



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY

WRIT PETITION NO. 202078 OF 2023 (S-RES)

BETWEEN:

MAMATHA R. D/O LATE RUDRAPPA
AGE 29 YEARS, OCCUPATION HOUSEHOLD
RESIDING OF H NO.87 /1, KABBER ONI,
CHIKASUGUR,
TALUKA AND DISTRICT :RAICHUR 584134

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. BASAVARAJ R. MATH, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
REPRESENTED BY UNDER SECRETARY,
COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIAL DEPARTMENT,
M S BUILDING, BENGALURU-560001
2. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
KPCL LIMITED, RACE COURSE ROAD,
SHAKTI BHAVAN, BENGALURU-560001
3. THE CHIEF ENGINEER (O AND M)
Y T / PROJECT HEAD,
Y. T. P. S. YARMARUS,
RAICHUR-584134





4. THE DEPUTY GENERAL MANAGER (HRD)
Y. T. P. S. YARMARUS,
RAICHUR-584134

...RESPONDENTS

(SRI. BHARGAVA D. BHAT, ADV. FOR R2 TO R4 (THROUGH VC)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO (A) ISSUE A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI BY QUASHING THE CIRCULAR DATED 15.10.2015 IN NO.CE (E) RPCL/YTPS/Bhoo.Swa/491 AT ANNEXURE-E AND ENDORSEMENT DATED 31.5.2023 IN NO.CE (O & M)/YT/Bhoo.Swa/C15/277 AT ANNEXURE-L PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.3, ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRL. HEARING IN B GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY

ORAL ORDER

1. The petitioner is before this Court under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India, seeking for the following reliefs:

(a) Issue a writ in the nature of certiorari by quashing the circular dated 15.10.2015 in No.CE(E)RPCL/YTPS/Bhoo.Swa/491 at Annexure-E, and Endorsement dated 31.05.2023 in No.CE(O



&M)/YT/Bhoo.Swa/C15/277 at Annexure-L passed by the respondent No.3.

(b) Issue any other appropriate writ or order or direction to deem fit in the circumstances of the case in the interest of justice.

(c) Pass such other order or direction as deems fit under the circumstances of the case in the interest of justice.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

3. The property bearing Survey No.146/1, which originally stood in the name of Siddanna S/o. Narasappa Pattedar and subsequent to his death which was transferred in the name of Smt. Narasamma W/o. Sakrappa i.e. grand mother of the petitioner herein, was acquired by the respondents for the purpose of establishing a Thermal Power Project at Yarmarus village, Chikkasuguru Gram Panchayat, taluka and district Raichur. The respondent-corporation had floated a scheme to provide job to one person from the family under the land looser quota. Accordingly, an application was filed by the petitioner herein, seeking suitable job, since the aforesaid land belonging to her grand



mother Smt. Narasamma, bearing Survey No.146/1, measuring 4 acres situated at Yarmarus village, Chikkasuguru Gram Panchayat, Taluka and district Raichur, was acquired for the Thermal Power Project.

It appears that, the said application was earlier rejected by the respondent-corporation on the ground that the family member of the petitioner who was the owner of the property bearing Survey No.146/3 was already provided with a job and therefore, the petitioner's case cannot be considered. It is under these circumstances, the petitioner had approached this Court in W.P.No.201136/2023 which was disposed of on 11.04.2023 with an observation that, the petitioner is concerned only with regard to the land bearing Survey No.146/1 and not land bearing Survey No.146/3 and therefore, the reasons assigned for rejection of the petitioner's prayer for providing a job under the land looser quota, on the ground that, the corporation has already provided job to the family member of the petitioner who has lost Survey No.146/3 is not tenable and accordingly had directed the respondent-corporation to consider the



application of the petitioner afresh. Thereafter, the impugned endorsement at Annexure-L dated 31.05.2023 has been issued by the respondent No.2-corporation and being aggrieved by the same, the petitioner is before this Court.

4. The material on record would go to show that, this Court in W.P.No.201136/2023 has already held that, the petitioner is not concerned with the land bearing Survey No.146/3 and she is concerned only with the land bearing Survey No.146/1 and therefore, the reasons assigned by the corporation to not provide any job to the petitioner under the land looser quota was not tenable. In spite of the same, the impugned order has been once again passed on the ground that, the corporation has provided job to the family member of the petitioner who had lost land bearing Survey No.146/3. It is relevant to note herein that, the land bearing Survey No.146/1 originally belonged to one Siddanna who had died issueless and after his death, the grand mother of the petitioner name Smt. Narasamma had succeeded to the said property and the revenue records of the land in question was also mutated in the name of said Narasamma in the year



1991 itself. Therefore, the respondent-corporation was also not justified in stating that Smt. Narasamma was not the owner of the land bearing Survey No.146/1 for a period of three years immediately prior to issuance of preliminary notification for acquisition of the land in question for the project of the respondent-corporation.

5. Under the circumstances, the impugned endorsement at Annexure-L dated 31.05.2023 cannot be sustained. Accordingly, the following:

ORDER

(i) The writ petition is partly allowed;

(ii) The impugned endorsement at Annexure-L dated 31.05.2023 issued by the respondent No.2 corporation is quashed and a direction is issued to provide an appointment to the petitioner for the suitable post under the land looser quota as expeditiously as possible, but not



NC: 2026:KHC-K:3662
WP No. 202078 of 2023

later than a period of three months from the date
of receipt of copy of this order.

Sd/-
(S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY)
JUDGE

SVH
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 57