circumstances considering the fact that the petitioner is not having income of her own for her livelihood and that the respondent is having sufficient income, the maintenance amount is enhanced from Rs.5,000/- to Rs.10,000/- in the petition on hand. The said amount would be just and reasonable to maintenance to be payable by the respondent to the petitioner. Hence, the present petition deserves to be allowed in part accordingly.

6. In the result, the present petition is allowed in part.

7. Consequently, the maintenance amount of Rs.5,000/- granted by the Family Court is enhanced to Rs.10,000/- payable by the respondent to the petitioner from the date of petition filed before the Family Court.

Sd/-
(TYAGARAJA N. INAVALLY)
JUDGE

SMP
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 0, CT: SB