



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 4TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR

WRIT PETITION NO.202627 OF 2022 (LB-RES)

BETWEEN:

DANDAPPA W/O RADDEPPA,
AGE: 48 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE AND
SOCIAL ACTIVIST, R/O 67, HEGGADADINNI,
VTC: NAGADADINNI, TQ: DEVADURGA,
DIST: RAICHUR - 584129.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI GANESH NAIK, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
THROUGH ITS CHIEF SECRETARY,
M. S. BUILDING, BENGALURU-01.
2. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
DR. B. R. AMBEDKAR,
HOUSING DIVISION, RAJIV GANDHI
RURAL HOUSING CORPORATION,
BENGALURU-01.
3. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
RAICHUR, TQ: AND DIST: RAICHUR-585101.
4. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
RAICHUR, DIST: RAICHUR-585101.

Digitally signed
by RENUKA
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



5. THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
ZILLA PANCHAYAT OFFICE, RAICHUR,
DIST: RAICHUR-585101.
6. THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
TALUKA PANCHAYAT, DEVADURGA,
DIST: RAICHUR-585101.
7. PANCHAYAT DEVELOPMENT OFFICER,
GRAM PANCHAYAT, R/O NAGADADINNI VILLAGE,
TQ: DEVADURGA, DIST: RAICHUR-585101.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI VIRANAGOUDA M. BIRADAR, AGA FOR R1, R3 AND R4;
BY SMT. SUMANA BALIGA, ADVOCATE FOR R2;
SRI A.M. NAGRAL, ADVOCATE FOR R5 TO R6)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO I) ISSUE ORDER OR WRIT OR DIRECTION IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATIONS GIVEN BY PETITIONER VIDE ANNEXURE-C DATED 24.01.2022, ANNEXURE-D DATED 06.06.2022, ANNEXURE-E DATED 12.05.2022, ANNEXURE-F DATED 01.08.2022, ANNEXURE-G DATED 17.05.2022 & ANNEXURE-H DATED 17.05.2022, II) ISSUE ANY ORDER OR WRIT OR DIRECTION AS THIS HONOURABLE COURT MAY DEEM FIT UNDER THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR

ORAL ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Government Advocate for the respondents/State, so also learned counsel appearing for other respondents.

2. This petition is filed seeking the following relief:

"i. *Issue order or writ or direction in the nature of mandamus direct the respondents to consider the representations given by petitioner vide Annexure, C dated:24.01.2022, Annexure-D, dated:06.06.2022 Annexure -E, dated:12.05.2022, Annexure -F dated: 01.08.2022, Annexure -G, dated:17.05.2022, Annexure -H, dated: 17.05.2022.*

ii. *Issue any order or writ or direction as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit under the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice and equity."*

3. The petitioner is the resident of Nagadadinni Village. He is working as a social activist in the said



village. It is contended that the central government has brought up several various schemes for weaker section of the society including quality houses to the homeless population in the State of Karnataka. Accordingly, Rajiv Gandhi Housing Corporation Limited (RGHCL) was incorporated, which provides houses to socially and economically backward classes of people. The Basava Vasati Yojana is managed by the RGHCL aimed at providing affordable homes for the economically backward section of the society. Instead of allotting the houses as per the scheme to the economically backward and weaker section of the society, respondent Nos.5 and 6 are allotting the houses to other sections of the society, which is in total violation of the scheme and the intent in formulating the scheme.

4. This being the state of affairs, petitioner has given representations to the respondent authorities to take legal action against the concerned person for misusing the scheme by not allotting the houses to actual and needy



persons who are really entitled to as per the scheme. The representations so given are not acted upon, no reply is given and no action is taken. Hence, the petitioner is before this Court.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents submit that the respondents would consider the representations so given by the petitioner in accordance with law, if reasonable time is granted.

6. In view of the submission of the learned counsel for the respondents, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of this petition in the following manner:

ORDER

- (a) The Writ Petition is allowed.
- (b) A writ of mandamus is issued directing respondent No.2 to consider the representations of the petitioner vide Annexure-C dated 24.01.2022, Annexure-D dated 06.06.2022,



Annexure–E, dated 12.05.2022, Annexure–F dated 01.08.2022, Annexure–G dated 17.05.2022 and Annexure–H dated 17.05.2022 in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of the order.

Sd/-
(PRADEEP SINGH YERUR)
JUDGE

RSP
List No.: 4 SI No.: 7
CT:MH